arXiv:1612.05354v1 [math.NT] 16 Dec 2016

STRONG LIMIT MULTIPLICITY FOR ARITHMETIC HYPERBOLIC SURFACES AND 3-MANIFOLDS

MIKOLAJ FRACZYK

Abstract. We show that every sequence of cocompact torsion free arithmetic congruence lattices in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ satisfies a strong quantitative form of the Limit Multiplicity Property. As a corollary we deduce that for fixed $R > 0$ the volume of the R-thin part of any congruence arithmetic hyperbolic surface and 3-manifold M is of order at most $\mathrm{Vol}(M)^{1-a}$ where a is an effective, absolute positive constant.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Notation 12
3. Preliminaries on quaternion algebras 15
4. Trace formula for congruence lattices 18
5. Short geodesics and equidistribution 29
6. Orbital integrals 31
7. Number of conjugacy classes with nontrivial contribution 44
8. Representation Zeta functions 48
9. Adelic Volumes 56
10. Proof of Strong Limit Multiplicity 67
11. Proof of Strong Benjamini-Schramm Convergence 70
References 72

1. Introduction

1.1. **Limit multiplicity.** Let G be a semisimple Lie group and let Γ be a cocompact lattice in G. The group G acts on $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ by right translations which makes it a unitary representation of G. If Γ is cocompact, the space $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ decomposes as a direct sum of its irreducible subrepresentations, possibly with multiplicities. A natural question one can pose is how the distribution of the irreducible components of $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ changes as we vary the lattice Γ. First major results in this direction were obtained by DeGeorge and Wallach in [15]. They showed that if $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a tower of cocompact lattices in G, i.e a sequence satisfying the following three conditions: $\Gamma_{i+1} \subset \Gamma_i$, $\Gamma_i \lhd \Gamma_1$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i = \{1\}$, then the asymptotic multiplicities of the discrete series representations are

This work was supported by a public grant as part of the Investissement d'avenir project, reference ANR-11-LABX-0056-LMH, LabEx LMH..

December 19, 2016

proportional to the volume $\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)$. More precisely, for every irreducible unitary representation π of G they prove that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{m_\Gamma(\pi)}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)}=\begin{cases}d_\rho & \text{if } \pi \text{ is in the discrete series,}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

where $m_\Gamma(\pi)=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi,L^2(\Gamma\backslash G))$ is the multiplicity of π in $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$. In the same paper DeGeorge and Wallach conjectured a stronger result called the limit multiplicity property. It states that under the same conditions, the distribution of irreducible components of $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ counted with multiplicity divided by the covolume of Γ tends to the Plancherel measure. Let us recall the definition of the Plancherel measure.

Let $\Pi(G)$ denote the set of the irreducible unitary representations. For $\pi\in\Pi$ we write H_π for the underlying Hilbert space. For a function $f\in L^1(G)$ we can consider its Fourier transform, given by

$$\hat{f}(\pi):=\pi(f):=\int_G f(g)\pi(g)dg.$$

It is a bounded linear operator on H_π [25, Chapters 7.4,7.5]. If $f\in C_c^\infty(G)$ the operator $\hat{f}(\pi)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator [39, Proof of Theorem 8.2]. Thus we can treat $\hat{f}(\pi)$ as an element of $H_\pi\otimes H_\pi^*$. The Plancherel measure is the unique measure μ^{pl} on $\Pi(G)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2=\int_{\Pi(G)}\|\hat{f}(\pi)\|^2_{H_\pi\otimes H_\pi^*}d\mu^{pl}\text{ for all } f\in C_c^\infty(G).$$

Equivalently it is the unique measure for which

$$\langle f,g\rangle=\int_{\Pi(G)}\langle\hat{f}(\pi),\hat{g}(\pi)\rangle_{H_\pi\otimes H_\pi^*}d\mu^{pl}=\int_{\Pi(G)}\mathrm{tr}(\pi(f)\pi(g)^*)d\mu^{pl}.$$

By approximating the Dirac delta at the identity by functions from $C_c^\infty(G)$ we can deduce yet another identity

$$f(1)=\int_{\Pi(G)}\mathrm{tr}(\pi(f))d\mu^{pl}\text{ for all } f\in C_c^\infty(G).$$

The last equality can be also used as the definition of the Plancherel measure.

Let us return to the limit multiplicity property. For any lattice Γ (not necessarily cocompact) we define the measure μ_Γ on $\Pi(G)$ by

$$\mu_\Gamma=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)}\sum_{\pi\in\Pi(G)}m_\Gamma(\pi)\delta_\pi.$$

We say that a sequence of lattices $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ has the *limit multiplicity property* if for every bounded $\phi\in C(\Pi(G))$ [1]

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{\Pi(G)}\phi(\pi)d\mu_{\Gamma_i}=\int_{\Pi(G)}\phi(\pi)d\mu^{pl}.$$

Sauvageot showed in [47] that it is enough to test the convergence for the functions of form $\pi(f)$ where f is a smooth, compactly supported function on G.

[1] We mean functions continuous with respect to the Fell topology on $\Pi(G)$ [19, 18.1]

Theorem 1.1 (Sauvageot Density Principle)**.** *A sequence of lattices* (Γ_i) *has the limit multiplicity property if and only if, for every* $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ *we have*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_{\Pi(G)} \mathrm{tr}\pi(f) d\mu_{\Gamma_i} = f(1).$$

We remark that this theorem holds for uniform as well as for non-uniform lattices. Write $R_\Gamma f$ for the operator

$$R_\Gamma f(\Phi)(x) := \int_G f(g)\Phi(xg)dg \text{ for } \Phi \in L^2(\Gamma\backslash G). \tag{1.1}$$

The Sauvageot density principle is especially convenient in the uniform case, because then $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ decomposes discretely and we have

$$\int_{\Pi(G)} \mathrm{tr}\pi(f) d\mu_\Gamma = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)} \sum_{\pi\in\Pi(G)} m_\Gamma(\pi)\mathrm{tr}\pi(f) = \frac{\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)}.$$

In 1979 DeGeorge and Wallach [16] proved the limit multiplicity property for towers of cocompact lattices in semisimple Lie groups of real rank 1 and in 1986 Delorme [18] settled the question for cocompact towers in arbitrary semsimple Lie groups. In the other direction, for sequences of non-uniform lattices Sarnak [46] showed that any sequence of principal congruence subgroups $\Gamma(N_i) \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ with $N_i \to \infty$ has the limit multiplicity property. Analogues in the non uniform case of the results of DeGeorge and Wallach about the multiplicites of irreducible unitary representations were obtained by DeGeorge [17], Barbasch-Moscovici [6] for groups of real rank one, and by Clozel [14] for general groups. The strongest results for asymptotic multiplicities in towers of arithmetic lattices were obtained by Rohlfs-Spehr [45] and Savin [48]. These results were followed by works of Finnis, Lapid and Mueller [24] who proved the limit multiplicity for principal congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathcal{O}_k)$ where $\mathcal{O}_k$ is the ring of integers in a number field k. By the Borel-Harish-Chandra Theorem those are lattices in the semisimple Lie group $\mathrm{SL}(n, k\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{R})$. This result was later extended in [23] to arbitrary sequences $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathcal{O}_k)$.

In the uniform case, a substantial breakthrough was obtained in [1]. Using invariant random subgroups and the notion of Benjamini-Schramm convergence it was shown that if G has real rank at least 2 and Kazhdan's property (T), then every sequence of cocompact lattices $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ which are pairwise non conjugate and whose injectivity radius is uniformly bounded away from 0 has the limit multiplicity property. It was the first result dealing with sequences of not necessarily commensurable lattices. In 2013 Jean Raimbault [43, Corollary 1.3.5] obtained very general results on sequences of maximal lattices in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ which are all defined over quadratic or cubic number fields.

1.2. **Benjamini-Schramm convergence.** Let G be a semisimple Lie group and $\Gamma \subset G$ a cocompact lattice. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G. Let $X = G/K$ be the symmetric space of G endowed with the G-invariant riemannian metric defined by the Killing form of G (see [27]). Let d_G be associated left invariant riemannian metric on G. We can define the R-thin part of the orbifold $\Gamma\backslash X$ as

$$(\Gamma\backslash X)_{<R} := \left\{\Gamma g K \mid B_G(1,R) \cap g^{-1}\Gamma g \neq \{1\}\right\} \tag{1.2}$$

Given a sequence of lattices $(\Gamma_i)_{\in\mathbb{N}}$ we consider the sequence of locally symmetric spaces $(\Gamma_i\backslash X)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. We say that the sequence $(\Gamma_i\backslash X)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges Benjamini-Schramm (or *B-S converges*) to G/K if

for every $R>0$ we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma_i\backslash X)_{<R})}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_i\backslash X)}=0 \tag{1.3}$$

For brevity we shall say that $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ has property B-S if the sequence $(\Gamma_i\backslash X)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges Benjamini-Schramm to X. The notion of Benjamini-Schramm convergence originates from the paper [7] where they defined it for the sequences of graphs of bounded degree. For locally symmetric spaces it was defined and studied in [1]. It is a special case of Benjamini-Schramm convergence for metric spaces with probability measures (see [1, Chapter 3]).

It is well-known that the Limit Multiplicity Property implies the Benjamini-Schramm convergence for sequences of cocompact lattices (see Section 11).

One of the main results of [1] is the following:

Theorem 1.2 ([1, Theorem 1.5]). *Let G be a real semisimple Lie group of real rank at least 2 and with Kazhdan's property (T). Then every sequence of pairwise non-conjugate lattices $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in G has property B-S.*

For a sequence of congruence subgroups of a fixed uniform arithmetic lattice they showed a stronger quantitative version of B-S convergence:

Theorem 1.3 ([1, Theorem 1.12]). *Let Γ_0 a uniform arithmetic lattice in G. Then there exist positive constants c,μ depending only on Γ_0 such that for any congruence subgroup $\Gamma\subset\Gamma_0$ and any $R>0$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma\backslash X)_{<R})\leq e^{cR}\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)^{1-\mu}$$

The result concerning the limit multiplicity property that we referred to in the last section is a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and the following:

Theorem 1.4 ([1, Theorem 1.2]). *Let $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of lattices with property B-S, such that the injectivity radius **inj rad**$(\Gamma_i\backslash X)$ is uniformly bounded away from 0* [2]. *Then $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ has the limit multiplicity property.*

The Margulis injectivity radius conjecture [36] predicts that for a fixed semisimple Lie group G the injectivity radius of $\Gamma\backslash G/K$ is bounded away from 0 uniformly for all arithmetic lattices. This would be implied by the Lehmer conjecture and would itself imply the Salem number conjecture. So far no decisive progress has been made towards the proof of the Margulis conjecture. Finally we should mention the results of Jean Raimbault [43] for arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and associated arithmetic 3-orbifolds, we shall describe them in greater detail in the next paragraph devoted to sequences of arithmetic lattices.

1.3. **Sequences of arithmetic lattices.** In this section we shall discuss what are the reasonable conditions that we should put on a sequence of arithmetic lattices $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in N}$ to expect that it has the limit multiplicity property or the B-S property. The first obvious condition is

(1) $\lim_{i\to\infty}\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_i\backslash G)=\infty$,

[2] The actual condition is: the lengths of closed geodesics are uniformly bounded from below and the order of torsion elements of Γ_i is uniformly bounded from above.

By Theorem 1.2 this is sufficient for property B–S in higher rank groups with property (T). If G is a rank 1 Lie group, then this is not enough. For example if Γ is a torsion free, uniform arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $M_0 := \Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$ is the corresponding compact hyperbolic surface, we can take $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of cyclic covers of M_0. Clearly $(M_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ does not converge Benjamini-Schramm to $\mathbb{H}$ because the radius of injectivity is uniformly bounded by $5\mathrm{diam}M_0$[3]. Hence the sequence of fundamental groups $\Gamma_i = \pi_1(M_i)$ does not have property B-S. There is a similar counter-example constructed by Sarnak and Phillips [40] for the limit multiplicity property. In both of these constructions the sequences contained lattices which were not congruence lattices. Hence, it seems reasonable to add the second condition

(2) Γ_i is a congruence lattice for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

With these conditions we expect that at least the weak version of the following conjecture holds:

Conjecture 1.5.
- *(Weak version) Let G be a semisimple Lie group with trivial center and $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of arithmetic lattices in G satisfying conditions (1) and (2). Then $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ has the limit multiplicity property and the B-S property.*
- *(Strong version) Let $X = G/K$ be the riemannian symmetric space of G. There exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for any $R > 0$ and any uniform congruence arithmetic lattice Γ in G and any $f \in C(G)$ with $\mathrm{supp}f \subset B(1,R)$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma\backslash X)_{<R}) \ll_R \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)^{1-\delta}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\left| f(1) - \frac{\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)} \right| \ll_R \|f\|_\infty \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)^{-\delta}. \tag{1.5}$$

Cases of this conjecture for maximal lattices and for lattices defined over fields of bounded degree were present in [43, Section 1.1.2] and that a similar statement holds was conjectured in [1, Conjecture 6.1]. For $G = \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and lattices defined over a cubic or quadratic field this was settled by Jean Raimbault [43, Theorem A]. He has shown, among other things, that there exists $\delta > 0$ with the following property. For any maximal lattice Γ in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ defined over a cubic or quadratic number field put $M = \Gamma\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})/K$ then

$$\mathrm{Vol}((M)_{<R}) \ll_R \mathrm{Vol}(M)^{1-\delta}.$$

This implies that any sequence of maximal lattices $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined over a cubic field has property B-S if $\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_i\backslash G)$ tends to infinity. In particular, he solved completely the case of sequences of non uniform lattices as all arithmetic non-uniform lattices in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ are defined over a quadratic imaginary number field. In the same setting Raimbault has addressed Conjecture 1.5 for sequences of lattices defined over fields of bounded degree. He obtained the following

Theorem 1.6. *[43, Theorem B] Let $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of lattices in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ with fields of definition F_i such that:*
- *F_i is a quadratic extension of a totally real subfield B_i,*
- *the relative discriminants Δ_{F_i/B_i} go to infinity,*

[3]Let $a, b \in \pi_1(M_0)$ be such that $c = aba^{-1}b^{-1} \neq 0$ and let γ be a geodesic on M_0 corresponding to c. Since the covering $M_i \to M_0$ is abelian for all i the geodesic γ lifts to a closed geodesic on M_i. Every point x in M_i is at distance at most $\mathrm{diam}M_0$ to a lift of γ so $\mathrm{injrad}(x) \leq \mathrm{diam}M_0 + l(\gamma)$ where $l(\gamma)$ is the length of γ. We can pick a, b such that the loops representing them are of lengths smaller than $\mathrm{diam}M_0$. If follows that $l(\gamma) \leq 4\mathrm{diam}M_0$ and consequently $\mathrm{injrad}(x) \leq 5\mathrm{diam}M_0$.

- *the absolute degree* $[F_i : \mathbb{Q}]$ *is bounded.*

Then $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *has property B-S.*

The second condition is reminiscent of the assumptions of the Brauer Siegel theorem, but the proof in [43] does not use it. The argument follows the strategy of [1] via Invariant Random Subgroups (IRS) and the Borel density Theorem for IRS's [1, Theorem 2.6].

Let us explain how one could deduce the weak version of Conjecture 1.5 from the statement that holds only for maximal lattices. We shall use Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 ([1, Theorems 1.12,1.2]).

Lemma 1.7. *Let* G *be a semisimple Lie group. Suppose that every sequence of maximal arithmetic lattices* $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *such that* $\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_i\backslash G) \to \infty$ *has the limit multiplicity property and property B-S. Then every sequence of congruence arithmetic lattices* $(\Lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *satisfying* $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathrm{Vol}(\Lambda_i\backslash G) = \infty$ *has the limit multiplicity property and property B-S.*

Proof. Let $(\Lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be as in the statement. It will be enough to show that we can always find a subsequence with the desired properties. For any i choose a maximal arithmetic lattice Γ_i containing Λ_i. We consider two cases, either $\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_i\backslash G)$ goes to ∞ or not. In the first case, the sequence (Γ_i) has the limit multiplicity property and the B-S property. For any $R > 0$ and $f \in C_c(G)$ we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}((\Lambda_i\backslash G/K)_{<R})}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Lambda_i\backslash G/K)} \leq \frac{\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma_i\backslash G/K)_{<R})}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_i\backslash G/K)} \tag{1.6}$$

$$\left| f(1) - \frac{\mathrm{tr} R_{\Lambda_i} f}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Lambda_i\backslash G)} \right| \leq \left| f(1) - \frac{\mathrm{tr} R_{\Gamma_i} f}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_i\backslash G)} \right|. \tag{1.7}$$

Where (1.6) is immediate from Selberg trace formula and (1.7) follows from the fact that the R-thin part covers only R-'thin part. Hence $(\Lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ also has the limit multiplicity property and the B-S property. In the second case we invoke the result of Borel and Prasad [10, Theorem A] on the finiteness of the number of arithmetic lattices of bounded volume. It follows that there exists an infinite subsequence $(n_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\Gamma_{n_i} = \Gamma$ for some fixed maximal Γ. The lattices in the sequence $(\Lambda_{n_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ are all contained in Γ so by Theorem 1.3 it has property B-S. Moreover the radius of injectivity of $\Lambda_{n_i}\backslash G/K$ is at least as big as $\mathrm{injrad}\,(\Gamma_{n_i}\backslash G/K)$ so by Theorem 1.4 $(\Lambda_{n_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ has the limit multiplicity property. □

Note that even if the strong version of the Conjecture 1.5 holds for maximal lattices the argument above does not yield the strong version in the general case. The reason for that is that the implicit constant and the exponent in Theorem 1.3 depend of the lattice in a non-explicit way. [4]

1.4. **Main results.** Our main results deal with sequences of arbitrary, torsion free congruence arithmetic lattices in $PGL(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 1.8. *[Strong Limit Multiplicity] There exists* $a > 0$ *such that for any* $R > 0$ *the following holds. Let* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ *or* $\mathbb{C}$*, let* Γ *be a uniform torsion free, congruence arithmetic lattice in* $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$

[4] It looks difficult to extract sufficient dependence of Γ from the proofs of [1, Thm 1.2] and the analogous result from [23]. One of the main innovation of the present paper is an alternative approach to [1, Thm 1.2] which gives very explicit bounds.

and let K be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$. *For any* $f \in C(\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))$ *with* $\mathrm{supp} f \subset B(1,R)$

$$\left| f(1) - \frac{\mathrm{tr} R_\Gamma f}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))} \right| \ll_R \|f\|_\infty (\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1-a}. \tag{1.8}$$

We can take $a \geq 0.014$.

Theorem 1.9. *[Strong Benjamini Schramm convergence] There exists* $a > 0$ *such that for any* $R > 0$ *the following holds. Let* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ *or* $\mathbb{C}$, *let* Γ *be a torsion free, congruence arithmetic lattice in* $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ *and let* K *be a maximal compact subgroup of* $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma\backslash PGL(2,\mathbb{K})/K)_{<R}) \ll_R \mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma\backslash PGL(2,\mathbb{K})/K))^{1-a}. \tag{1.9}$$

We can take $a \geq 0.014$.

Remark 1.10. *This settles both versions of Conjecture 1.5 for lattices in* $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ *with an additional assumption that they are torsion free. The method also applies to congruence lattices without torsion of small order. Lattices with 2-torsion elements are the hardest case, where the bounds we can obtain are not sufficient to prove the Strong Limit Multiplicity.*

Without assuming the congruence condition we have

Theorem 1.11. *There exists* $c > 0$ *such that for any* $R > 0$ *the following holds. Let* $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ *or* $\mathbb{C}$, *let* Γ *be a torsion free, arithmetic lattice in* $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ *and let* K *be a maximal compact subgroup of* $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$. *Then*

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma\backslash PGL(2,\mathbb{K})/K)_{<R})}{\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma\backslash PGL(2,\mathbb{K})/K))} \ll_R \Delta_k^{-c}, \tag{1.10}$$

Where Δ_k *is the discriminant of the trace field* k *of* Γ *and* $c \geq 0.0006$.

As a corollary of the proof we will get

Corollary 1.12. *Let* $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a sequence of torsion free arithmetic lattices in* $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$. *Then either infinitely many* Γ_i*'s are commensurable or the sequence* $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *has property B–S.*

Remark 1.13. *The constant a is effective. An explicit lower bound can be computed from the proof.*

Remark 1.14. *Several steps of the proof work also for other Lie groups. The difficulties arise when we want to estimate the adelic volumes of the centralizers (c.f. Proposition 9.6) and the values of irreducible congruence characters at semisimple, non-regular elements (c.f. Theorem 6.1).*

1.5. **Outline of the proofs.**

From Limit Multiplicity to Benjamini-Schramm convergence. The Strong Benjamini-Schramm convergence will be deduced from the Strong Limit Multiplicity property in the Section 11. The proof is just an application of Strong Limit Multiplicity to the characteristic function of the ball of radius R around the identity. Section 11 contains also the proofs of Theorem 1.11 and Corollary 1.12.

Strong Limit Multiplicity. The proof of Theorem 1.8 is divided into several steps.

(1) **Adelic Trace Formula.** The first step is to express the trace of $R_\Gamma f$ using the adelic Arthur-Selberg trace formula. For brevity write $G = \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$. The ordinary Selberg trace formula yields the equality

$$\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f = \sum_{[\gamma]_\Gamma \subset \Gamma} \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_\gamma \backslash G_\gamma) \int_{G_\gamma \backslash G} f(g^{-1}\gamma g) dg.$$

We shall write $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)$ for the orbital integral $\int_{G_\gamma \backslash G} f(g^{-1}\gamma g)dg$. The problem with this trace formula is that we sum orbital integrals over conjugacy classes in Γ. A priori it is not clear how to parametrize conjugacy classes in Γ. The adelic version of the trace formula allows to replace Γ by the k-points of a certain algebraic group $PD^\times$ and to sum over rational conjugacy classes in $\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)$. The latter are easy to classify, we do this in Section 3.4.

In Section 4 we recall the construction of congruence arithmetic lattices and develop a suitable trace formula (Theorem 4.21) that allows to express $\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma$ as a weighted sum of orbital integrals. For example, if D is a quaternion algebra defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ which splits over $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{O}$ is a maximal order in D, then the group of units of norm 1 denoted by $\Gamma = \mathcal{O}^1$ is an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. We can choose an open, compact subgroup U of $D^1(\mathbb{A}_f)$ such that $\Gamma = D^1(\mathbb{Q}) \cap U$. Write R for the right regular action of $\mathbb{D}^1(\mathbb{A})$ on $L^2(D^1(k)\backslash D^1(\mathbb{A}))$. The trace formula reads

$$\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f = \mathrm{tr}Rf_{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{[\gamma]\in D^1(\mathbb{Q})} \mathrm{Vol}(D^1_\gamma(\mathbb{Q})\backslash D^1_\gamma(\mathbb{A})) \int_{D^1_\gamma(\mathbb{A})\backslash D^1(\mathbb{A})} f_{\mathbb{A}}(x^{-1}\gamma x) d\mu_{\mathbb{A}}(x), \tag{1.11}$$

where $f_{\mathbb{A}} \in C_c^\infty(D^1(\mathbb{A}))$ is a tensor product of f and the characteristic function of U and $\mu_{\mathbb{A}}$ is an appropriate measure $D^1(\mathbb{A})$. To get the first equality one has to follow the argument from the proof of Lemma 4.13 plus the fact that D^1 satisfies the strong approximation property. A similar result holds for congruence subgroups which are derived from orders of quaternion algebras over number fields in the sense of [44].

Unfortunately not all congruence lattices can be constructed this way i.e. using simply connected algebraic groups (by the work of Borel [9] we know that each commensurability class of arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ contains infinitely many maximal elements while the construction form [44] provides only finitely many candidates for maximal lattices). Not all of them can be constructed as groups $\mathcal{O}^1$ for an order in a quaternion algebra. To construct and parametrize all congruence lattices we switch to the projective groups $\mathrm{PD}^\times$. For an admissible quaternion algebra D defined over a number field k the congruence lattices are obtained as intersections $F_V = \mathrm{PD}^\times(k) \cap V$ where V is an open compact subgroup of $\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$. The details of the construction are summarized in Sections 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.

As we see in Lemma 4.13 the formula (1.11) does not hold for $PD^\times$ and the adelic trace is a sum of traces $R_{\Gamma'_V} f$ where Γ'_V are lattices from the same packet (cf. Definition 4.5) as Γ_V. To isolate the trace of $R_{\Gamma_V} f$ we introduce twisted operators $R^\chi f_{\mathbb{A}}$ (cf. Definition 4.14). Using Fourier inversion on the class group of D (cf. Definitions 4.6 and 4.19) we express the trace of $R_{\Gamma_V} f$ as a linear combination of traces $\mathrm{tr}R^\chi f_{\mathbb{A}}$. This occupies Sections

4.4 and 4.5 and the final result is Theorem 4.21:

$$\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V} f = \sum_{[\gamma]_{PD^\times(k)}} \mathrm{Vol}(PD^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A}))\Xi^V_\gamma(f_\mathbb{A}), \tag{1.12}$$

where $\Xi^V_\gamma(f_\mathbb{A})$ is a combination of twisted orbital integrals defined in the statement of Theorem 4.21. Using basic Class Field Theory (Lemma 4.23) we get the following estimate (Corollary 4.25)

$$|\Xi^V_\gamma(h)| \leq \frac{2}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(h)|, \tag{1.13}$$

for any smooth compactly supported function $h \in C^\infty_c(\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}))$ and regular semisimple $\gamma \in \mathrm{PD}^\times(k)$. The class group $\mathrm{cl}\,(V)$ is defined in Definition 4.19.

(2) **Normalization.** This step is crucial if we want to treat all congruence subgroups Γ_V not just the maximal ones. We fix a maximal compact subgroup U of $\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A})$ containing V. To prove the Strong Limit Multiplicity we will have to bound the orbital integrals $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_\mathbb{A})$ appearing in the trace formula. The function $f_\mathbb{A}$ is given by a tensor product $f_\mathbb{A} = f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty} \otimes [U:V]\mathbb{1}_V$. We need to reprove the Limit Multiplicity analogue of Theorem 1.3 for $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_U$ with constants depending explicitly on D and U. The first step is to observe that the orbital integrals $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_\mathbb{A})$ are linear and invariant under conjugation by U. This allows us to replace $[U:V]\mathbb{1}_V$ by $\chi_{\mathrm{Ind}\,^U_V\mathbb{1}}$. Next using Frobenius reciprocity we get

$$\chi_{\mathrm{Ind}\,^U_V\mathbb{1}} = \sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}U} \langle \mathrm{Ind}\,^U_V\mathbb{1}, \rho\rangle \chi_\rho.$$

Recall that $\langle \mathrm{Ind}\,^U_V\mathbb{1}, \rho\rangle = \dim W^V_\rho$ where W_ρ is the space on which ρ acts and W^V_ρ is the subspace fixed by V. We have (cf. Lemma 4.27 and Corollary 4.25)

$$|\Xi^V_\gamma(f_\mathbb{A})| \leq \frac{2|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|} \sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(U)} \dim W^V_\rho |\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|$$

By the trace formula (Theorem 4.21) we get

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V} f - \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))f(1)| \leq \sum_{1\neq[\gamma]\in\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)} \mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash\mathrm{PD}^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})) \frac{2|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|} \sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(U)} \dim W^V_\rho |\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|.$$

After reversing the order of summation the right hand side reads

$$\sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(U)} \dim W^V_\rho \left[\sum_{1\neq[\gamma]\in\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)} \mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash\mathrm{PD}^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A}))\frac{2|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|\right].$$

The passage from $[U:V]\mathbb{1}_V$ to a sum of irreducible characters will be referred to as *normalization*. Our next step is bounding the orbital integrals of irreducible characters appearing on the right side. To this end we first extend the character bounds of Larsen [34] (see Section 6.1) to the case of p-adic analytic groups and deduce that the orbital integral of χ is bounded by $\chi(1)^{1-\delta}$ for some absolute $\delta > 0$ (see Section 6.5). Given such bound we can use the special representation zeta function and Lemma 8.2 to bound the sum

of orbital integrals over all irreducible characters. After that we will estimate the adelic volumes and the number of conjugacy classes with nontrivial contribution.

(3) **Orbital integrals.** Recall that U was a maximal open compact subgroup of $\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$. In Section 6 we give an upper bound on $|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})||\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|$ for an irreducible representation ρ of U. Since $U=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} U_\mathfrak{p}$ the character χ_ρ can be written as $\chi_\rho=\bigotimes_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f}\chi_\rho^\mathfrak{p}$ where $\chi_\rho^\mathfrak{p}$ are irreducible characters of $U_\mathfrak{p}$. We have

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f}|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho^\mathfrak{p})|.$$

The problem is now reduced to estimates on the local orbital integrals. This is one of the advantages of normalization since, in general, the characteristic function of a subgroup V of U does not admit a factorization over finite places. For a finite place $\mathfrak{p}$ we show in Proposition 6.5 that

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho^\mathfrak{p})|\ll|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-3/2}$$

where $\Delta(\gamma)$ is the Weyl discriminant of γ (see Notations 2.2) and the implicit constant is 1 for all but finitely many places. In the actual proof we have to control the precise value of the constant in terms of γ because we have to multiply this inequality over all finite places. After doing so we get that for δ sufficiently small we have (Proposition 6.14):

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|\ll 25^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}8^{\delta|\mathrm{Ram}^f(D)|}\chi_\rho(1)^{1-\delta},$$

if γ is torsion and (Proposition 6.15):

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|\ll \exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))2^{\delta|\mathrm{Ram}^f D|}\chi_\rho(1)^{1-\delta}$$

if γ has infinite order. In the non torsion case we rely crucially on Bilu's Equidistribution theorem (see Theorem 5.1 and Section 5).

The archimedean orbital integrals are estimated in Section 6.3. Recall that we work with the assumption that f is supported on a ball of radius R. In this case we show that the integral is bounded by

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)|\ll_R [k:\mathbb{Q}]^2\|f\|_\infty,$$

if γ is hyperbolic and

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)|\ll_R [k:\mathbb{Q}]^4\|f\|_\infty,$$

if γ is elliptic. Their contribution is polynomial in the degree of k, which will turn out to be negligible. Combining these two we get that when γ is non-torsion then

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})||\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|\ll_R \exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))2^{\delta|\mathrm{Ram}^f D|}\chi_\rho(1)^{1-\delta}.$$

(4) **An estimate on the adelic volumes.** To control the size of $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)\backslash\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}))$ we show in Proposition 9.6 that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)\backslash\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}))\leq\Lambda(1,\chi_{l/k})$$

where l is the quadratic extension of k generated by γ in D, $\chi_{l/k}$ is the unique nontrivial character of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(l/k)$ and $\Lambda(s,\chi_{l/k})$ is the completed Artin L-function associated to $\chi_{l/k}$. This is probably well known to experts. We give a self contained proof using periods of Eisenstein series. Next, using Bilu's equidistribution theorem and the

maximum principle, we show in Proposition 9.8 that when γ is not torsion and $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) \neq 0$ then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)\backslash \mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A})) \ll_\varepsilon \exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))\frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}.$$

(5) **Number of conjugacy classes.** The estimates on orbital integrals and the adelic volume allow us to give a uniform bound on the contribution of a single conjugacy class. Now we need to bound the number of classes with non-trivial contribution. As we show in Section 3.4 the conjugacy classes are either 2-torsion or they are determined by their eigenvalues. By Lemma 6.9 from Section 6.3 the eigenvalues of non torsion elements are Salem numbers. Then one can use Bilu equidistribution theorem and some geometric arguments to show that the number of classes with nontrivial contribution is of order $\exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))$.

(6) **Conclusion.** Putting the three last steps together we get that

$$\sum_{\substack{[\gamma]\in \mathrm{PD}^\times(k)\\ \text{torsion free}}} \mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash \mathrm{PD}^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A}))2|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})||\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)| \ll \tag{1.14}$$

$$\|f\|_\infty \exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))\frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}2^{\delta|\mathrm{Ram}^f D|}\chi_\rho(1)^{1-\delta}. \tag{1.15}$$

From which it follows that for a torsion free lattice Γ_V we have

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V} f - \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))f(1)| \ll \tag{1.16}$$

$$\frac{\|f\|_\infty}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}U}\dim W_\rho^V\left[\exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))\frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}2^{\delta|\mathrm{Ram}^f D|}\chi_\rho(1)^{1-\delta}\right]. \tag{1.17}$$

Using a variant of Lemma 8.2 we deduce that there exist positive constants α and b (depending only on δ) such that

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V} f - \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))f(1)| \ll \tag{1.18}$$

$$\|f\|_\infty \exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))\frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}2^{\delta|\mathrm{Ram}^f D|}\zeta_U^*(b)^\alpha\frac{[U:V]^{1-\alpha}}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|^{1-\alpha}}, \tag{1.19}$$

where ζ_U^* stands for the special representation zeta function of U. Note that in this bound, the only factor that depends on V is $\frac{[U:V]^{1-\alpha}}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|^{1-\alpha}}$. By comparing the estimate with the Borel Volume formula for maximal lattices (see [44, Chapter 11] and Section 9), Corollary 4.22 and a lower bound on discriminants due to Odlyzko ([38]) we get the inequality

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V} f - \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))f(1)| \ll \|f\|_\infty \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1-\alpha}.$$

This concludes the proof of Strong Limit Multiplicity for torsion free congruence lattices in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$.

Acknowledgment. This work is a part of the author's thesis done under the supervision of Emmanuel Breuillard. I would like to thank him for suggesting to me this problem as well as for many useful remarks and constant encouragement. Thanks go to Miklos Abert, Andrei Jaikin-Zapirain and Farrell Brumley for their interest and helpful conversations. I am also grateful to Bertrand Rémy for helping me understand the Bruhat-Tits buildings.

2. Notation

2.1. **Analysis.** We shall use Vinogradov notation. If f, g are two functions dependent, among others on a variable X we write $f \ll_X g$ if there exists a constant C dependent on X such that $f \leq Cg$ similarly we write $f \gg_X g$ if the opposite inequality is true. We will write $f = o_X(g)$ if $\lim \frac{g}{f} = 0$ and the speed of convergence depends on X. Logarithms are always in base e. We write $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ for the fields of rational, real and complex numbers respectively. Throughout the text $\mathbb{K}$ will mean either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. For any function f we write $\|f\|_\infty$ for the supremum norm and $\|f\|_{L^p}$ for the L^p norm whenever the latter can be defined. If z is a complex number we write $|z|$ for its modulus.

2.2. **Groups.** Let G be a group acting on a set X. For a subset $S \in G$ we write X^S for the set of points fixed by S, Gx for the orbit of G containing x and $\mathrm{Stab}_G x$ for the stabilizer of x. If H is a subgroup of G and $\gamma \in G$ we write $[\gamma]_H$ for the H-conjugacy class of γ. If $H = G$ we may omit the subscript and write $[\gamma]$. For two elements $x, y \in G$ we shall write $x \sim_H y$ if $y \in [x]_H$.

If G acts by automorphisms on the group X then we write $H^1(G, X)$ for the first cohomology set and if X is abelian we write $H^i(G, X)$ for the i-th cohomology group.

If G is a Lie group defined over a field F we shall write $\mathfrak{g}$ for its Lie algebra and $\mathrm{Ad} : G \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ for the adjoint action. We write $X^*(G)$ for the group of characters of G i.e. homomorphisms to F^*. If G is semisimple and T is a maximal torus of G we write $\Phi(G, T)$ for the set of roots of G with respect to T. For a semisimple element $\gamma \in G$ we define the Weyl discriminant $\Delta(\gamma)$ of γ as 0 if γ is not regular semisimple and otherwise as

$$\Delta(\gamma) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Phi(G,T)} (1 - \lambda(\gamma)),$$

where T is the maximal torus containing γ.

2.3. **Number Theory.** Throughout the text, the letter k will usually mean a number field. For a given number field k we write

- $\mathcal{O}_k$ for the ring of integers, M_k for the set places of k, M_k^f for the set of finite places and M_k^∞ for the set of infinite (archimedean) places, for any finite set $S \in M_k$ containing M_k^∞ write $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ for the ring of S-integers;
- For an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathcal{O}_k$ we write $N(\mathfrak{a})$ for the norm of $\mathfrak{a}$. It is defined as the cardinality of $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{a}$;
- Usually we shall use letters ν, ω to denote infinite or general places and $\mathfrak{p}$ is reserved for finite places. We identify the set of finite places with prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_k$ and write $q = N(\mathfrak{p})$ for the cardinality of the residue field $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$;
- For every $\nu \in M_k$ we write k_ν for the completion of k with respect to ν. For $x \in k_\nu$ we write $|x|_\nu$ for the valuation of x. The $\mathfrak{p}$-adic valuation is normalized so that $|\pi|_\mathfrak{p} = q^{-1}$ for the uniformizer π;
- Let l/k be a finite extension. We write $N_{l/k} \colon l \to k$ for the norm and $\mathrm{tr}_{l/k} \colon l \to k$ for the trace of the extension l/k;
- Write Δ_k for the discriminant of k and $\Delta_{l/k}$ for the relative discriminant of extension l/k. We have $\Delta_l = \Delta_k^{[l:k]} N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{l/k})$.

- For a non-archimedean local field F which is an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree d we write Δ_F for the ideal
$$\langle \det((\mathrm{tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p} x_i x_j)_{ij}) \mid x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathcal{O}_F \rangle.$$
We have
$$|\Delta_k| = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in M_k^f} |\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}.$$
- We write $\mathbb{A}_k$ for the ring of adeles of k. For most time we work with a single number field k so we omit the subscript and write $\mathbb{A}$ instead of $\mathbb{A}_k$;
- If S, F are subsets of M_k such that $M_k^\infty \subset S$ we write $\mathbb{A}_F^S$ for the ring of S-integral F-adeles which is defined as
$$\mathbb{A}_F^S = \prod_{\nu \in S \cap F}^{*} k_\nu \times \prod_{\nu \in F \setminus S} \mathcal{O}_{k_\nu}, \tag{2.1}$$
where * means that almost all coordinates are integers. When using this convention we replace M_k^∞ with symbol ∞ and M_k^f with f. For example $\mathbb{A}_f$ is the ring of finite adeles, $\mathbb{A}_\infty$ is the product of archimedean completions of k and $\mathbb{A}_f^\infty$ is the ring of finite adeles integral on all coordinates;
- For a Galois extension l/k we write $\mathrm{Gal}(l/k)$ for the Galois group. Usually we will denote the nontrivial elements of the Galois group by σ and write x^σ for the result of acting by σ on $x \in l$;
- For a number field l we denote the Dedekind Zeta function of l by $\zeta_l(s)$ and completed Dedekind Zeta function by $\xi_l(s)$;
- For a character χ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ we write $L(s, \chi)$ for the associated Artin L-function, $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ for the conductor of χ and $\Lambda(s, \chi)$ for the completed L-function. We will recall their definitions in Section 9 devoted to volumes of adelic quotients;
- Whenever D is a quaternion algebra over the field k we write $k_D^\times$ for $n(D^\times) \subset k^\times$ and $\mathbb{A}_D^\times$ for $n(D^\times(\mathbb{A})) \subset \mathbb{A}^\times$.
- Let D be a quaternion algebra defined over a non-archimedean local field $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Choose a maximal order $\mathcal{O}$ in D. We define $\Delta_{D/F}$ as the ideal $\langle \det((\mathrm{tr}(x_i x_j))_{ij}) \mid x_1, \dots, x_4 \in \mathcal{O} \rangle$. As all maximal orders in D are conjugate the definition does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{O}$.

2.4. **Volume conventions.** Let X be a topological space with a measure μ and let Γ be a group acting properly discontinuously on X and preserving μ. We write $\mathrm{Vol}_\mu(\Gamma \backslash X)$ for the measure of a fundamental domain of Γ. When X is a Riemannian manifold we usually take μ to be the volume form on X in which case we omit the subscript μ and write $\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma \backslash X)$ for the Riemannian volume of $\Gamma \backslash X$.

Let G be a reductive algebraic group defined over a local field F. We shall define a canonical Haar measure on G which will be called the standard measure of G. If F is archimedean then this measure will be defined uniquely while in the non-archimedean case it will depend on the choice of a maximal compact subgroup. Let us start with the archimedean semisimple case.

Definition 2.1. *Choose a maximal compact subgroup K of G. Let $X = G/K$ be the symmetric space equipped with the left G-invariant Riemannian metric associated to the Killing form of G*

(see [28]). Write dx for the volume form on X and dk for the normalized Haar measure on K. We define the standard Haar measure on G as the unique measure for which

$$\int f d\mu(g) = \int_X \int_K f(xk) dk dx \text{ for any } f \in C_c(G) \tag{2.2}$$

As all maximal compact subgroups are conjugate this definition does not depend on the choice of K.

When G is reductive let $H = [G^o, G^o]$ be the maximal connected semisimple subgroup of G. The connected component $T_1 := (G/H)^o$ of $T_0 := G/H$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^a \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^b$. We endow T_1 with a Haar measure

$$dt = \prod_{i=1}^{a} \frac{dt_i}{t_i} \times \prod_{j=1}^{b} \frac{dx_i dy_i}{2\pi(x_i^2 + y_i^2)^{1/2}}$$

and extend it to T_0 by putting $dt/[T_1 : T_0]$ on each connected component. Now we are ready to define the standard measure on arbitrary reductive algebraic group over an archimedean field.

Definition 2.2. *The standard measure on G is defined as the unique measure dg for which*

$$\int f d\mu(g) = \int_{T_0} \int_H f(th) dh dt \text{ for any } f \in C_c(G), \tag{2.3}$$

where dh stands for the standard measure on H.

In a reductive non-archimedean case the definition is analogous but a bit simpler because maximal compact subgroups are open.

Definition 2.3. *Choose a maximal compact subgroup U of G. The quotient $X = G/U$ is a discrete space. Write dx for the counting measure on X and dk for the normalized Haar measure on U. We define the standard Haar measure on G as the unique measure for which*

$$\int f d\mu(g) = \int_X \int_K f(xk) dk dx \text{ for any } f \in C_c(G) \tag{2.4}$$

The definition depends on the choice of maximal compact subgroup. There are finitely many conjugacy classes of maximal compact subgroups in G (cf. [41, Chapter 3.4]).

The definition of the standard measure extends to the adele groups. Let k be a number field and let G be a reductive algebraic group defined over k. The group $G(\mathbb{A})$ is a locally compact group. Let us fix a maximal compact subgroup U of the group of finite adeles $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. By maximality U decomposes as a product $U = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in M_k^f} U_\mathfrak{p}$ with $U_\mathfrak{p}$ maximal in $G(k_\mathfrak{p})$. Then the standard measure on $G(\mathbb{A})$ is defined as $\bigotimes_{\nu \in M_k} \mu_\nu$ where μ_ν is the standard Haar measure on $G(k_\nu)$.

2.5. **Representation Theory.** For any topological group G write $\mathrm{Irr}G$ for the set of equivalence classes of irreducible, continuous unitary representations of G. Whenever ρ is a unitary representation of G we shall write W_ρ for the underlying Hilbert space. For a finite dimensional representation we write χ_ρ for the character of ρ. For any closed subgroup H of G and representations ρ_1, ρ_2 of G we write $\langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_H(\rho_1, \rho_2)$. If ρ_1, ρ_2 are finite dimensional then we also write $\langle \chi_{\rho_1}, \chi_{\rho_2} \rangle = \langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle$.

3. Preliminaries on quaternion algebras

3.1. **Quaternion algebra.** Throughout this section let F be an arbitrary field of characteristic different than 2.

Definition 3.1. *An associative unital algebra A over F is called a quaternion algebra if it is 4 dimensional and there exist $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \in A$ such that:*

- $1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ *is a basis of A over F;*
- $\mathbf{i^2}, \mathbf{j^2}, \mathbf{k^2} \in F^\times$;
- $ij = -ji = k$.

If A satisfies the above conditions the values $\mathbf{i}^2 = a, \mathbf{j}^2 = b$ determine A up to F-isomorphism and we write $A = \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$.

The quaternion algebras can be also defined as central simple algebras of dimension 4. The simplest example of a quaternion algebra is the ring of 2×2 matrices with coefficients in F. Indeed if we put

$$\mathbf{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

then $M(2, F) = F \oplus F\mathbf{i} \oplus F\mathbf{j} \oplus F\mathbf{k} \simeq \left(\frac{1,1}{F}\right)$. Let E be a an extension of F, we say that a quaternion algebra A splits over E if $A \otimes_F E \simeq M(2, E)$. If A splits over F we just say that it splits.

Proposition 3.2. *[44, Chapter 2] The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *A quaternion algebra $\left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$ splits;*
2. *the quadratic form $x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 + abt^2$ is isotropic;*
3. *$-ay^2 - bz^2 - abt^2$ is isotropic.*

In particular every quaternion algebra A over F splits over the algebraic closure $\overline{F}$.

3.2. **Norm, trace and involution.** Let $A = \left(\frac{a,b}{f}\right)$ be a quaternion algebra over F. Let $x = x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2 + \mathbf{j}x_3 + \mathbf{k}x_4$. The **standard involution** on A is defined as

$$\overline{x} = x_1 - \mathbf{i}x_2 - \mathbf{j}x_3 - \mathbf{k}x_4.$$

This map is an F-linear antihomomorphism i.e; $\overline{x+y} = \overline{x} + \overline{y}$ and $\overline{xy} = \overline{yx}$. The **trace** on A is defined as

$$\mathrm{tr}(x) = x + \overline{x} = 2x_1.$$

The **norm** on A is defined as

$$n(x_1 + x_2\mathbf{i} + x_3\mathbf{j} + x_4\mathbf{k}) = x\overline{x} = x_1^2 - ax_2^2 - bx_3^2 + abx_4^2.$$

For any element $x \in A$ we have $x^2 - \mathrm{tr}(x)x + n(x) = 0$. In particular if $F(x)$ is a subfield of A then $n(x) = N_{F(x)/F}(x)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{F(x)/F}(x)$. We can reformulate this as

Lemma 3.3. *Let A be a quaternion algebra over F and E be a subfield of A different than F. Then n, tr restrict respectively to the norm and trace of the extension E/F on E.*

An element $x \in A$ is invertible if and only if $n(x) \neq 0$. If that is the case, we have $x^{-1} = \frac{\overline{x}}{n(x)}$.

3.3. **Quaternion algebras and algebraic groups.** Given a quaternion algebra A we can associate to it three linear algebraic groups:

$$A^\times = \{x \in A | n(x) \neq 0\} \tag{3.2}$$

$$A^1 = 1\{x \in A | n(x) = 1\} \tag{3.3}$$

$$PA^\times = A^\times / Z(A^\times) = A^\times / \mathbb{G}_m \tag{3.4}$$

If A is split then they are isomorphic to $GL(2,F), SL(2,F), PGL(2,F)$ respectively. In particular the last two are simple algebraic groups of type A_1. Recall that we write G_γ for the centralizer of γ in G.

Lemma 3.4. *Let γ be a non-central, semisimple element of $PA^\times(F)$ and let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be one of its preimages in $A^\times$ and let ω_1, ω_2 be the roots of the polynomial $X^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{\gamma})X + n(\tilde{\gamma})$. If $\omega_1, \omega_2 \notin F$ put $E = F(\omega_1)$. Then*

1. *$F[\gamma] \simeq F^2$ if $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in F$ and $F[\gamma] \simeq F[\omega]$ otherwise;*
2. *$PA^\times_\gamma \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$ if $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in F$ and $PA^\times_\gamma \simeq \mathrm{Res}^1_{E/F}\mathbb{G}_m$ otherwise;*
3. *$PA^\times_\gamma$ is a maximal torus of $PA^\times$. If λ_1, λ_2 are the roots of $PA^\times$ with respect to $PA^\times_\gamma$ then up to a change of enumeration $\lambda_1(\gamma) = \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}$ and $\lambda_2(\gamma) = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$;*
4. *The Weyl discriminant of γ is given by*

$$\Delta(\gamma) = (1 - \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2})(1 - \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1})$$

The same statement holds for $\gamma \in A^1(F)$.

Proof. (1) follows from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem. For (2) observe that $F[\tilde{\gamma}]$ is the centralizer of $\tilde{\gamma}$ in A so on the level of F-points we have $PA^\times_\gamma \simeq F[\tilde{\gamma}]^\times / F^\times$. If $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in F$ then by (1) $PA^\times_\gamma \simeq \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m / \Delta\mathbb{G}_m \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$, where Δ is the diagonal embedding. If $E = F[\tilde{\gamma}]$ is a quadratic extension of F then $PA^\times_\gamma \simeq \mathrm{Res}_{E/F}\mathbb{G}_m / \mathbb{G}_m$. By Hilbert's Theorem 90 the latter is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Res}^1_{E/F}\mathbb{G}_m$ via the map $x \mapsto x^{-1}x^\sigma$ where σ is the generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$. For (3) recall that $\lambda_1(\gamma), \lambda_2(\gamma)$ are the nontrivial eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Ad}\,\gamma$. (4) follows directly from (3) and the definition of the Weyl discriminant (see Notations 2.2). □

3.4. **Conjugacy classes.**

Theorem 3.5 (Skolem-Noether)**.** *Let A be a central simple algebra over F and B a simple algebra over F. Any two nontrivial F-homomorphisms $\phi_1 \colon B \to A$ and $\phi_2 \colon B \to A$ are conjugate by an element of $A^\times$*

From this we can deduce:

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be a non split quaternion algebra over a field F. Let $\gamma \in PA^\times$ and let λ be the one of the non-trivial eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Ad}\,\gamma$.*

1. *γ and γ^{-1} are conjugate in $PA^\times$;*
2. *γ is 2-torsion if and only if $\lambda = -1$. Otherwise $\lambda \notin F^\times$ and the values of λ, λ^{-1} determine the $PA^\times$-conjugacy class of γ;*
3. *Write $n \colon PA^\times \to F^\times/(F^\times)^2$ for the map induced by the norm. The map n induces an injective map from the set of 2-torsion conjugacy classes in $PA^\times$ to $n(A^\times)/(F^\times)^2$. Moreover for any lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ we have $F[\tilde{\gamma}] \simeq F[\sqrt{-n(\gamma)}]$.*

Proof. (1) Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a lift of γ to $A^\times$ and $E = F(\tilde{\gamma})$ be the subfield of A generated by $\tilde{\gamma}$. It is a quadratic extension of F. Let σ be the generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$, it acts on E by an F-automorphism so by Skolem-Noether theorem there exists an $a \in A^\times$ such that $x^\sigma = axa^{-1}$ for all $x \in E$. In particular

$$\tilde{\gamma} a \tilde{\gamma} a^{-1} = \tilde{\gamma}\tilde{\gamma}^\sigma = n(\tilde{\gamma}) \in F^\times.$$

But this means that $a\gamma a^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}$.

(2) If $\lambda = -1$ then the eigenvalues of γ^2 are both equal to 1 so γ is 2-torsion. Conversely, if γ^2 then $\lambda = \lambda^{-1} = -1$. Assume that γ is not 2-torsion. We claim that $F(\lambda)$ is a quadratic extension of F. Choose an element $\tilde{\gamma} \in A^\times$ lifting γ. Write ω_1, ω_2 for the roots of the characteristic polynomial of $\tilde{\gamma}$. We have $\omega_1 = \lambda\omega_2$ and

$$(1+\lambda)\omega_2 = \omega_1 + \omega_2 = \mathrm{tr}\tilde{\gamma} \in F.$$

Since γ is not 2-torsion $\lambda + 1 \neq 0$. If $\lambda \in F$ then $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in F^\times$. This cannot happen unless A is split. Thus, we have $\lambda \notin F$. We have $\lambda \in F(\omega_1)$ so by comparing degrees we get $F(\lambda) = F(\omega_1) \simeq F(\gamma) \subset A$.

Now we prove that if $\lambda \neq -1$ then it determines uniquely the conjugacy class of γ in $PA^\times$. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in PA^\times$ be elements with same eigenvalues λ, λ^{-1}, different than -1. Let $\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_1$ be their lifts to $A^\times$. We have shown that $F(\tilde{\gamma}_1) \simeq F(\tilde{\gamma}_2) \simeq F(\lambda)$. Thus by the Skolem-Noether theorem (Thm 3.5) we find $a \in A^\times$ such that $a\tilde{\gamma}_2 a^{-1} \in F(\tilde{\gamma}_1)$. We can replace γ_2 by $a\gamma_2 a^{-1}$. The equality of eigenvalues and a simple computation using characteristic polynomials implies that either $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ or $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2^{-1}$. By the point (1) of present proposition γ_1 and γ_2 are conjugate.

(3) Let γ be a 2-torsion element in $PA^\times$. We claim that $n(\gamma) \in F^\times/(F^\times)^2$ determines uniquely the $PA^\times$ conjugacy class of γ. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a lift of γ to $A^\times$ and $E = F(\tilde{\gamma})$ be the subfield of A generated by $\tilde{\gamma}$. It is a quadratic extension of F. Let σ be the generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$. We have $(\tilde{\gamma}^\sigma/\tilde{\gamma})^2 = 1$ so $\tilde{\gamma}^\sigma = -\tilde{\gamma}$, otherwise $\tilde{\gamma}$ would be in $F^\times$. Thus $n(\tilde{\gamma}) = -\tilde{\gamma}^2$ and consequently $E \simeq F(\sqrt{-n(\tilde{\gamma})})$. The isomorphism class of E depends only on the class of $n(\tilde{\gamma})$ modulo $(F^\times)^2$ so it is determined uniquely by the value of $n(\gamma)$. If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in PA^\times$ are 2-torsion and $n(\gamma_1) = n(\gamma_2)$ then $F(\tilde{\gamma}_1) \simeq F(\tilde{\gamma}_2)$ so by Skolem-Noether $\tilde{\gamma}_2 \in F(\tilde{\gamma}_1)$ up to conjugation. But then $n(\gamma_1) = n(\gamma_2)$ implies that $\tilde{\gamma}_1^2 \equiv \tilde{\gamma}_1^2$ modulo $(F^\times)^2$ so $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$.

□

3.5. **Quaternion algebras over local fields.**

Proposition 3.7. *Let F be a local field. If F is non-archimedean or $\mathbb{R}$ there exist exactly two isomorphism classes of quaternion algebras over F. If F is non-archimedean there exists unique unramified quadratic extension E/F.Let π be the uniformizer of F and σ the nontrivial Galois automorphism of E/F. The unique division quaternion algebras over F is given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ \pi y^\sigma & x^\sigma \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } x, y \in E$$

If $F = \mathbb{R}$ then the unique division quaternion algebra are the Hamilton quaternions

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{R} + \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} + \mathbf{j}\mathbb{R} + \mathbf{k}\mathbb{R} \text{ where } \mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = -1 \text{ and } \mathbf{ij} = -\mathbf{ji} = \mathbf{k}$$

Lemma 3.8 (cf. [44, Exercise 7.5.2])**.** *If F is a non-archimedean local field of residue field of size q and D is a quaternion algebra over F then $|\Delta_{D/F}| = 1$ if D is split and q^{-2} if D is a division algebra.*

Proof. In the split case $D \simeq M(2,F)$. Choose $\mathcal{O} = M(2,\mathcal{O}_F)$ and x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Then $\det((\mathrm{tr} x_i x_j)_{ij}) = -1$ so by definition $|\Delta_{D/F}| = 1$. If D is a division algebra then $\Delta_{D/F} = \pi^2 \mathcal{O}_F$ by [44, Theorem 6.4.1] so $|\Delta_{D/F}| = q^{-2}$, as desired. □

3.6. **Quaternion algebras over number fields.**

Proposition 3.9 ([44, Chapter 7])**.** *Let k be a number field and let D be a quaternion algebra defined over k. The set* $\mathrm{Ram} D$ *is finite of even cardinality and it determines the isomorphism class of D. Conversely, for every subset S of M_k of even cardinality there exists a unique up to isomorphism quaternion algebra D such that* $\mathrm{Ram} D = S$.

4. Trace formula for congruence lattices

Let $G = \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ (or $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$) where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. In this section we give a parametrization of maximal irreducible arithmetic lattices in G, and prove trace formulas for $\Gamma\backslash G$ for Γ an arithmetic congruence lattice. The first section follows the exposition from [44, Chapter 11.4]. In the next we switch to the adelic setting and give a construction of maximal lattices, originally due to Borel. In Sections 3, 4 and 5 we develop a trace formula valid for arbitrary congruence arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$.

4.1. **Commensurability classes.** Let us begin with the parametrization of the commensurability classes of irreducible arithmetic lattices of G, those will be in one-to-one correspondence with certain quaternion algebras defined over number fields.

Let k be a number field with at most one complex place. We enumerate its infinite places $M_k^\infty = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{r_1+r_2}\}$ in such a way that $k_{\nu_1} = \mathbb{K}$. Let D be a quaternion algebra over k (i.e. $D = \left(\frac{a,b}{k}\right)$ for some $a, b \in k^\times$) which splits only in one infinite place ν_1. Every algebra D satisfying above conditions will be called *admissible*. To shorten the formulas we will write $d = r_1 + r_2$. We introduce two isogeneous algebraic groups

$$D^1 = \{x \in D \mid n(x) = 1\} \text{ and } PD^\times = D^\times / ZD^\times$$

where $ZD^\times$ stands for the center of $D^\times$. We have

$$D^1(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K}) \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})^{d-1} = G \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})^{d-1}$$
$$PD^\times(k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}) \times \mathrm{PO}(3,\mathbb{R})^{d-1}$$

The classical procedure for constructing an arithmetic lattice in G is to take an order $\mathcal{O}$ in D and project $\mathcal{O}^1$ to G. The resulting subgroup, which we denote by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}^1}$ is an arithmetic lattice. Changing the order $\mathcal{O}$ gives a commensurable lattice so every admissible algebra D gives a well defined commensurability class, for which we will write $\mathcal{C}(D)$.

Definition 4.1. *A lattice Γ of G is an irreducible arithmetic lattice if it is, up to automorphisms of G, commensurable with $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}^1}$ for certain admissible D.*

The assignment $D \mapsto \mathcal{C}(D)$ is a bijection between the set of admissible quaternion algebras and the commensurability classes of arithmetic lattices of G.

4.2. **Maximal lattices.** It has been observed by Borel, that even if we take $\mathcal{O}$ to be a maximal order on D the resulting lattice $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}^1}$ is not maximal in the set-theoretic sense. Instead we have to look for maximal lattices among the normalizers of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}^1}$'s. Alternatively we may construct the maximal lattices using a projection from $PD^\times$ rather that D^1. [5] Write PG for the group $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ and π for the projection $\pi\colon PG \to G$. A lattice Γ in G is maximal if and only if $\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is maximal in PG. Similarly Γ is arithmetic if and only if $\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is. Thus, we may study the problem for PG. Instead of working with orders it will be more instructive to switch to the adelic setting. We have

$$PD^\times(\mathbb{A}) \simeq PG \times PO(3,R)^{d-1} \times PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$$

The group $PD^\times(k)$ is embedded diagonally in $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$ as a lattice. For any open compact subgroup U of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ we put $\Gamma_U = PD^\times(k) \cap PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_\infty)U$ and by abuse of notation we write the same for the projection of Γ_U to PG. Then Γ_U is an arithmetic lattice in PG and all arithmetic lattices are commensurable with ones constructed in this fashion.

Definition 4.2. *A lattice γ of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ is called arithmetic if it is commensurable with Γ_U for some choice of U.*

Let Γ be a maximal arithmetic irreducible lattice in PG. We argue that Γ is of form Γ_U for some maximal open compact subgroup U of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f^\infty)$. Pick V open and compact, such that $\Gamma \cap \Gamma_V$ has finite index in Γ and Γ_V, we can do this by arithmeticity of Γ. We claim that elements of Γ have rational coefficients.

Lemma 4.3. *If Γ and Γ_V are commensurable, then Γ is contained in the projection of $PD^\times(k)$ onto PG.*

Proof. We identify PG with $PD^\times(k_{\nu_1})$. The lattice Γ_V by construction lies in $PD^\times(k)$. We want to show that the same holds for Γ. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and let $\Lambda := \gamma^{-1}\Gamma_V\gamma \cap \Gamma_V$. The group Λ has a finite index in Γ so it is a lattice in PG. By Borel's density theorem Λ is a Zariski dense subset of $PD^\times(k_{\nu_1})$ contained in $PD^\times(k)$. The map $x \mapsto \gamma x \gamma^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $PD^\times(k_{\nu_1})$ defined over k_{ν_1} which maps Λ into a subset of Γ_V. In particular it maps a Zariski dense subset of points defined over k to points defined over k, which by [53, Proposition 3.1.10] implies that $x \mapsto \gamma x \gamma^{-1}$ is defined over k. As $PD^\times$ is an adjoint group we deduce that $\gamma \in PD^\times(k)$. □

Once we know that Γ is contained in $PD^\times(k)$ we can see it as a subset of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$. Γ and Γ_V were commensurable so for every finite place $\mathfrak{p} \in M_k^f$ the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic closure of Γ denoted $\Gamma^{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an open compact subgroup of $DP^\times(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Hence for any $\mathfrak{p}$ we can find an open compact subset $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $DP^\times(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $\Gamma \subset PD^\times(k) \cap PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_\infty) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in M_k^f} U_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By maximality of Γ the last inclusion has to be an equality. We obtain the following:

Proposition 4.4. *Let Γ be a maximal arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ lying in the commensurability class $\mathcal{C}(D)$. Then there exists a maximal open compact subgroup U of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ such that $\Gamma = \pi^{-1}(\Gamma_U)$.*

[5] The reason why most sources start with a construction using D^1 is that this algebraic group is simply connected so it satisfies the Strong Approximation Property which makes it easier to work with.

4.3. **Packets of maximal lattices.** For this section we assume familiarity with the theory of Bruhat-Tits trees of $\mathrm{SL}(2,F)$ where F is a non-archimedean local field. For necessary background see [44, Chapter 5.2.1]. We shall write $X(SL(2,F))$ for the tree associated to $\mathrm{SL}(2,F)$. Recall that the adjoint group $\mathrm{PGL}(2,F)$ also acts on $X(SL(2,F))$. This action is transitive on the vertices and on the edges. In order to use Proposition 4.4 we have to describe the set of maximal open compact subgroups of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Such a group U can always be written as a product

$$U=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} U_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $PD^\times(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and for almost all places $U_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}})$. If D is ramified at $\mathfrak{p}$ then $PD^\times(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is compact so $U_{\mathfrak{p}}=PD^\times(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$. If that is not the case then $PD^\times(k_{\mathfrak{p}})\simeq\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and by Tits fixed point theorem and maximality, $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is either a stabilizer of a vertex or of an edge. The set S of places where $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a stabilizer of an edge determines the $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$ conjugacy class of U. Indeed, if $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the stabiliser of a vertex or edge v then $g^{-1}U_{\mathfrak{p}}g$ is the stabilizer of vg. The action of $PD^\times(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is transitive both on vertices and on edges of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ so all stabilizers of vertices are conjugate and likewise all stabilizers of edges are conjugate.

Definition 4.5. *Let S be a finite subset of $M_k^f\setminus\mathrm{Ram}^f(D)$. We write $\mathcal{C}(D,S)$ for the set of conjugacy classes of arithmetic lattices Γ_U with U maximal open compact subgroup of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ given by*

$$U=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} U_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

where $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ stabilizes an edge of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p}\in S$ and $U_{\mathfrak{p}}=PD^\times(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for $\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Ram}^f D$. The set $C(D,S)$ will be called a packet of arithmetic lattices.

Even though all sets U satisfying the conditions imposed in the definition are conjugate in $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$ the resulting lattices Γ_U need not be conjugate in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$. That is why a packet may contain multiple conjugacy classes. We also point out that depending on the choice of S, a packet may consists of non-maximal lattices.

We shall parametrize the conjugacy classes inside $\mathcal{C}(D,S)$ using certain class group. The result is similar to the classification of maximal orders in a quaternion algebra from [44, Chapter 6.7]. Recall that subscript D means that we take only elements positive on all archimedean places of k where D is ramified. Recall that $k_D=n(D)$, $\mathbb{A}_D=n(D(\mathbb{A}))$ and $k^F=\prod_{\nu\in F}k_\nu$ for any finite subset F of M_k. We will write $\mathbb{A}_{\infty,D}$ for $n(D(\mathbb{A}_\infty))$.

Definition 4.6. *For any finite subset $S\subset M_k^f\setminus\mathrm{Ram}^f(D)$ we define the class group $\mathrm{cl}_S(D)=\mathrm{cl}_S$ (we omit D when it is clear from the context) by*

$$\mathrm{cl}_S:=\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})/U\simeq\mathbb{A}_D^\times/k_D^\times\mathbb{A}_{\infty,D}^\times(k^{S\cup\mathrm{Ram}^f(D)})^\times.$$

The second isomorphism is induced by the norm map.

Remark 4.7. *The class group cl_S is a quotient of the narrow class group $\mathrm{cl}^+(k)$ of exponent 2.*

Proposition 4.8. *There is a surjective map from the group cl_S to the set $\mathcal{C}(D,S)$. In particular the packets are finite.*

For the proof we will need:

Lemma 4.9. *Lattices Γ_U and Γ_V are conjugate in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ if and only if they are conjugate in $PD^\times(k)$.*

Proof. Like in the proof of 4.3 any automorphism mapping Γ_U into Γ_V has to be defined over k. Thus, every g such that $g\Gamma_U g^{-1} = \Gamma_V$ lies in $PD^\times(k)$. □

By abuse of notation we shall also denote by n the map $n\colon PD^\times(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{A}^\times/(\mathbb{A}^\times)^2$ induced by the norm map.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $U_\mathfrak{p}$ be a maximal open compact subgroup of $PD^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})$. All matrices written below are to be understood as their image in the projective group.*

- *If D splits over $k_\mathfrak{p}$ and $U_\mathfrak{p}$ stabilizes a vertex of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$ then, up to conjugacy $U_\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$. We have $n(U_\mathfrak{p} {k_\mathfrak{p}}^\times) = \mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}^\times (k_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^2$.*
- *If D splits over $k_\mathfrak{p}$ and $U_\mathfrak{p}$ stabilizes an edge then, up to conjugacy*

$$U_\mathfrak{p} = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}^\times & \mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}^\times \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

  where π is the uniformizer of $k_\mathfrak{p}$. We have $n(U_\mathfrak{p} {k_\mathfrak{p}}^\times) = k_\mathfrak{p}^\times$.
- *If $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ram}^f(D)$ then $U_\mathfrak{p} = PD^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})$. Let E be the unique unramified quadratic extension of $k_\mathfrak{p}$. Then we can represent $U_\mathfrak{p}$ as*

$$U_\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ \pi y^\sigma & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in E \right\},$$

  where σ is the unique non-trivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_\mathfrak{p})$. We have $n(U_\mathfrak{p} {k_\mathfrak{p}}^\times) = k_\mathfrak{p}^\times$.

The proof follows from the description of maximal compact subgroups as stabilizers of a point or an edge in the Bruhat-Tits tree. To see the last part note that $N_{E/k_\mathfrak{p}}$ is surjective ([32]).

Theorem 4.11 (Strong Approximation Property, [41, 7.1])**.** *The group $D^1(k)$ is dense in $D^1(\mathbb{A}_f)$.*

Proposition 4.12 ([44, Theorem 7.41])**.** *The image of the norm map $k_D^\times := n(D^\times(k))$ is equal to $\{x \in k^\times \mid (x)_\nu > 0$ for all $\nu \in \mathrm{Ram}^\infty(D)\}$.*

Proof of Proposition 4.8. By Lemma 4.9 we can look at $PD^\times(k)$ conjugacy classes of lattices in $\mathcal{C}(D,S)$. Fix a maximal open compact subgroup U of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f^)$ stabilizing edges only for $\mathfrak{p} \in S$. We start with the parametrization of $PD^\times(k)$-conjugacy classes of subgroups U' conjugate to U under $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$, for simplicity we refer to this set as $C_1(D,S)$. By maximality U is equal to its normalizer in $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Hence

$$C_1(D,S) \simeq PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})/PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_\infty)U \simeq D^\times(k)\backslash D^\times(\mathbb{A})/D^\times(\mathbb{A}_\infty)(A_f^\infty)^\times U. \tag{4.1}$$

Put $U^1 = \{x \in (A_f)^\times U \mid n(x) = 1\}$. By Strong Approximation

$$D^1\backslash D^1(\mathbb{A})/D^1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)U^1 = 1.$$

Hence, the map from (4.1) induced by the norm

$$n\colon D^\times(k)\backslash D^\times(\mathbb{A})/D^\times(\mathbb{A}_\infty)(A_f^\infty)^\times U \to k_D^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_D^\times/(\mathbb{A}_{\infty,D})^\times(\mathbb{A}^\times)^2 n((A_f^\infty)^\times U)$$

is an isomorphism. By Lemma 4.10

$$n((A_f^\infty)^\times U) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f\setminus S} (k_\mathfrak{p}^\times)^2\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}^\times \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\cup \mathrm{Ram}^f(D)} k_\mathfrak{p}^\times.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{C}_1(D,S) \simeq k_D^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times/(\mathbb{A}^\infty)^\times(\mathbb{A}^\times)^2 n((\mathbb{A}_f)^\times U) \simeq \mathbb{A}_D^\times/k_D^\times \mathbb{A}_D^{S\cup \mathrm{Ram}^f(D)}(\mathbb{A}^\times)^2 = \mathrm{cl}_S. \tag{4.2}$$

If two groups U, U' are conjugate under $PD^\times(k)$ then the lattices Γ_U and $\Gamma_{U'}$ are also conjugate under $PD^\times(k)$. This gives us a well defined surjective map from $\mathrm{cl}_S \simeq \mathcal{C}_1(D,S) \to \mathcal{C}(D,S)$. □

4.4. **Trace formula for maximal lattices.** Recall that the geometric side of the Selberg Trace formula yields that for any cocompact lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ and any function $f \in C_c(G)$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr} R_\Gamma f = \sum_{[\gamma]_\Gamma} \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_\gamma\backslash G_\gamma) \int_{G/G_\gamma} f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx,$$

where R_Γ is the right translation on $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ In this section we develop an adelic version of the trace formula for maximal lattices which allows to express the geometric side as a sum over rational conjugacy classes in $PD^\times(k)$. In the next section we generalize this procedure to arbitrary congruence lattices. **Throughout the following sections we assume that D is not split** so there will be no continuous spectrum.

Let S be a finite subset of $M_k^f \setminus \mathrm{Ram}^f(D)$. We fix U a maximal compact subgroup of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f^\infty)$ stabilizing an edge for $\mathfrak{p} \in S$. We fix the standard Haar measure (see section Volume Conventions) $\mu_\mathbb{A}$ on $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$ given by

$$\mu_\mathbb{A} = \mu_{\nu_0} \times \dots \mu_{\nu_d} \times \mu_{\mathbb{A}_f} \tag{4.3}$$

Where μ_{ν_0} is the volume on $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$, μ_{ν_i} is the normalized Haar measure on $\mathrm{PO}(3,\mathbb{R})$ for $d \geq i > 1$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{A}_f}$ is a Haar measure on $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ normalized so that $\mu_{\mathbb{A}_f}(U) = 1$. We write $R\colon PD^\times(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})))$ for the right translation $(R(g)\phi)(x) = \phi(xg)$.

For any $f \in C_c(\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))$ we define $f_\mathbb{A} \in C_c(PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))$ as $f_\mathbb{A} = f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty} \otimes f_{\mathbb{A}_f}$ where

$$f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty} = f \otimes \bigotimes_{i=2}^d \mathbb{1}_{PO(3,\mathbb{R})}$$
$$f_{\mathbb{A}_f} = \mathbb{1}_U$$

Using $f_\mathbb{A}$ we can relate the traces of $R_{\Gamma_U} f$ and $Rf_\mathbb{A}$. The following lemma illustrates this principle.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $1 = c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$ be representatives of cl_S in $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and put $U_i = c_i U c_i^{-1}$. With $f_\mathbb{A}$ and measure $\mu_\mathbb{A}$ defined as above, we have $\sum_{i=1}^k \mathrm{tr} R_{\Gamma_{U_i}} f = \mathrm{tr} R f_\mathbb{A}$.*

Proof. To shorten notation, write $\tilde{U}$ for $\prod_{i=2}^d PD^\times(k_{\nu_i}) \times U$. Observe that the image of $Rf_\mathbb{A}$ lies in the $\tilde{U}$-fixed vectors of $L^2(\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)\backslash \mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}))$, so $\mathrm{tr} Rf_\mathbb{A} = \mathrm{tr} Rf_\mathbb{A} \mid_{L^2(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))^{\tilde{U}}}$. We have a $PD^\times(k_{\nu_1})$-equivariant isometry

$$L^2(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))^{\tilde{U}} \simeq L^2(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})/\tilde{U}).$$

By repeating the arguments from the proof of 4.8 we get a $PD^\times(k_{\nu_1})$-equivariant bijection

$$PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})/\tilde{U} \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k \Gamma_{U_i}\backslash PD^\times(k_{\nu_1}),$$

so

$$L^2(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})/\tilde{U}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} L^2(\Gamma_{U_i}\backslash PD^\times(k_{\nu_1}))$$

as unitary representations of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\nu_1})$. Thus $\mathrm{tr}Rf_{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_{U_i}} f$. □

As a first step to isolate the trace $\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_U} f$ we shall consider a family of modified operators on $L^2(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))$.

Definition 4.14. *Let $\chi : PD^\times(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}^1$ be a smooth character vanishing on $PD^\times(k)$. For any continuous, compactly supported $f \in C_c(PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))$ we define an operator $R^\chi f : L^2(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})) \to L^2(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))$ by*

$$(R^\chi f(\phi))(x) = (Rf(\phi))(x)\chi(x).$$

Proposition 4.15.

$$\mathrm{tr}R^\chi f = \sum_{\substack{[\gamma]_{PD^\times(k)} \\ \chi|_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})}=1}} \mathrm{Vol}(PD^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})) \int_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} \chi(x) f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(R^\chi f(\phi))(x) &= \chi(x)\int_{PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} f(y)\phi(xy)dy \\ &= \chi(x)\int_{PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} f(x^{-1}y)\phi(y)dy \\ &= \int_{PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} \left[\sum_{\gamma\in PD^\times(k)} \chi(x) f(x^{-1}\gamma y)\right]\phi(y)dy\end{aligned}$$

So the operator $(R^\chi f(\phi))$ is given by the kernel $K^\chi(x,y) = \sum_{\gamma\in PD^\times(k)} \chi(x) f(x^{-1}\gamma y)$. The algebra D is not split so the quotient $PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$ is compact. For a compact quotient, the kernel is integrable on the diagonal and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}R^\chi f &= \int_{PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} K^\chi(x,x)dx \\ &= \sum_{\gamma\in PD^\times(k)} \int_{PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} \chi(x) f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx \\ &= \sum_{[\gamma]_{PD^\times(k)}} \int_{PD^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} \chi(x) f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx \\ &= \sum_{[\gamma]_{PD^\times(k)}} \int_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} \int_{PD^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})} \chi(zx) f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dzdx \\ &= \sum_{\substack{[\gamma]_{PD^\times(k)} \\ \chi|_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})}=1}} \mathrm{Vol}(PD^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})) \int_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} \chi(x) f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.16. *Let $1 = c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$ be representatives of cl_S chosen from $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and put $U_i = c_i U c_i^{-1}$. Let χ be a smooth character of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$ that factors through cl_S or equivalently, vanishes on $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_\infty)U$ and $PD^\times(k)$. We have $\mathrm{tr} R^\chi f_{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \chi(c_i) \mathrm{tr} R_{\Gamma_{U_i}} f$*

Proof. As in the proof of the Lemma 4.13 we will exploit the fact that the image $R^\chi f$ lies in the subspace of $\tilde{U}$-fixed vectors (for the definition of $\tilde{U}$ see the proof of Lemma 4.13), so by recalling the proof of Lemma 4.13 we get

$$PD^\times(\mathbb{A}) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k PD^\times(k) c_i \tilde{U} PD^\times(k_{\nu_1}). \tag{4.4}$$

Hence

$$L^2(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))^{\tilde{U}} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k L^2(\Gamma_{U_i}\backslash PD^\times(k_{\nu_1}))$$

Using Equation (4.4) we get under that the last isomorphism the character χ is given by

$$\chi = \sum_{i=1}^k \chi(c_i) \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_{U_i}\backslash PD^\times(k_{\nu_1})}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{tr} R^\chi f_{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \chi(c_i) \mathrm{tr} R_{\Gamma_{U_i}} f.$$

□

Corollary 4.17.

$$\mathrm{tr} R_{\Gamma_{U_i}} f = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{cl}_S|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathrm{cl}_S}} \overline{\chi(c_i)} \mathrm{tr} R^\chi f_{\mathbb{A}}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.16, for any character χ of cl_S we have

$$\mathrm{tr} R^\chi f_{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \chi(c_i) \mathrm{tr} R_{\Gamma_{U_i}} f.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathrm{cl}_S}} \overline{\chi(c_i)} \mathrm{tr} R^\chi f_{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathrm{cl}_S}} \overline{\chi(c_i)} \chi(c_j) \mathrm{tr} R_{\Gamma_{U_j}} f = |\mathrm{cl}_S| \mathrm{tr} R_{\Gamma_{U_i}} f.$$

□

Combining Corollary 4.17 and Proposition 4.15 we get:

Proposition 4.18. *With $U, f, f_{\mathbb{A}}$ as before, we have*

$$\mathrm{tr} R_{\Gamma_{U_i}} f = \sum_{[\gamma]_{PD^\times(k)}} \mathrm{Vol}(PD^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})) \Xi_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}}),$$

Where, for $h \in C_c(PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))$

$$\Xi_\gamma(h) := \frac{1}{|\mathrm{cl}_S|} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{\mathrm{cl}_S} \\ \chi|_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})} = 1}} \int_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} \chi(x) h(x^{-1}\gamma x) dx.$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.17 and Proposition 4.15 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_{U_i}} f =& \frac{1}{|\mathrm{cl}_S|}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathrm{cl}_S}} \mathrm{tr}R^{\chi} f_{\mathbb{A}} && (4.5)\\ =& \sum_{[\gamma]_{PD^\times(k)}} \mathrm{Vol}(PD^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})) && (4.6)\\ & \frac{1}{|\mathrm{cl}_S|}\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\widehat{\mathrm{cl}_S}\\ \chi|_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})}=1}} \int_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} \chi(x) f_{\mathbb{A}}(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx && (4.7)\\ =& \sum_{[\gamma]_{PD^\times(k)}} \mathrm{Vol}(PD^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A}))\Xi_\gamma(f) && (4.8)\end{aligned}$$

□

4.5. **Trace formula for congruence lattices.** The reasoning will be very close to one we conducted for maximal lattices. We decided to split this into two parts to avoid an overload of technicalities. We keep the notation from previous sections, in particular D is still an admissible quaternion algebra defined over a number field k. Any congruence lattice in the commensurability class $\mathcal{C}(D)$ defined by D is of from $\Gamma = \Gamma_V$ for some open compact subgroup $V \subset PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$. From now on we fix such V and we fix a maximal open compact U containing V. We use U to define a measure $\mu_{\mathbb{A}}$ on $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$ the same way we did in Equation (4.3). Let $f \in C_c(\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))$, we define

$$f^V_{\mathbb{A}} = f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty} \otimes f^V_{\mathbb{A}_f},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty} =& f \otimes \mathbb{1}_{PD^\times(k_{\nu_2})} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{1}_{PD^\times(k_{\nu_d})} && (4.9)\\ f^V_{\mathbb{A}_f} =& [U:V]\mathbb{1}_V && (4.10)\end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.19. *We define the class group* $\mathrm{cl}\,(D,V) = \mathrm{cl}\,(V)$ *(we omit* D *when it is clear from the context) as the quotient* $PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)/V$.

With this notation, for U maximal we have $\mathrm{cl}\,(U) = \mathrm{cl}_S$ where S is the set of places where $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ fixes an edge of the Bruhat-Tits tree.

Lemma 4.20. *The norm map induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{cl}\,(V) \simeq \mathbb{A}_D^\times / k_D^\times n(\mathbb{A}^\times V) \simeq \mathbb{A}_f^\times / k_D^\times n(\mathbb{A}_f^\times V).$$

In particular $\mathrm{cl}\,(V)$ *is finite and has an abelian group structure.* [6]

Proof. Let V^1 denote the preimage of V in $D^1(\mathbb{A}_f)$. By the Strong Approximation Theorem (Thm. 4.11) the product $D^1(k)V^1$ equals $D^1(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Thus the map induced by the norm

$$n\colon PD^\times(k)\backslash PD(\mathbb{A}_f)/V \to k_D^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_D^\times/n(V\mathbb{A}^\times) \tag{4.11}$$

is an isomorphism. The second isomorphism is a consequence of the Weak Approximation Property for the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$ (see [41, Chapter 7]). □

[6] Note, that while $n(V)$ alone is not well defined because it is a subset of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ not $D^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ the product $(\mathbb{A}_f^\times)^2 n(V) = n(\mathbb{A}_f^\times V)$ is.

The last Lemma allows us to identify the characters of $\mathbb{A}_f^\times/k_D^\times(\mathbb{A}_f^\times)^2n(V)$ with the characters of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$ vanishing on V and $PD^\times(k)$. Repeating the exact same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.15 we get

Theorem 4.21. *Let D be an admissible quaternion division algebra over k and let V be an open compact subset of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$. For any continuous, compactly supported function f on $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ we have*

$$\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V}f=\sum_{[\gamma]_{PD^\times(k)}}\mathrm{Vol}(PD^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A}))\Xi^V_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}}), \tag{4.12}$$

Where, for $h\in C_c(PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))$

$$\Xi^V_\gamma(h):=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\widehat{\mathrm{cl}\,(V)}\\ \chi|_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})}=1}}\int_{PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})}\chi(x)h(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx. \tag{4.13}$$

As a corollary we get the formula for the volume

Corollary 4.22.

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))[U:V]}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|} \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Take a sequence (f_i) of functions with support contained in smaller and smaller balls around 1 and with $f_i(1)=1$. By the usual Selberg trace formula $\lim_{i\to\infty}\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V}f_i=\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))$. On the other hand by Theorem 4.21 we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V}f_i=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))[U:V]}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}. \tag{4.15}$$

□

Lemma 4.23. *With D,V as before and $\gamma\in PD^\times(k),\gamma\neq 1$ there are at most two characters $\chi\in\widehat{\mathrm{cl}\,(V)}$ which vanish on $PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})$.*

Proof. Let $\chi\in\widehat{\mathrm{cl}\,(V)}$ be a character vanishing on $PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})$. By Lemma 4.20 we can write $\chi(g)=\theta((n(g))$ where θ is a character of $\mathbb{A}_D^\times$ vanishing on $k_D^\times$ and $n(\mathbb{A}^\times V)$. Let l be the quadratic extension of k generated by the eigenvalues of γ. The image of $D^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A})$ via the norm map equals $N_{l/k}(\mathbb{A}_l^\times)$. Hence, θ factors through

$$\mathbb{A}_D^\times/k_D^\times n(\mathbb{A}^\times V)N_{l/k}(\mathbb{A}_l^\times).$$

By the weak approximation theorem for the multiplicative group we have $\mathbb{A}^\times=k^\times\mathbb{A}_d$ and hence $\mathbb{A}_D^\times/k_D^\times\simeq\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times$. The last quotient can be rewritten as

$$\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times n(\mathbb{A}^\times V)N_{l/k}(\mathbb{A}_l^\times).$$

We claim that this is a group of order at most two. To do this we use Class Field Theory. By the Reciprocity Law [37, Theorem 5.3] there exists a homomorphism (Artin map) $\phi_k:\mathbb{A}^\times\to\mathrm{Gal}(k^{ab}/k)$ satisfying the following properties

- $\phi(k^\times)=1$
- for every abelian extension l/k map ϕ_k defines an isomorphism

$$\phi_{l/k}\colon\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times N_{l/k}(\mathbb{A}_l^\times)\to\mathrm{Gal}(l/k).$$

In particular, if l/k is a quadratic extension then $|\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times N_{l/k}(\mathbb{A}_l^\times)|=2$. □

Remark 4.24. *An alternative way to finish the proof is to use the Second Inequality of the Class Field Theory [37, Theorem 5.1.a] which states that $|\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times N_{l/k}(\mathbb{A}_l)|$ divides $[l:k]$ for* ***any*** *finite Galois extension l/k. In particular, this part of the proof should generalize to the other semisimple Lie groups without greater difficulty.*

Corollary 4.25. *For any $h\in C_c(PD^\times(\mathbb{A}))$ we have*

$$|\Xi_\gamma^V(h)|\leq\frac{2}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(h)|.$$

In particular

$$|\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))f(1)-\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V}f|\leq\frac{2}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\sum_{\substack{[\gamma]_{PD^\times(k)}\\ \gamma\neq 1}}\mathrm{Vol}(PD_\gamma^\times(k)\backslash PD_\gamma^\times(\mathbb{A}))\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_\mathbb{A}),\quad(4.16)$$

Remark 4.26. *At this point it is good to explain why we go through the trouble of working with $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$ instead of $D^1(\mathbb{A})$ as it is usually done. We commented briefly about this in the outline. We will sketch an approach using the trace formula for lattices constructed using D^1 and highlight the main problems. For an open subgroup V^1 of $D^1(\mathbb{A}_f)$ we put $\Gamma_{V^1}=D^1(k)\cap V^1$. It is projection onto $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ is an arithmetic lattice which we also call Γ_{V^1}. Since D^1 satisfies the strong approximation property the double quotient $D^1(k)\backslash D^1(\mathbb{A})/V^1$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{V^1}\backslash SL(2,\mathbb{K})$. Using the adelic trace formula and appropriately chosen $f_\mathbb{A}$ we easily get*

$$\mathrm{tr}R_{\gamma_{V^1}}f=\mathrm{tr}Rf_\mathbb{A}=\sum_{[\gamma]\subset D^1(k)}\mathrm{Vol}(D_\gamma^1(k)\backslash D_\gamma^1(\mathbb{A}))\int_{D_\gamma^1(\mathbb{A})\backslash D^1(\mathbb{A})}f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx.\quad(4.17)$$

This allows one to control the size of $\left|f(1)-\frac{\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_{V^1}}f}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_{V_1}\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K}))}\right|$. Suppose that we can show a quantitative limit multiplicity for lattices of this form, say

$$\left|f(1)-\frac{\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_{V^1}}f}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_{V_1}\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K}))}\right|\ll\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_{V^1}\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{-\alpha}\quad(4.18)$$

with $\alpha>0$. Given an arbitrary arithmetic, congruence lattice Γ lying in the commensurability class $\mathcal{C}(D)$ we pick a sublattice of Γ of form Γ_{V^1} of minimal index. For simplicity assume that f is positive. Γ has usually conjugacy classes that do not intersect Γ_{V^1} but assume for a while that it is not the case. Inspection of ordinary Selberg trace formula yields $|\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f-\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K})|\leq|\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_{V^1}}f-\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_{V^1}\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K})|$. This gives

$$\left|f(1)-\frac{\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K}))}\right|\leq[\Gamma:\Gamma_{V^1}]\left|f(1)-\frac{\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_{V^1}}f}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_{V_1}\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K}))}\right|\quad(4.19)$$

$$\ll[\Gamma:\Gamma_{V^1}]\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_{V^1}\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{-\alpha}.\quad(4.20)$$

To conclude, one has to bound $[\Gamma:\Gamma_{V^1}]$ by $\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_{V^1}\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^\beta$ with $\beta<\alpha$. Let S be a finite subset of M_k^f such that $\Gamma\in C(D,S)$ (see definition 4.5). The factor $[\Gamma:\Gamma_{V^1}]$ can be bounded by $2^{d+|\mathrm{Ram}^f(D)|}|\mathrm{cl}_S|$ (see [44, Chapters 11.4, 11.5]). The main advantage of using the adapted trace formula (Theorem 4.21 and Corollary 4.25) is that it accounts for the problematic factor $|\mathrm{cl}_S|$.

4.6. **Normalization.** The maximal arithmetic lattices of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ admit a fairly explicit description (cf. Proposition 4.4) which makes proving a limit multiplicity property (or property B-S) for sequences of maximal lattices is a priori much easier than doing it in full generality. In this section we develop methods to prove the strong version of Conjecture 1.5 for arbitrary congruence lattices (not necessarily maximal). The idea is to replace the characteristic function in $f_{\mathbb{A}_f}$ by a conjugacy invariant function and then express it as a combination of finite dimensional characters.

Let us fix an admissible quaternion algebra D, an open compact subgroup V of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f^\infty)$ and a maximal open compact subgroup U containing V. Recall that we write $\mathrm{Irr}G$ for the set irreducible complex representations of a group G, and whenever ρ is such a representation we write χ_ρ for its character and W_ρ for the vector space on which G acts. If G is a group acting on a space W we write W^G for the set of fixed points. For $f\in C_c(\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))$ let $f_{\mathbb{A}}=f_{\mathbb{A}}^V, f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty}$ and $f_{\mathbb{A}_f}^V$ be defined by Equations (4.9).

Lemma 4.27. *Let $\gamma\in PD^\times(k)$*

$$|\Xi_\gamma^V(f_{\mathbb{A}})|\leq \frac{2|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(U)}\dim W_\rho^V|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)| \tag{4.21}$$

Proof. By corollary 4.25 we have

$$|\Xi_\gamma^V(f_{\mathbb{A}})|\leq \frac{2|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|} \tag{4.22}$$

Let $\tilde{f}_{\mathbb{A}_f}:=\int_U f_{\mathbb{A}_f}(u^{-1}xu)du$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\tilde{f}_{\mathbb{A}_f})=&\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})\int_U\int_{PD_\gamma^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)}f((ux)^{-1}\gamma xu)dudx &(4.23)\\ =&\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_f}) &(4.24)\\ =&\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}}) &(4.25)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\tilde{f}_{\mathbb{A}_f}=\int_U[U:V]\mathbb{1}_{uVu^{-1}}du=\sum_{u\in U/V}\mathbb{1}_{uVu^{-1}}=\chi_{\mathrm{Ind}\,_V^U 1}. \tag{4.26}$$

By the Frobenius reciprocity $\langle \mathrm{Ind}\,_V^U 1,\rho\rangle_U=\dim W_\rho^V$ so

$$\chi_{\mathrm{Ind}\,_V^U 1}=\sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}U}\dim W_\rho^V\chi_\rho. \tag{4.27}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}|\Xi_\gamma^V(f_{\mathbb{A}})|\leq&\frac{2|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|} &(4.28)\\ \leq&\frac{2|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_f^U})| &(4.29)\\ \leq&\frac{2|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\sum_{\chi\in\mathrm{Irr}(U)}\dim W_\rho^V|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|. &(4.30)\end{aligned}$$

□

Section 6 will be devoted to estimates of orbital integrals appearing on the right hand side of Lemma 4.27. We will aim at an estimate of form $|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)| \leq C\chi_\rho^{1-\delta}$ where $\delta > 0$ and C is a constant depending on the lattice in an explicit way (see Propositions 6.14 and 6.15).

5. Short geodesics and equidistribution

5.1. **Bilu equidistribution theorem.** We shall use the following result due to Bilu [8]

Theorem 5.1. *Let (α_n) be a sequence of algebraic integers such that $\frac{m(\alpha_n)}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n):\mathbb{Q}]}$ tends to 0 as n goes to infinity, where $m(\alpha_n)$ is the logarithmic Mahler measure. Define the sequence of probability measures*

$$\mu_n := \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}[\alpha_n]:\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n),\mathbb{C})} \delta_{(\alpha_n)_\sigma}.$$

Then for any bounded continuous function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int f d\mu_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

The following corollary is crucial to estimate the orbital integrals in the non torsion case (see Section 6).

Corollary 5.2. *Let (α_n) be a sequence of algebraic integers with bounded logarithmic Mahler measure and increasing degree. Then*

$$|N_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(1-\alpha_n)| \ll e^{o([\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n):\mathbb{Q}])}.$$

Proof. Let μ_n be probability measures defined as in Theorem 5.1. Then

$$\frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n):\mathbb{Q}]} \log |N_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(1-\alpha_n)| = \int \log|1-x| d\mu_n$$

Pick $A > 0$ big. We have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int \log|1-x| d\mu_n \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \int \max\{-A, \log|1-x|\} d\mu_n$$

We assume that the logarithmic Mahler measure of α_n is uniformly bounded so the support of μ_n lies in a fixed compact set. Hence, the function on the right hand side is uniformly bounded on the support of all μ_n's. By Bilu's equidistribution theorem, the limit on the right hand side equals

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \max\{-A, \log|1-e^{i\theta}|\} d\theta.$$

By Lebesgue dominated convergence we have

$$\lim_{A\to\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \max\{-A, \log|1-e^{i\theta}|\} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log|1-e^{i\theta}| d\theta.$$

Pick the branch of complex logarithm that is defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$. Let C be the contour of the unit circle. For any $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \log|1+\tau-e^{i\theta}| d\theta = \mathrm{Re} \int_C z \log(1+\delta-z) dz = 0,$$

taking $\tau \to 0$ we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \log|1-e^{i\theta}| d\theta = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n):\mathbb{Q}]}\log|N_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(1-\alpha_n)|=0$$

which ends the proof. □

We shall show that

Lemma 5.3. *Let Γ be an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ ($\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}$) with trace field k. Let $\gamma\in\Gamma$ non-torsion and $m(\gamma)\leq M$. Recall that we define $m(\gamma)$ as $m(\lambda_1)=m(\lambda_2)$ where λ_1,λ_2 are the non-trivial eigenvalues of $\operatorname{Ad}\gamma$. Then*

$$|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta(\gamma))|\ll e^{o_M([k:\mathbb{Q}])}.$$

$\Delta(\gamma)$ was defined in Section 2.2.

Proof. Note that $\lambda_1\lambda_2=1$. Let $L=k(\lambda_i)$. Then

$$|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta(\gamma))|^{[L:k]}=\prod_{i=1}^{2}|N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(1-\lambda_i)|=e^{o([L:\mathbb{Q}])}=e^{o([k:\mathbb{Q}])}.$$

The inequalities follow from Corollary 5.2 and the fact that $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)=k(\lambda)$ by Lemma 6.9. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let (α_i) be a sequence of algebraic integers such that $\frac{m(\alpha_i)}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i):\mathbb{Q}]}\to 0$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i):\mathbb{Q}]\to\infty$ as i tends to infinity.*

(1) *Let $k_i=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$ and π_{k_i} be the prime counting function for the field k_i i.e.*

$$\pi_{k_i}(x)=\#\{\mathfrak{p}\text{ prime ideal of }\mathcal{O}_{k_i}\mid N(\mathfrak{p})\leq x\}.$$

Then, for any $x>0$ we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{\pi_{k_i}(x)}{[k_i:\mathbb{Q}]}=0.$$

(2) *Let $N\geq 1$ be a natural number and for each $i\in\mathbb{N}$ let k_i be a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$ with $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i):k_i]\leq N$. Then, for any $x>0$ we have*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{\pi_{k_i}(x)}{[k_i:\mathbb{Q}]}=0.$$

Proof. We start with the proof of (1). Since for a fixed x we have only finitely many rational primes p less than x the theorem is equivalent to the following statement. For every rational prime p, let $n_{p,i}(x)$ be the number of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{k_i}$ lying above p with $N(\mathfrak{p})\leq x$. Then

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{n_{p,i}(x)}{[k_i:\mathbb{Q}]}=0.$$

Let L be the largest natural number such that $p^L\leq x$. For every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{k_i}$ with $\mathfrak{p}\mid p$ and $N(\mathfrak{p})\leq x$ we have $\alpha_i^{p^{L!}}-\alpha_i\in\mathfrak{p}$. Hence

$$\prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}\mid p\\ N(\mathfrak{p})\leq x}}\mathfrak{p}\mid\alpha_i^{p^{L!}}-\alpha_i, \tag{5.1}$$

so

$$p^{n_{p,i}(x)}\leq N(\alpha_i^{p^{L!}}-\alpha_i)=N(\alpha_i)N(\alpha_i^{p^{L!-1}}-1)\leq e^{o([k_i:\mathbb{Q}])}. \tag{5.2}$$

The last inequality is a consequence of Corollary 5.2.

To deduce (2) observe that $\pi_{k_i}(x) \leq \pi_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)}(x^{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i):k_i]}) \leq \pi_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)}(x^N)$ so

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\pi_{k_i}(x)}{[k_i:\mathbb{Q}]} \leq N \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\pi_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)}(x^N)}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i):\mathbb{Q}]} = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

□

As a simple corollary of Theorem 5.4 we get

Corollary 5.5. *Let N be a natural number, let α_i be a sequence of algebraic integers such that $\frac{m(\alpha_i)}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i):\mathbb{Q}]} \to 0$ as i tends to infinity. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let k_i be a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$ such that $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i):k_i] \leq N$. Then for every $\sigma > 1$ and $\mathrm{Re}(s) \geq \sigma$ we have $|\zeta_{k_i}(s)| = \exp(o_\sigma([k_i:\mathbb{Q}]))$.*

Proof. Since $|\zeta_{k_i}(\mathrm{Re}(s))| \geq |\zeta_{k_i}(s)|$ we may assume that s is real. For $M > 0$ define

$$A_M(s) = \sum_{\substack{p^m\text{–prime power} \\ p^m \geq M}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{-1}}{p^{mns}},$$

where the sum is taken over powers of rational primes. For $s \geq \sigma > 1$ we have

$$\log \zeta_{k_i}(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_{k_i}^f} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{-1}}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{ns}} \leq \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}\in M_{k_i}^f \\ N(\mathfrak{p})\leq M}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{-1}}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{ns}} + [k:\mathbb{Q}]A_M(s). \tag{5.4}$$

By Theorem 5.4

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{|\log \zeta_{k_i}(s)|}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \leq A_M(s).$$

But for $\mathrm{Re}s > 1$ $\lim_{M\to\infty} A_M(s) = 0$. The rate of convergence of $A_M(s)$ to 0 depends on σ, so we get that $\log \zeta_{k_i}(s) = o_\sigma([k:\mathbb{Q}])$. □

6. Orbital integrals

In this section we give upper bounds for the orbital integrals. We start with the local considerations. For non-archimedean places we consider the integrals of the form $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)$ where ρ is an irreducible representation of a maximal compact open subgroup U of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and D is an admissible division algebra. For archimedean places we estimate $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})$ where $f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty}$ has uniformly bounded support.

6.1. Values of irreducible characters at regular elements.

Let G be a simple group of type A_1 defined over $k_\mathfrak{p}$. Let U be a maximal compact subgroup of $G(k_\mathfrak{p})$. In this section we give an upper bound on the values of irreducible characters of U on the regular elements of U. The main result of this section is

Theorem 6.1. *Let χ be a character of an irreducible representation of U. Then for all $\gamma \in U$ regular we have*

$$|\chi(\gamma)| \leq 8|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}.$$

If γ is torsion of order $2, 3$ or 4 the constant 8 can be improved to $4, 8\sqrt{3}/3$ and $4\sqrt{2}$ respectively.

Following Serre[7] [50, Formule (21)] we shall use the Weyl integration formula. We choose a Haar measure dg on $G(k_\mathfrak{p})$ and for any torus T we choose compatible measures dx, dt on $G(k_\mathfrak{p})/T(k_\mathfrak{p}), T(k_\mathfrak{p})$ respectively. For a torus T defined over $k_\mathfrak{p}$ put $W(T) = N(T(k_\mathfrak{p}))/T(k_\mathfrak{p})$. For any continuous compactly supported function ϕ on $G(k_\mathfrak{p})$ we have

$$\int \phi(g)dg = \sum_{[T]_{G(k_\mathfrak{p})}\subset G} \frac{1}{|W(T)|} \int_{G(k_\mathfrak{p})/T(k_\mathfrak{p})} \int_{T(k_\mathfrak{p})} |\Delta(t)|_\mathfrak{p} \phi(xtx^{-1}) dt\, dx \tag{6.1}$$

Where the sum is taken over the set of $G(k_\mathfrak{p})$-conjugacy classes of maximal tori defined over $k_\mathfrak{p}$. We normalize dg so that the measure of U is 1 and dt so that the measure of the maximal compact subgroup of $T(k_\mathfrak{p})$ is 1. From it we can deduce a similar formula for U. A directed torus is a pair (T, c) consisting of a torus and a Weyl chamber $c \subset X_*(T)\otimes\mathbb{R}$. The group $G(k_\mathfrak{p})$ acts on the set of directed tori by conjugation and the stabilizer of (T, c) is precisely $T(k_\mathfrak{p})$. To distinguish directed tori from the usual ones we will usually denote them by $\overrightarrow{T}$. From (6.1) we deduce

$$\int_U \phi(g)dg = \frac{1}{|W_G|} \sum_{[\overrightarrow{T}]_U\subset G} \int_{U/(T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U)} \int_{T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U} |\Delta(t)|_\mathfrak{p} \phi(utu^{-1}) dt\, du \tag{6.2}$$

For a class function on U this simplifies to

$$\int_U \phi(g)dg = \frac{1}{|W_G|} \sum_{[\overrightarrow{T}]_U\subset G} \frac{1}{\mu_T(U\cap T(k_\mathfrak{p}))} \int_{T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U} |\Delta(t)|_\mathfrak{p} \phi(t) dt \tag{6.3}$$

To simplify the notation, for any directed torus $\overrightarrow{T}$ we shall normalize the measure μ_T on $T(k_\mathfrak{p})$ so that $\mu_T(U\cap T) = 1$. Now we are ready to start the proof of Theorem 6.1. The proof is inspired by [34] where M. Larsen has proven an analogous result for finite groups of Lie type.

Proof. Let χ be a character of an irreducible representation of U. By the formula (6.3) we get

$$1 = \int_U |\chi(u)|^2 du = \frac{1}{|W_G|} \sum_{[\overrightarrow{T}]_U\in G} \int_{T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U} |\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p} |\chi(t)|^2 dt \tag{6.4}$$

In particular

$$|W_G| \geq \int_{T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U} |\Delta(t)|_\mathfrak{p} |\chi(t)|^2 dt, \tag{6.5}$$

for any directed torus $\overrightarrow{T}$. Now take T such that $\gamma \in T(k_\mathfrak{p})$. We can do so because γ is regular. We shall approximate $|\Delta(t)|_\mathfrak{p}$ by an integral combination of characters of $T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U$. Write $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ for the positive roots of T. For any $t \in T(k_\mathfrak{p})$ the Weyl discriminant is given by

$$\Delta(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1-\lambda_i(t))(1-\lambda_i(t)^{-1})$$

(cf. Notation). Note that the image of $U\cap T(k_\mathfrak{p})$ via any character of $T(k_\mathfrak{p})$ has to be compact so $\lambda_i(U\cap T(k_\mathfrak{p})) \subset O_{k_\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. For any $i = 1, \dots, r$ we pick a character $\theta_i \colon \mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\theta_i(\lambda_i(\gamma)) \neq 1$ but $\theta_i(\lambda_i(t)) = 1$ for any t satisfying

$$|1-\lambda_i(t)|_\mathfrak{p} < |1-\lambda_i(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}. \tag{6.6}$$

[7]The formula is stated there for central simple division algebras but the same statement holds for any reductive algebraic group.

Define $\Theta : T(k_\mathfrak{p}) \cap U \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Theta = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - \theta_i \circ \lambda_i).$$

We can choose characters θ_i is such a way that $|\Theta(\gamma)| \geq 1$ and at least $2, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2}$ if γ is $2, 3, 4$ torsion respectively. Because of condition (6.6) $\Theta(t) = 0$ for all t with $|\Delta(t)|_\mathfrak{p} < |\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}$. Hence

$$\int_{T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U} |\Theta(t)|^2 |\chi(t)|^2 dt \leq \sup_{t \in T(k_p)\cap U} |\Theta(t)|^2 |\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1} |W_G|. \tag{6.7}$$

The function $\Theta(t)\chi(t)$ is an integral combination of characters of $T(k_\mathfrak{p}) \cap U$. We can write

$$\Theta(t)\chi(t) = \sum_{\zeta \in \Pi(T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U)} c_\zeta \zeta(t) \text{ with } c_\zeta \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{6.8}$$

Function Θ can be trivially bounded from above by 2^r where r is the number of positive roots. The inequality (6.7) implies that $\sum_{\zeta \in \Pi(T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U)} c_\zeta^2 \leq 2^{2r} |\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1} |W_G|$ so in particular

$$|\chi(\gamma)| \leq |\Theta(\gamma)\chi(\gamma)| = \left| \sum_{\zeta \in \Pi(T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U)} c_\zeta \zeta(\gamma) \right| \leq \sum_{\zeta \in \Pi(T(k_\mathfrak{p})\cap U)} |c_\zeta| \leq 2^{2r} |\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1} |W_G|. \tag{6.9}$$

In case when G if of type A_1 we have $r = 1$ and $|W_G| = 2$ which ends the proof. □

Remark 6.2. *Note that we use the assumption that G is of type A_1 only in the last line of the proof. In particular the bound (6.9) holds for every reductive group G over $k_\mathfrak{p}$, any open compact subgroup U of $G(k_\mathfrak{p})$. The regularity assumption on γ is essential.*

6.2. **Estimates on the non-archimedean local orbital integrals.** The aim of this section is to estimate the local orbital integrals of the characteristic functions of maximal open compacts subsets of $PD^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in M_k^f$. Note that in the local case $PD^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})$ is either anisotropic and compact or isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p})$ and we know the explicit description of the maximal compact subgroups. In the anisotropic case

$$\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\mathbb{1}_{U_\mathfrak{p}}) = \int_{PD_\gamma^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})\backslash PD^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} 1 dx = 1$$

so we are left to deal with the case $PD^\times(k_\mathfrak{p}) \simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p})$. We start by recalling the basic properties of the Bruhat-Tits tree (for necessary background see [44, Chapter 5.2.1]). We write $X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}))$ for the Bruhat-Tits tree of $\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p})$. It is a regular $q+1$-valent tree. We endow it with the natural metric. The group $\mathrm{PGL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p})$ acts on $X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}))$ by graph automorphisms. This action is transitive on vertices and on edges. Every maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p})$ is a stabilizer of a vertex or of an edge. By a geodesic on $X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}))$ we mean a path on $X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}))$ infinite in both directions. If T is a maximal split torus of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p})$ then $T(k_\mathfrak{p})$ stabilizes a unique geodesic on $X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}))$, we shall call it the apartment of T. The argument below follows the exposition from [2, Chapter 5].

Lemma 6.3. *Let γ be a regular element of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p})$ and let T be the connected component of its centralizer. Let F_γ be the subset of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}))$ fixed by γ. If $\Delta(\gamma) \notin \mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}$ then $F_\gamma = \emptyset$.*

1. *If T is split over $k_\mathfrak{p}$ then F_γ is a strip of radius $\nu(\Delta(\gamma))/2$ around the apartment of T.*
2. *If T is split over an unramified quadratic extension $E/k_\mathfrak{p}$ then F_γ is a ball of radius $\nu(\Delta(\gamma))/2$ around a vertex of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}))$.*

(3) *If T is split by a tamely ramified quadratic extension $E/k_\mathfrak{p}$ then F_γ is a ball of radius $\nu(\Delta(\gamma))/2$ around the midpoint of an edge of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$.*
(4) *If T is split over a wildly ramified extension $E/k_\mathfrak{p}$. Let σ be the generator of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_\mathfrak{p})$. Put $w=\min\{\nu_E(\frac{a^\sigma}{a}-1)\mid a\in E^\times\}$ then F_γ is contained in a ball of radius $\nu(\Delta(\gamma))/2-w/2$ around the midpoint of an edge in $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$.*

Proof. By abuse of notation let us replace γ with its lift to $\mathrm{GL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p})$ so that we can write it down as an explicit matrix. If $\Delta(\gamma)\notin\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}$ then the group generated by γ is not compact so it does not stabilize any point of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$. From now on assume $\Delta(\gamma)\in\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}$. We will write d for the distance on tree, A_T for the apartment of T and q for the cardinality of the residue field of $k_\mathfrak{p}$.

(1) **Split case** Without loss of generality assume that T is the group of diagonal matrices and that the entries of γ are in $\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}$. We can do it because $\Delta(\gamma)\in\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}$. Fix a vertex v of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$ stabilized by $K=\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ and assume that gv is fixed by γ for certain $g\in\mathrm{GL}(n,k_\mathfrak{p})$. Using the Iwasawa decomposition we write g as the product $g=ank$ where $a\in T(k)$ n is upper triangular unipotent and $k\in\mathrm{GL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p})$. The vertex gv is stabilized by γ if only if nv is. This is equivalent to saying that $n\gamma n^{-1}\in\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ or $\gamma^{-1}n\gamma n^{-1}\in\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$.
$$\text{if } \gamma=\begin{pmatrix}a&0\\0&b\end{pmatrix}, n=\begin{pmatrix}1&x\\0&1\end{pmatrix} \text{ then } \gamma^{-1}n\gamma n^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}1&x(1-\frac{a}{b})\\0&1\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.10}$$
It follows that $\gamma^{-1}n\gamma n^{-1}\in\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ if and only if $\nu(x)\geq-\nu(1-\frac{a}{b})=-\nu(\Delta(\gamma))/2$. The set of vertices of form anv with $a\in T(k_\mathfrak{p})$ and $n=\begin{pmatrix}1&x\\0&1\end{pmatrix}$ subject to the condition $\nu(x)\geq-\nu(1-\frac{a}{b})=-\nu(\Delta(\gamma))/2$ forms a strip of radius $\nu(\Delta(\gamma))/2$ around the apartment of T which ends the proof.
(2) **Unramified case** By [11, Théorème 5.1.25] there is a natural embedding $\iota\colon X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))\to X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))$ and an action of the Galois group on $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))$ such that
$$X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))^{\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_\mathfrak{p})}=\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))).$$
Moreover ι is an isometry. The set of points in $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))$ fixed under the action of γ is a strip of radius $\nu(\Delta(\gamma))/2$ around the apartment of T which we will call $\mathcal{A}_T$. To finish the proof of this case it will be sufficient to show that $\mathcal{A}_T$ intersects $\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$ in a single vertex v. Then, since $\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$ is a totally geodesic subspace, its intersection with this strip will be a ball around v of radius $\nu(\Delta(\gamma))/2$.

T is defined over $k_\mathfrak{p}$ so the apartment $\mathcal{A}_T$ is stable under $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_\mathfrak{p})$. The torus T is not split over $k_\mathfrak{p}$ so the Galois group acts non-trivially on $\mathcal{A}_T$. Thus the only possible action is an orientation reversing isometry which has a unique fixed point x. Thus $\mathcal{A}_T^{\mathrm{Gal}}(E/k_\mathfrak{p})=\mathcal{A}_T\cap\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$ consists of a single point which has to be a vertex, because of the geometry of a tree. This ends the proof in the unramified case.
(3) **Tamely ramified case** The argument is the same as in the unramified case except that $\iota\colon X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))\to X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))$ is no longer an isometry. The image $\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$ contains vertices which are not images of a vertex. More precisely, the images of midpoints of edges in $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$ are vertices in $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))$ and those are the only new vertices in $\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$. In particular $d(\iota(x),\iota(y))=2d(x,y)$ for any two vertices x,y. By

the work of Prasad [42] for a tamely ramified extension $E/k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ we have $\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}}))) = X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))^{\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_{\mathfrak{p}})}$ so the argument from the unramified case, tells us that $\mathcal{A}_T^{\mathrm{Gal}(E:k_{\mathfrak{p}})}$ consists of a single point which has to be a vertex v inside $\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. We argue that it has to be an image of midpoint of an edge. Assume the contrary that $v=\iota(x)$. We know that x has $q+1$ adjacent edges. The extension is totally ramified so $\iota(x)$ also has $q+1$ adjacent edges. It follows that all edges adjacent to $\iota(x)$ are $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ stable which would mean that $\mathcal{A}_T$ contains an edge fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_{\mathfrak{p}})$. This contradicts what we already know. It follows that F_γ is a ball of radius $\nu(\Delta(\gamma))/2$ around a midpoint of an edge.

(4) **Wildly ramified case** We argue as in the tamely ramified case. The only difference is that there exists $w>0$ such that $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))^{\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_{\mathfrak{p}})}$ contains a tubular neighborhood of radius w around $\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. As before $\mathcal{A}_T$ has a unique fixed point fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and by uniqueness it has to coincide with the vertex of $\mathcal{A}_T$ closest to $\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. We write v for the unique fixed point of A_T and v' for the vertex of $\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. As in the tamely ramified case we can show that v' is a midpoint of an edge and that $d(v,v')\geq w$. It follows that F_γ is contained a ball of radius $[\nu(\Delta)-w]/2$ around a midpoint of an edge. It remains to compute w which we do in the Lemma 6.4.

□

Lemma 6.4. *Let E be a wildly ramified quadratic extension of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $w=\min\{\nu_E(a-a^\sigma)\mid a\in\mathcal{O}_E\}$. Let ι be the natural injection $\iota\colon X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}}))\to X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))$. Then*

$$B(\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})),w)\subset X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))^{\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_{\mathfrak{p}})}$$

Proof. To prove the inclusion it is sufficient to show that for every apartment A in $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ the tubular neighborhood $B(\iota(A),w)$ is pointwise fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Since all apartments are in a single $\mathrm{SL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ orbit we may without loss of generality assume that A is the apartment associated to the diagonal torus. In this case we have a vertex $\mathfrak{o}\in A$ stabilized by $PGL(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}})$. We abuse the notation and also write $\mathfrak{o}$ for the image of $\mathfrak{o}$ in $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))$ via ι, this one is stabilized by $PGL(2,\mathcal{O}_E)$. All vertices of $\iota(A)$ are of form $t\mathfrak{o}$ where $t=\begin{pmatrix}a&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}$ and $a\in E^\times$. Write π_E for the uniformiser of E and π_k for the uniformiser of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For any real number R and vertex $v\in B(\iota(A),R)$ there exists $x\in E$ with $v_E(x)\geq -R$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$v=\begin{pmatrix}\pi_E^n&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&x\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\mathfrak{o}$$

Write σ for the generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Vertex $\mathfrak{o}$ is $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ invariant so $v\in X(\mathrm{SL}(2,E))^{\mathrm{Gal}(E:k_{\mathfrak{p}})}$ if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix}\pi_E^{-n}&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&-x\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}(\pi_E^\sigma)^n&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&x^\sigma\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_E) \tag{6.11}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}(\pi_E^{-1}\pi_E^\sigma)^n&(\pi_E^{-1}\pi_E^\sigma)^n x^\sigma-x\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_E). \tag{6.12}$$

As $(\pi_E^{-1}\pi_E^\sigma) \in \mathcal{O}_E$ this is equivalent to $(\pi_E^{-1}\pi_E^\sigma)^n x^\sigma - x \in \mathcal{O}_E$. We have to check that $\nu_E((\pi_E^{-1}\pi_E^\sigma)^n x^\sigma - x) \geq 0$.

$$\nu_E((\pi_E^{-1}\pi_E^\sigma)^n x^\sigma - x) = \nu_E\left(\frac{(\pi_E^n x)^\sigma}{\pi_E^n x} - 1\right) + \nu_E(x) \tag{6.13}$$

$$\geq w + \nu_E(\pi^n x). \tag{6.14}$$

Thus v is fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(E/k_\mathfrak{p})$ as long as $\nu(x) \geq -w$. It follows that all vertices in the tubular neighborhood $B(\iota(A), w)$ are fixed. Since $X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}))$ is the sum of its apartments we get that $B(\iota(X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p})), w)$ is fixed by the Galois group.

□

Using 6.1 and 6.3 we can now give an upper bound on the orbital integrals of type $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)$ where γ is a regular element an χ is an irreducible character of $PD^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})$.

Proposition 6.5. *Let G be an adjoint group of type A_1 defined over $k_\mathfrak{p}$, let $\gamma \in G(k_\mathfrak{p})$ be a regular element and U be a maximal compact subgroup of $G(k_\mathfrak{p})$. Put $M = \min\{8|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}, \chi(1)\}$. Let q be the cardinality of the residue field of $k_\mathfrak{p}$. Then for any irreducible character χ of U we have*

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)| \leq M\left(|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2} + b\frac{|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}}{q-1}\right),$$

where $b = 0$ if the centralizer of γ is split or $|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p} = 1$ and $b = 2$ otherwise. If G is anisotropic then we have

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)| \leq M.$$

Moreover if γ is $2, 3, 4$ torsion the constant 8 can be improved to $4, 8\sqrt{3}/3$ and $4\sqrt{2}$ respectively.

Proof. We start with the case $G \simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p})$. We shall prove that

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\mathbb{1}_U)| \leq |\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2} + b\frac{|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}}{q-1}. \tag{6.15}$$

When dealing with orbital integrals we fix the standard Haar measure μ_G on $G(k_\mathfrak{p})$ giving mass 1 to U and the standard Haar measure μ_{G_γ} on $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ giving mass 1 to the maximal compact subgroup of $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$. The integration will be done against a measure on $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})\backslash G(k_\mathfrak{p})$ compatible with those two. We have

$$\int_{G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})\backslash G(k_\mathfrak{p})} \mathbb{1}_U(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx = \sum_{g \in G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})\backslash G(k_\mathfrak{p})/U} \frac{\mathbb{1}_U(g^{-1}\gamma g)}{\mu_{G_\gamma}(G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p}) \cap gUg^{-1})} \tag{6.16}$$

We shall give an interpretation of the right hand side in terms of action of γ on the Bruhat-Tits tree $X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}))$. U is a maximal compact subgroup of $G(k_\mathfrak{p})$ so it stabilizes a vertex or an edge of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}))$. Note that $\mathbb{1}_U(g^{-1}\gamma g) = 1$ if and only if $\gamma gU = gU$. If we let $\mathfrak{o}$ be the vertex (edge) stabilized by U then $\mathbb{1}_U(g^{-1}\gamma g) = 1$ if and only if $\mathfrak{o}$ is stabilized by γ. Write T for the maximal compact subgroup of $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$. We have chosen μ_{G_γ} so that $\mu_{G_\gamma}(T) = 1$ so $\mu_{G_\gamma}(G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p}) \cap gUg^{-1})^{-1} = [T : G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p}) \cap gUg^{-1}]$. The group $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p}) \cap gUg^{-1}$ is the stabilizer of $g\mathfrak{o}$ under the action of T so we have

$$\mu_{G_\gamma}(G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p}) \cap gUg^{-1}) = \#\{Tg\mathfrak{o}\}.$$

Thus the right hand side of 6.16 is the sum of sizes of orbits of T fixed by γ lying in pairwise disjoint $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ orbits. Let S be a T-invariant subset of vertices (edges) of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$ fixed by γ such that

- every $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ orbit fixed by γ has nonempty intersection with S;
- if $x,y\in S$ are in the same $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ orbit then they are in the same T-orbit.

We will refer to these conditions as to $(*)$. It is easy to see that the right hand side of 6.16 must be equal to $\#S$. It remains to construct such a set and compute its cardinality. In the case when G_γ is anisotropic the group $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ is compact so we have $T=G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ and we can take S to be the set of vertices (edges) fixed by γ. let E be the quadratic extension of $k_\mathfrak{p}$ generated by the eigenvalues of γ and let q be the cardinality of the residue field of $k_\mathfrak{p}$. Lemma 6.3 implies that

- if $E:k_\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified then

$$\#S=|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}+2\frac{|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}-1}{q-1} \qquad \text{(vertices)}, \tag{6.17}$$

$$\#S=|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}+2\frac{|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}-1}{q-1}-1 \qquad \text{(edges)}. \tag{6.18}$$

- if $E/k_\mathfrak{p}$ is ramified then

$$\#S\leq 2\frac{|\Delta(\gamma)]|^{-1/2}-q^{-1/2}}{q^{3/2}-q^{1/2}} \qquad \text{(vertices)}, \tag{6.19}$$

$$\#S\leq 2\frac{|\Delta(\gamma)]|^{-1/2}-q^{-1/2}}{q^{3/2}-q^{1/2}}-1 \qquad \text{(edges)}. \tag{6.20}$$

$$\tag{6.21}$$

  with equalities if $E/k_\mathfrak{p}$ is tamely ramified.

In the case when G_γ is split the set of points fixed by γ is too big. In order to choose a subset satisfying $(*)$ we proceed as follows. Let A be the apartment of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))$ associated to G_γ. Fix a vertex $\mathfrak{o}\in A$ and an edge $\mathfrak{e}\in A$ adjacent to $\mathfrak{o}$. Let S' be the subset of vertices (edges) v of $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p}))\setminus A$ fixed by γ such that the vertex of A closest to v is $\mathfrak{o}$. We let $S=\mathfrak{o}\cup S'$ if U fixes a vertex of $S=\{\mathfrak{e}\}\cup S'$ if U stabilizes an edge. We verify that S satisfies $(*)$. Note that T fixes A pointwise so by definition S is T invariant. Let u be any vertex (edge) stabilized by γ and let w be the vertex of A closest to u. For any $t\in G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ the vertex tw is the point of A closest to tu. The group $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ acts transitively on the set of vertices of A so there exists a $t_0\in G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ such that $t_0u\in S$. This proves that every orbit of $G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ fixed by γ intersects S. It remains to check that if two elements x,y of S are in the same G_γ orbit then the lie in the same T orbit. If $x=ty$ for some $t\in G_\gamma(k_\mathfrak{p})$ then $t\mathfrak{o}=\mathfrak{o}$, but the stabilizer of $\mathfrak{o}$ is T so the condition $(*)$ holds. By Lemma 6.3 we get

$$\#S=|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2} \qquad \text{(vertices)}, \tag{6.22}$$

$$\#S=|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2} \qquad \text{(edges)}. \tag{6.23}$$

Combining the inequalities above we get inequality (6.15). Now we can invoke Theorem 6.1 to give the estimate:

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)|\leq|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\mathbb{1}_{U_\mathfrak{p}})|\max_{\gamma'\in[\gamma]_{G(k_\mathfrak{p})}}|\chi(\gamma')|\leq\left(|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}+b\frac{|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}-1}{q-1}\right)M_\gamma. \tag{6.24}$$

We recall that $M_\gamma = \min\{8|\Delta(\gamma)|_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}, \chi(1)\}$. If G is anisotropic over $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ then $U = G(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and we trivially have $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\mathbb{1}_U) = 1$ so by Theorem 6.1

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)| \leq M_\gamma. \tag{6.25}$$

If γ is $2, 3, 4$ torsion the constant 8 can be improved to $4, 8\sqrt{3}/3$ and $4\sqrt{2}$ respectively. □

6.3. **Archimedean Orbital Integrals.** Let $G = PGL(2, \mathbb{K})$ with $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$, let γ be a regular semisimple element of G. For a compactly supported continuous function f of G we shall bound $|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)|$ in terms of $\|f\|_\infty, |\Delta(\gamma)|$ and the size of the support of f. To speak about size we have to choose a metric on G. We fix a norm on G given as follows. For $g \in G$ we choose a representative $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{K})$

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.26}$$

with $|\det A| = 1$. We define the Frobenius norm of g as $\|g\|^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 = \mathrm{tr} A\, {}^\dagger A$. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of G fixed by the conjugate transpose. As ${}^\dagger k = k^{-1}$ for any $k \in K$ the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is bi-K invariant. We fix a metric $d\colon G \times G \to \mathbb{R}_+$ by setting

$$d(g, h) = \|g^{-1}h\|.$$

Proposition 6.6. *Fix $R > 0$. Let γ be a regular semisimple element of G such that G_γ splits over $\mathbb{K}$. For any continuous function $f \in C_c(G)$ with $\mathrm{supp} f \subset B(1, R)$ we have*

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)| \ll_R |\Delta(\gamma)|^{-1/2}\|f\|_\infty. \tag{6.27}$$

Proof. Put $f^K(g) := \int_K f(k^{-1}gk)dk$, then $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) = \mathcal{O}_\gamma(f^K)$, $\|f^K\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ and $\mathrm{supp} f^K \subset B(1, R)$ because the metric is bi-K invariant. Hence it is enough to show the theorem for functions which are constant on the K-conjugacy classes. From now on assume that f is constant on K conjugacy classes. Choose a measurable bounded function α on G such that $\int_{G_\gamma} \alpha(tg)dt = 1$ for all $g \in G$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) = \int_{G_\gamma \backslash G} f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx = \int_G \alpha(g) f(g^{-1}\gamma g)dg. \tag{6.28}$$

Since G_γ is split, it is conjugate to the subgroup of diagonal matrices A. Since our problem is conjugation invariant we may assume $G_\gamma = A$. We have Iwasawa decomposition $G = ANK$ where N is the group of unipotent upper triangular matrices. By [33, Theorem 2.5.1] for any integrable h we have

$$\int_G h(g)dg = \int_A \int_N \int_K h(ank)dadndk. \tag{6.29}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) = \int_A \int_N \int_K \alpha(ank) f(k^{-1}n^{-1}a^{-1}\gamma ank)dadndk \tag{6.30}$$

$$= \int_A \int_N \int_K \alpha(ank) f(n^{-1}\gamma n)dadndk \tag{6.31}$$

$$= \int_N f(n^{-1}\gamma n)dn \tag{6.32}$$

$$= \int_N f(\gamma(\gamma^{-1}n^{-1}\gamma n))dn. \tag{6.33}$$

The map $\phi\colon n \mapsto \gamma^{-1}n^{-1}\gamma n$ is a differentiable automorphism of N. If γ has a lift $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ then it is easy to check that the Jacobian of ϕ is constant and equal to $1-\frac{b}{a}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) = \int_N f(\gamma(\gamma^{-1}n^{-1}\gamma n))dn = \left|1-\frac{b}{a}\right|^{-1}\int_N f(\gamma n)dn \ll_R \left|1-\frac{b}{a}\right|^{-1}\|f\|_\infty. \tag{6.34}$$

The last inequality stems from the fact that the support of f is contained in a ball of radius R so the rightmost integral can be bounded by $\sqrt{2}R\|f\|_\infty$. Elements γ and γ^{-1} are conjugate under the Weyl group so $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) = \mathcal{O}_{\gamma^{-1}}(f)$. From this we deduce that

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)|\|f\|_\infty \ll_R \min\left\{\left|1-\frac{b}{a}\right|^{-1}, \left|1-\frac{a}{b}\right|^{-1}\right\}\|f\|_\infty \tag{6.35}$$

$$\leq \left|\left(1-\frac{b}{a}\right)\left(1-\frac{a}{b}\right)\right|^{-1/2}\|f\|_\infty \tag{6.36}$$

$$= |\Delta(\gamma)|^{-1/2}\|f\|_\infty. \tag{6.37}$$

□

We have an analogous inequality for elements with anisotropic centralizer. Note that this can only happen in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ since $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $G = \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and let γ be a regular semisimple element with anisotropic centralizer. Then for any continuous function $f \in C_c(G)$ with $\mathrm{supp} f \subset B(1,R)$ we have*

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)| \ll_R |\Delta(\gamma)|^{-1}\|f\|_\infty. \tag{6.38}$$

Proof. Note that in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ all semisimple elements with anisotropic centralizer are conjugate to an element of the group

$$K = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\rangle,$$

and their centralizer is conjugate to the group

$$K_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Without loss on generality we may assume this is the case for γ. As in the proof of Proposition 6.6 we can assume that f is constant on the K conjugacy classes. We have the Cartan decomposition

$$G = K_0 A^+ K \text{ where } A^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Define the function $J\colon A^+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$J\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \left(\frac{a+a^{-1}}{2}\right)^2.$$

Then, by [31, p.37] we have

$$\int_G h(g)dg = \int_{K_0}\int_{A^+}\int_K J(a)h(k_0 a k)dk_0 da dk.$$

Fix a function $\alpha\colon G\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{K_0}\alpha(tg)dt=1$ for all $g\in G$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)=\int_{K_0\backslash G}f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx=\int_G\alpha(g)f(g^{-1}\gamma g)dg \tag{6.39}$$

$$=\int_{K_0}\int_{A^+}\int_K J(a)f(k^{-1}a^{-1}k_0^{-1}\gamma k_0 ak)dk_0dadk \tag{6.40}$$

$$=\int_{K_0}\int_{A^+}\int_K J(a)f(a^{-1}\gamma a)dk_0dadk \tag{6.41}$$

$$=\int_1^\infty\left(\frac{t+t^{-1}}{2}\right)^2 f\left(\begin{pmatrix}t^{-1}&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\gamma\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\right)\frac{dt}{t}. \tag{6.42}$$

Write $\gamma=\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta&\sin\theta\\-\sin\theta&\cos\theta\end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix}t^{-1}&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta&\sin\theta\\-\sin\theta&\cos\theta\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}t&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta&t^{-1}\sin\theta\\-t\sin\theta&\cos\theta\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.43}$$

The distance of the last matrix to 1 is given by $\left(2(\cos\theta-1)^2+(t^2+t^{-2})\sin^2\theta\right)^{1/2}\geq t|\sin\theta|$. The support of f lies in the ball $B(1,R)$ so from Equation (6.39) we get

$$\|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)\|\leq\|f\|_\infty\int_1^{\frac{R}{|\sin\theta|}}\left(\frac{t+t^{-1}}{2}\right)^2\frac{dt}{t}\ll_R|\sin\theta|^2. \tag{6.44}$$

It remains to relate $|\sin\theta|$ and $|\Delta(\gamma)|$. In $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ the element γ is conjugate to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix}e^{i\theta}&0\\0&e^{-i\theta}\end{pmatrix}$. Therefore $\Delta(\gamma)=(1-e^{2i\theta})(1-e^{-2i\theta})=(e^{-i\theta}-e^{i\theta})(e^{i\theta}-e^{-i\theta})=-\sin^2\theta$. Combining this with inequality (6.44) we get

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)|\ll_R\|f\|_\infty|\Delta(\gamma)|^{-1}. \tag{6.45}$$

□

Now we shall combine previous estimates with number theoretic input to obtain estimates on the archimedean orbital integrals for regular elements of an arithmetic lattice. The key ingredient is a theorem due to Dobrowolski [20]

Theorem 6.8. *Let α be an algebraic number of degree d. Then*

$$m(\alpha)\gg\left(\frac{\log\log d}{\log d}\right)^3.$$

Lemma 6.9. *Let γ be a regular element of an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ and let λ be one of the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Ad}\gamma$. Then λ is an algebraic integer and if $\gamma\in B(1,R)$ then $m(\lambda)\leq\log R$. Moreover if γ is not torsion , then there exists a unique place ω of $k(\lambda)$ such that $|\lambda|_\omega>1$. In such case we have $|\lambda|=|\lambda|_\omega^{\pm1}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ is an extension of k of degree at most 2.*

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $\gamma\in\Gamma$ and Γ is a maximal arithmetic lattice. The fact that γ is an algebraic number follows straight from the construction of maximal arithmetic lattices (c.f. Proposition 4.4). There exists an admissible quaternion algebra D and family of open compacts subsets $U_\mathfrak{p}\subset PD^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})$ for $\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f$ such that Γ is the projection of $PD^\times(k)\cap\prod_\mathfrak{p}U_\mathfrak{p}$ to

$\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$. In particular the eigenvalue λ in $\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}$ because of compactness of $U_\mathfrak{p}$. It follows that λ is an algebraic integer. Recall that the logarithmic Mahler measure is given by

$$m(\lambda) = \sum_{\omega \in M_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)}} \log^+ |\lambda|_\omega.$$

Let ν_1 be the unique archimedean place where D splits. For any place ν of k except ν_1 the element γ is contained in a compact subgroup of $PD^\times(k_\nu)$ so for any ω extending ν we have $|\lambda|_\omega = 1$. It follows that

$$m(\lambda) = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda):\mathbb{Q}]}{[k(\lambda):\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\omega \in M_{k(\lambda)}} \log^+ |\lambda|_\omega = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda):\mathbb{Q}]}{[k(\lambda):\mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\substack{\omega|\nu \\ \omega \in M_{k(\lambda)}}} \log^+ |\lambda|_\omega.$$

Note that for any $\omega|\nu_1$ we have $|\lambda| = |\lambda|_\omega^{\pm}$. Among all extensions w of ν_1 to $k(\lambda)$ there is at most one with $|\lambda|_\omega > 1$. If there is none, then by Kronecker lemma λ is a root of identity and γ is torsion, in which case $m(\lambda) = 0$.

We have $d(\gamma, 1) = \|1 - \gamma\| \leq R$. The matrix $1 - \gamma$ has eignvalues $1 - \lambda, 1 - \lambda^{-1}$ so the last inequality implies that

$$|1 - \lambda| \leq R \tag{6.46}$$

$$|\lambda| \leq R + 1 \leq e^R \tag{6.47}$$

$$\log^+ |\lambda| \leq R. \tag{6.48}$$

Hence $m(\lambda) \leq R$. In the case $|\lambda|_\omega \neq 1$ we can easily show that $k(\lambda)$ is at most a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ so the factor $\frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda):\mathbb{Q}]}{[k(\lambda):\mathbb{Q}]}$ does not play any important role. □

Combining Lemma 6.9, Theorem 6.8 and Propositions 6.6, 6.7 we get

Corollary 6.10. *Fix $R > 0$. Let γ be a regular element of an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ defined over a number field k and let $f \in C_c(\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))$ be a continuous function supported on a ball $B(1,R)$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)| \ll_R \left(\frac{\log[k:\mathbb{Q}]}{\log\log[k:\mathbb{Q}]}\right)^3 \|f\|_\infty \qquad \text{if } \gamma \text{ is loxodromic or hyperbolic,} \tag{6.49}$$

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)| \ll_R [k:\mathbb{Q}]^2 \|f\|_\infty \qquad \text{if } \gamma \text{ is elliptic and } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \tag{6.50}$$

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)| \ll_R [k:\mathbb{Q}]^4 \|f\|_\infty \qquad \text{if } \gamma \text{ is elliptic and } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.51}$$

$$\tag{6.52}$$

Proof. Note that if γ is loxodromic, hyperbolic or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ then its centralizer splits. For the first inequality observe that Lemma 6.9 gives

$$|\Delta(\gamma)| = |1 - \lambda||1 - \lambda^{-1}| \gg \left(\frac{\log[k:\mathbb{Q}]}{\log\log[k:\mathbb{Q}]}\right)^{-6}. \tag{6.53}$$

This combined with estimate from Proposition 6.6 gives (6.49). In the elliptic case the eigenvalues λ, λ^{-1} of γ are roots of unity. Let n be the order of γ. Since λ lies in a quadratic extension of k we have $[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda):\mathbb{Q}] = \phi(n) \leq 2[k:\mathbb{Q}]$ where ϕ is the Euler's totient function. Using a crude estimate $n \ll \phi(n)^2$ we get $n \ll [k:\mathbb{Q}]^2$. Thus $|1 - \lambda| \gg [k:\mathbb{Q}]^{-2}$. If the centralizer of γ is split (which happens if and only if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$) we get, by Proposition 6.6

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)| \ll_R [k:\mathbb{Q}]^2 \|f\|_\infty.$$

If $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ the centralizer of γ is anisotropic so

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)| \ll_R [k:\mathbb{Q}]^4 \|f\|_\infty,$$

by Proposition 6.7. □

6.4. **Global orbital integrals - general case.** In this section we combine the results from Sections 6.3 and 6.2 to get a bound on $|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_f})|$. We start the discussion by a general bound resulting from what we did in the previous sections. Next we focus on the torsion case and leave the non-torsion for the next section. To deal with the non-archimedean part we shall use a classical result of Frobenius

Theorem 6.11 (Frobenius)**.** *Any irreducible representation of* $\mathrm{PGL}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ *of dimension bigger than* 1 *has dimension at least* $q-1$.

For the anisotropic case we will use a result of Carayol on the dimensions of irreducible representations of quaternion algebras over a local field.

Theorem 6.12 ([13, Proposition 6.5])**.** *Let* A *be a division quaternion algebra defined over* $k_\mathfrak{p}$. *Let* π *be a smooth irreducible representation of* $A^\times$ *of minimal level* c *and let* $r=gcd(2,c)$. *Then if* $c\geq 2$ *the dimension of* π *is given by the formula*

$$\dim \pi = r\frac{q^2-1}{q^r-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}(c+r-4)}. \tag{6.54}$$

For the definition of minimal level we refer to [13]. If the level is 1 then the representation π is of dimension 1 because it factors through an abelian group. Any complex representation of $PA^\times$ can be seen as a representation of $A^\times$ with trivial central character. As a consequence[8] we get:

Corollary 6.13. *Let* $U_\mathfrak{p}$ *be a maximal compact subgroup of* $PD^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})$. *If* $\mathfrak{p}\notin \mathrm{Ram}^f D$ *and* $U\simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ *then any non-trivial irreducible representation of* $U_\mathfrak{p}$ *of dimension* >1 *has dimension at least* $N(\mathfrak{p})-1$ *and if* $U_\mathfrak{p}\not\simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ *then every irreducible representation of dimension* >2 *has dimension* $N(\mathfrak{p})-1$. *If* $\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ram}^f D$ *then any non-trivial representation of dimension* >2 *has dimension at least* $N(\mathfrak{p})+1$.

We briefly recall the notation. $D^\times$ is an admissible quaternion algebra defined over a field k, U is a maximal compact subgroup of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and χ is the character of an irreducible representation of U. We have a decomposition $U=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} U_\mathfrak{p}$ so the character χ can be written as $\chi=\bigotimes_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f}\chi_\mathfrak{p}$. As a direct application of the Proposition 6.5 we get

Proposition 6.14. (1)

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\mathbb{1}_U)| \leq |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta(\gamma))|^{1/2} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}|\Delta(\gamma)\\ k_\mathfrak{p}[\gamma]\, quadratic}} \left(\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}\right).$$

(2) *For any irreducible character* χ *of* U *we have*

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)| \leq |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta(\gamma))|^{3/2} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}|\Delta(\gamma)\\ k_\mathfrak{p}[\gamma]\, quadratic}} \left(\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}\right) \prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}<\chi_\mathfrak{p}(1)}} 8 \prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}\geq\chi_\mathfrak{p}(1)}} \chi_\mathfrak{p}(1),$$

[8]For the rigorous proof of lower bounds on dimensions in the unramified case see Section 8.2.

If γ is $2,3,4$ torsion the constant 8 can be improved to $4, 8\sqrt{3}/3$ and $4\sqrt{2}$ respectively.

Proof. For any χ we have

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)| = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} |\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\mathfrak{p})|$$

For the first inequality put $\chi = \mathbb{1}_U$. If $\mathfrak{p}$ divides $\Delta(\gamma)$ and the extension $k_\mathfrak{p}[\gamma]/k_\mathfrak{p}$ is quadratic then by Proposition 6.5 we have

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\mathbb{1}_{U_\mathfrak{p}})| \leq |\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1},$$

otherwise $|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\mathbb{1}_{U_\mathfrak{p}})| \leq |\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1/2}$. Observe that $|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta(\gamma))| = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} |\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}$ so we get

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\mathbb{1}_U)| \leq |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta(\gamma))|^{1/2} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}|\Delta(\gamma)\\ k_\mathfrak{p}[\gamma] \text{ quadratic}}} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}.$$

The proof of the second inequality is completely analogous. □

We need to find more practical bounds on the factors $|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta(\gamma))|$,

$$\prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}|\Delta(\gamma)\\ k_\mathfrak{p}[\gamma]\text{quadratic}}} \left(\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}\right) \text{ and } \prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}<\chi_\mathfrak{p}(1)}} 8 \prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}\geq\chi_\mathfrak{p}(1)}} \chi_\mathfrak{p}(1)$$

At this point it is clear that presence of many prime ideals of small norm makes the problem harder. In the next section we will show that if γ is non-torsion and the archimedean orbital integral $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}^f})$ does not vanish then all three factors are of order $\exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))$. This is more that enough for our purposes.

6.5. **Global orbital integrals - non torsion case.** In the non torsion case we shall use the Bilu equidistribution theorem to control the norms of Weyl discriminants and the distribution of prime ideals of small norm. This yields a much better bound than in the general case. Throughout this section let R be a fixed real positive number. The main result is

Proposition 6.15. *Let Γ be a uniform arithmetic lattice of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ defined using a quaternion algebra D over a number field k and an open compact subgroup U of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Let $f \in C_c(\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ with $\mathrm{supp} f \in B(1,R)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma\setminus\{1\}$. If $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) \neq 0$ then for any irreducible character χ of U and any $0<\delta<1/2$we have*

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)| \leq \chi(1)^{1-\delta}2^{\delta|\mathrm{Ram}_f D|}\exp(o_R([k:\mathbb{Q}])). \tag{6.55}$$

Proof. Using Proposition 6.14 we get

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)| \leq |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta(\gamma))|^{3/2} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}|\Delta(\gamma)\\ k_\mathfrak{p}[\gamma]\text{quadratic}}} \left(\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}\right) \prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}<\chi_\mathfrak{p}(1)}} 8 \prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8|\Delta(\gamma)|_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}\geq\chi_\mathfrak{p}(1)}} \chi_\mathfrak{p}(1).$$

We shall estimate the right hand side factor by factor. The fact that $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)\neq 0$ implies that $m(\gamma)\leq R$. By Lemma 5.3 $|N_{k_\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta(\gamma))| = \exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))$. By Theorem 5.4 the number of prime

ideals of bounded norm is sublinear in $[k:\mathbb{Q}]$ so

$$\prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}|\Delta(\gamma)\\ k_{\mathfrak{p}}[\gamma]\text{quadratic}}}\left(\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}\right)=\exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}])).$$

Choose δ between 0 and 1. By Corollary 6.13 the primes $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)>8$ and $8\geq\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)^{1-\delta}$ satisfy $(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)^{1-\delta}\leq 8$. Again using Theorem 5.4 we get that the number of such primes is sublinear in $[k:\mathbb{Q}]$. It follows that

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)|\leq\exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))\prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8<\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)}}8\prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8\geq\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)}}\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1) \tag{6.56}$$

$$\leq\exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))\chi(1)^{1-\delta}\prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8<\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)}}\frac{8}{\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)^{1-\delta}}\prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8\geq\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)}}\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)^{\delta} \tag{6.57}$$

$$\leq\exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))\chi(1)^{1-\delta}\prod_{\substack{p\in M_k^f\\ 8\geq\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)}}\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)^{\delta}. \tag{6.58}$$

By Corollary 6.13 ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ with $1<\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)\leq 8$ and $\mathfrak{p}\notin\mathrm{Ram}^f D$ satisfy $(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)^{1-\delta}\leq 8$ (note that it is not true for ramified primes as there we can get irreducible representations of dimension 2). By 5.4 we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)|\leq\exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))\chi(1)^{1-\delta}\prod_{\substack{p\in Ram^f D\\ 8\geq\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)}}\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1)^{\delta} \tag{6.59}$$

$$\leq\exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))\chi(1)^{1-\delta}2^{\delta|\mathrm{Ram}^f D|}. \tag{6.60}$$

The factor 2 appears because by Theorem 6.12 for $\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Ram}^f D$ the dimension of an irreducible representation of $PD^\times(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is either smaller or equal to 2 or at least $N(\mathfrak{p})+1$. □

7. Number of conjugacy classes with nontrivial contribution

Throughout this section fix $f\in C_c(\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))$ with $\mathrm{supp} f\subset B(1,R)$. In Section 6.3, Lemma 6.9 we showed that if λ is an eigenvalue of a semisimple, non-torsion conjugacy class $[\gamma]$ in an arithmetic lattice and $[\gamma]_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})}\cap B(1,R)\neq\emptyset$ then the logarithmic Mahler measure satisfies $m(\lambda)\leq\log R$. Moreover, if Γ is defined over k then there exists a unique place ω of $k(\lambda)$ such that $|\lambda|_\omega>1$. In this section we will estimate the number of possible values of λ and in this way give an upper bound on the number of torsion free, rational conjugacy classes $[\gamma]\in\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)$ with $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_\infty)\neq 0$. We will write $m(\gamma)$ for $m(\lambda)$, this does not depend on the choice of eigenvalue since they have the same minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 7.1. *The problem reduces to counting the possible eigenvalues. If λ is such an eigenvalue, it is an algebraic integer, its logarithmic Mahler measure is bounded by R and $\lambda+\lambda^{-1}\in\mathcal{O}_k$. A priori the number of all algebraic integers satisfying those conditions may be much smaller than the number of all algebraic integers α of degree at most $2[k:\mathbb{Q}]$ and with $m(\alpha)\leq R$. The size of the latter set was bounded by Dubickas and Konyagin in [21]:*

$$|\{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^{alg}|[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}]\leq d\text{ and }m(\alpha)\leq R\}|\ll e^{R([k:\mathbb{Q}]+o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))}. \tag{7.1}$$

This bound is exponential in the degree while we need a sub-exponential one.

The main result of this section is

Theorem 7.2. *Fix $R > 0$. Let D be a $\mathbb{K}$-admissible quaternion algebra defined over a number field k. The number of conjugacy classes $[\gamma] \subset \mathrm{PD}^\times(k)$ with $m(\gamma) \leq R$ is of order $\exp(O_R(\log^2[k:\mathbb{Q}]))$.*

We always assume that D is an $\mathbb{K}$-admissible quaternion algebra. Before proving Theorem 7.2 let us prove some auxiliary results.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| \leq 1$ and $t \geq 0$ define the function

$$\log_t |1-z| = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-e^{-nt}(z^n + \overline{z}^n)}{n}. \tag{7.2}$$

Note that for $t = 0$ the series converge absolutely only if $|z| < 1$. In that case we have $\log_0 |1-z| = \log|1-z|$.

Lemma 7.3. (1) *for $t < 1$ we have $|\log_t 0| \leq -\log t + O(1)$.*
(2) *for $|z| = 1$ we have $\log|1-z| \leq t + \log_t |1-z|$.*

Proof. (1)

$$0 \geq \log_t 0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-e^{-nt}}{n} \tag{7.3}$$

$$= \log|1-e^{-t}| \tag{7.4}$$

$$\geq \log t + O(1). \tag{7.5}$$

(2) Assume $z \neq 1$, otherwise its clear.

$$\log|1-z| - \log_t|1-z| = \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \log_s|1-z| ds \tag{7.6}$$

$$= \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{-e^{-|n|s}z^n}{|n|}\right) ds \tag{7.7}$$

$$= \int_0^t \left(\sum_{n\neq 0} -e^{-|n|s}z^n\right) ds \tag{7.8}$$

$$= t - \int_0^t \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|n|s}z^n\right). \tag{7.9}$$

To finish the proof it is enough to show that the sum $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|n|s}z^n$ is positive for all z on the unit circle and $s > 0$. We have

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|n|s}z^n = \frac{1}{1-e^{-s}z} + \frac{1}{1-e^{-s}\overline{z}} - 1 \tag{7.10}$$

$$= \frac{1-e^{-2s}}{|1-ze^{-s}|^2} \tag{7.11}$$

$$> 0. \tag{7.12}$$

□

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an algebraic integer of degree N such that $m(\alpha) \leq R$ and there exists at most C embeddings $\rho\colon \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\rho(\alpha)| \neq 1$. Then*

$$\left|\frac{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}\alpha}{N}\right| \ll_{R,C} \left(\frac{\log N}{N}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{7.13}$$

Proof. The proof follows the methods of [22] and [5]. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ be the roots of the minimal polynomial of α. We divide them in two parts $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\} = H_1 \sqcup H_2$ where $H_1 = \{\alpha_i \mid |\alpha_i| = 1\}$ and $H_2 = \{\alpha_i \mid |\alpha_i| \neq 1\}$. The discriminant of the minimal polynomial of α is a non zero integer so

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \log|\alpha_i - \alpha_j| \geq 0. \tag{7.14}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\omega \neq \omega' \in H_1} \log|\omega - \omega'| \geq -2 \sum_{\substack{\omega \in H_1 \\ \omega' \in H_2}} \log|\omega - \omega'| - \sum_{\omega \neq \omega' \in H_2} \log|\omega - \omega'| \tag{7.15}$$

$$\geq -2|H_1||H_2|\log(e^R + 1) - \binom{|H_2|}{2}\log(2e^R) \tag{7.16}$$

$$\gg_{R,C} -N. \tag{7.17}$$

We have used the fact that $m(\alpha) \leq R$ to guarantee that $|\omega| \leq e^R$ for any $\omega \in H_2$. The left hand side of the inequality can be rewritten as $\sum_{\omega \neq \omega' \in H_1} \log|1 - \omega\overline{\omega'}|$. Using the last inequality and Lemma 7.3 we get for $t \in]0,1[$

$$\sum_{\omega, \omega' \in H_1} \log_t|1 - \omega\overline{\omega'}| \geq |H_1|\log t + O(|H_1|) - t|H_1|^2 + O(N) \tag{7.18}$$

$$\ll -N(tN - \log t + O(1)). \tag{7.19}$$

Note that in the above sum we allow $\omega = \omega'$. Choose $t = 1/N$, then we get

$$\sum_{\omega, \omega' \in H_1} \log_t|1 - \omega\overline{\omega'}| \ll -N(\log N + O(1)). \tag{7.20}$$

We use the Fourier expansion of $\log_t$ to get

$$-N(\log N + O(1)) \gg \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{-e^{-|n|/N}}{|n|} \sum_{\omega, \omega \in H^1} \omega^n \overline{\omega'}^n \tag{7.21}$$

$$= \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{-e^{-|n|/N}}{|n|} \left|\sum_{\omega \in H_1} \omega^n\right|^2. \tag{7.22}$$

We deduce

$$\left|\sum_{\omega \in H_1} \omega\right|^2 \ll e^{1/N} N(\log N + O(1)) \tag{7.23}$$

$$\left|\sum_{\omega \in H_1} \omega\right| \ll N^{1/2}(\log N)^{1/2}. \tag{7.24}$$

We turn back to the main inequality of the lemma:

$$\left|\frac{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}\alpha}{N}\right| \leq \left|\frac{\sum_{\omega\in H_1} w}{N}\right| + \left|\frac{\sum_{\omega\in H_2} w}{N}\right| \tag{7.25}$$

$$\ll \left(\frac{\log N}{N}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{Ce^R}{N} \ll \left(\frac{\log N}{N}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{7.26}$$

□

We will need a simplified version of Kabatjianksi-Levenstein bound on the number of almost orthogonal vectors on the unit sphere.

Lemma 7.5. *[T.Tao [51]] Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m$ be unit vectors in an n-dimensional Euclidean space such that for every $i \neq j$ $|\langle v_i, v_j\rangle| \leq An^{-1/2}$ for some $\frac{1}{2} < A < \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}$. Then $m \leq \left(\frac{Cn}{A^2}\right)^{CA^2}$ for some absolute constant C.*

No we can proceed to the proof:

Proof of Theorem 7.2. By Lemma 3.6 if γ is not 2-torsion then any nonzero eigenvalue λ of γ determines the conjugacy class $[\gamma]$. The eigenvalue λ is an algebraic integer of logarithmic Mahler measure at most R. We will prove the theorem by showing that there are at most $\exp(O(\log^2[k:\mathbb{Q}]))$ possible choices of λ. Let us enumerate the archimedean places of k by $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_{r_1+r_2}$ is such a way that $k_{\nu_1} = \mathbb{K}$ and $\{\nu_2, \ldots, \nu_{r_1+r_2}\} = \mathrm{Ram}^\infty D$. Note that in our setting the number of complex places r_2 is 1 if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and 0 otherwise.

Define the set

$$S_R = \{\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} | \lambda+\lambda^{-1} \in k, m(\lambda) \leq R, (\lambda+\lambda^{-1})_{\nu_1} \notin [-2,2] \text{ and } |\lambda+\lambda^{-1}|_{\nu_i} \leq 2 \text{ for } i = 2, \ldots, r_1+r_2\} \tag{7.27}$$

By Lemma 6.9 the eigenvalues of γ lie in S_R. We want to show that

$$|S_R| \leq \exp(O(\log^2[k:\mathbb{Q}])). \tag{7.28}$$

Consider the set

$$A_R = \{\lambda + \lambda^{-1} | \lambda \in S_R \text{ and } \mathrm{Re}(\lambda+\lambda^{-1})_{\nu_1} > 0\}. \tag{7.29}$$

Here x_{ν_1} denotes the image of x under any embedding $\rho\colon k \to \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $|x|_{\nu_1} = |\rho(x)|$. Such an embedding is defined up to complex conjugation so the real part is well defined. We have $|S_R| \leq 4|A_R|$. Let us fix a Dirichlet embedding $\iota : k \to \mathbb{C}^{r_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{r_1} := V$ given by $x \mapsto (x_{\nu_1}, x_{\nu_2}, \ldots, x_{\nu_{r_1+r_2}})$. We introduce a scalar product on V given by

$$\langle x, y\rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}\left(2x_1\overline{y_1} + \sum_{i=2}^{r_1+r_2} x_i y_i\right) & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C} \\ \frac{1}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}\sum_{i=1}^{r_1+r_2} x_i y_i & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \tag{7.30}$$

By means of the Dirichlet embedding we think of k as a subset of V. We claim that for every pair $x, y \in A_R$ we have $|\langle x, y\rangle| \ll (\log[k:\mathbb{Q}]/[k:\mathbb{Q}])^{1/2}$ and $|\langle x, x\rangle| = 2 + O((\log[k:\mathbb{Q}]/[k:\mathbb{Q}])^{1/2})$. We postpone the proofs of these inequalities to Lemma 7.6. For every $x \in A_R$ put $\tilde{x} = x/\sqrt{\langle x, x\rangle}$. V is a Euclidean space of dimension $[k:\mathbb{Q}]$ and the set $\{\tilde{x} | x \in A_R\}$ consists of unit vectors. For any distinct $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ we have $\langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}\rangle \leq C_1 \log^{1/2}[k:\mathbb{Q}]/[k:\mathbb{Q}]^{1/2}$. We apply Lemma 7.5 to get:

$$|A_R| \ll \left(\frac{C[k:\mathbb{Q}]}{C_1^2 \log[k:\mathbb{Q}]}\right)^{CC_1^2 \log[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \ll \exp(CC_1^2 \log^2[k:\mathbb{Q}]). \tag{7.31}$$

It follows that $|S_R| = \exp(O(\log^2[k:\mathbb{Q}]))$. Since the conjugacy class of γ is determined by its eigenvalues that gives the desired bound on the number of conjugacy classes. □

Lemma 7.6. *Put $N = [k:\mathbb{Q}]$. With the notation from the proof of Theorem 7.2, for every $x \neq y \in A_R$ we have*

(1)
$$|\langle x, y\rangle| \ll \left(\frac{\log N}{N}\right)^{1/2}$$

(2)
$$\langle x, x\rangle = 2 + O\left(\left(\frac{\log N}{N}\right)^{1/2}\right)$$

Proof. Let us treat the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, the proofs for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ are nearly identical. Write $x = \lambda_1 + \lambda_1^{-1}$ and $y = \lambda_2 + \lambda_2^{-1}$ with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in S_R$. The conditions imposed on A_R ensure that $\lambda_1 \neq \pm\lambda_2^{\pm 1}$. In particular $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1^{\pm 1}\lambda_2^{\pm 1})$ contains k and $4 \geq [\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1^{\pm 1}\lambda_2^{\pm 1}) : \mathbb{Q}) : k] \geq 2$. We have

$$\langle x, y\rangle = \frac{\mathrm{tr}_{k:\mathbb{Q}} xy}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} = \sum_{\pm,\pm} \frac{[k(\lambda_1^{\pm 1}\lambda_2^{\pm 1}) : k]\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1^{\pm 1}\lambda_2^{\pm 1})/\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda_1^{\pm 1}\lambda_2^{\pm 1})}{[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1^{\pm 1}\lambda_2^{\pm 1}) : \mathbb{Q}]} \tag{7.32}$$

$$\leq 4 \sum_{\pm,\pm} \frac{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1^{\pm 1}\lambda_2^{\pm 1})/\mathbb{Q}}\lambda_1^{\pm 1}\lambda_2^{\pm 1}}{[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1^{\pm 1}\lambda_2^{\pm 1}) : \mathbb{Q}]} \tag{7.33}$$

$$\ll_R \left(\frac{\log[k:\mathbb{Q}]}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{7.34}$$

In the last passage we have used Lemma 7.4. Now we move to the proof of the second equality.

$$\langle x, x\rangle = \frac{\mathrm{tr}_{k/\mathbb{Q}} x^2}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} = \frac{\mathrm{tr}_{k/\mathbb{Q}} 2 + \mathrm{tr}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1^{-2})}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \tag{7.35}$$

$$= 2 + \sum_{\pm} \frac{[k(\lambda_1^{\pm 2}) : k]\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1^{\pm 2}/\mathbb{Q}}\lambda_1^{\pm 2}}{[\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1^{\pm 2}) : \mathbb{Q}]} \tag{7.36}$$

$$= 2 + O_R\left(\left(\frac{\log[k:\mathbb{Q}]}{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}\right)^{1/2}\right). \tag{7.37}$$

□

8. Representation Zeta functions

8.1. **Definitions and motivation.** Let G be a topological group. The representation zeta function of G denoted ζ_G is defined as the formal Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_G(s) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G} (\dim \rho)^{-s} \tag{8.1}$$

The series are well defined only for rigid groups i.e. those which have finitely many irreducible representations of bounded dimension. For our purposes we will be interested in the representations

of a maximal compact subgroup U of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$, where D is an admissible quaternion algebra. By maximality U decomposes as a product of local factors $U = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} U_\mathfrak{p}$ so formally we have

$$\zeta_U = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} \zeta_{U_\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Unfortunately for us, the group U is not rigid and the representation zeta function is not well defined. Indeed, the image of U via the norm map $n(U) \subset \mathbb{A}^\times/(\mathbb{A}^\times)^2$ is infinite so U has infinitely many one dimensional representations. For this reason we define the special zeta function:

Definition 8.1. *Let G be a topological group. We identify the group of one dimensional characters of G with $\mathrm{Irr}G^{ab}$. $\mathrm{Irr}G^{ab}$ acts on $\mathrm{Irr}G$ by tensor product. The special representation zeta function of G denoted ζ_G^* is defined as the formal Dirichlet series*

$$\zeta_G^*(s) = \sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}G/\mathrm{Irr}G^{ab}} (\dim \rho)^{-s}. \tag{8.2}$$

For U we have the product decomposition:

$$\zeta_U^* = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} \zeta_{U_\mathfrak{p}}^*.$$

We will show in Section 8.2 that this function is well defined and can be explicitly bounded. Our motivation to study the representation zeta functions is illustrated by the following abstract principle.

Lemma 8.2. *Let G be a group and let H be a subgroup of finite index. Let $\gamma \in G$ be an element such that there exists $1 \geq \delta > 0$ such that $|\chi(\gamma)| \leq (\dim \rho)^{1-\delta}$ for all irreducible characters of G.*

(1) *Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\zeta_G(b-1)$ is finite and put $a = \delta/(1+b)$. Then*

$$\chi_{\mathrm{Ind}\,_H^G \mathbb{1}}(\gamma) = \sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}G} \dim W_\rho^H \chi_\rho(\gamma) \leq [G:H]^{1-a}\zeta_G(b-1)^a. \tag{8.3}$$

(2) *Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\zeta_G^*(b-1)$ is finite and put $a = \delta/(1+b)$. Write A for the image of H in G^{ab}. Then*

$$\chi_{\mathrm{Ind}\,_H^G \mathbb{1}}(\gamma) \leq [G:H]^{1-a}\zeta_G^*(b-1)^a[G^{ab}:A]^a. \tag{8.4}$$

Proof. (1) By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\left|\sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}G} \dim W_\rho^H \chi_\rho(\gamma)\right| \leq \sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}G} \dim W_\rho^H (\dim \rho)^{1-\delta} \tag{8.5}$$

$$= \sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}G} (\dim W_\rho^H \dim \rho)^{1-a} \left(\frac{\dim W_\rho^H}{(\dim \rho)^b}\right)^a \tag{8.6}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}G} \dim W_\rho^H \dim \rho\right)^{1-a} \left(\sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}G} \frac{\dim W_\rho^H}{(\dim \rho)^b}\right)^a \tag{8.7}$$

$$\leq [G:H]^{1-a}\zeta_G(b-1)^a \tag{8.8}$$

(2) Dividing by a finite index normal subgroup $N \subset H$ we may assume without loss on generality that G is finite. For an irreducible representation ρ let $S_\rho = \#\{\theta \in \mathrm{Irr} G^{ab} \mid \rho \simeq \rho \otimes \theta\}$[9]. We have

$$\chi_{\mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1}(\gamma) = \sum_{\rho \in IrrG} \langle 1, \rho \rangle_H \chi_\rho(\gamma) \tag{8.9}$$

$$= \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G/\mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \frac{1}{S_\rho} \sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \langle 1, \rho \otimes \theta \rangle_H \chi_\rho(\gamma)\theta(\gamma) \tag{8.10}$$

$$= \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G/\mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \frac{\chi_\rho(\gamma)}{S_\rho} \left(\sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \langle \theta, \rho \rangle_H \overline{\theta}(\gamma) \right) \tag{8.11}$$

If $\theta_1|_A = \theta_2|_A$ then[10] $\langle \theta_1, \rho \rangle_H = \langle \theta_2, \rho \rangle_H$. It follows that

$$\sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \langle \theta, \rho \rangle_H = [G^{ab} : A] \sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{Irr} A} \langle \theta, \rho \rangle_H \tag{8.12}$$

Put $C(\rho) := \sum_{\theta \in \mathrm{Irr} A} \langle \theta, \rho \rangle_H$. Then using inequality $|\chi_\rho(\gamma)| \leq (\dim \rho)^{1-\delta}$ and the Hölder inequality we get

$$\chi_{\mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1}(\gamma) \leq [G^{ab} : A] \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G/\mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \frac{|\chi_\rho(\gamma)| C(\rho)}{S_\rho} \tag{8.13}$$

$$\leq [G^{ab} : A] \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G/\mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \frac{(\dim \rho)^{1-\delta} C(\rho)}{S_\rho} \tag{8.14}$$

$$= [G^{ab} : A] \sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G/\mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \left(\frac{(\dim \rho) C(\rho)}{S_\rho} \right)^{1-a} \left(\frac{C(\rho)}{S_\rho (\dim \rho)^b} \right)^a \tag{8.15}$$

$$\leq [G^{ab} : A] \left(\sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G/\mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \frac{(\dim \rho) C(\rho)}{S_\rho} \right)^{1-a} \left(\sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G/\mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \frac{C(\rho)}{S_\rho (\dim \rho)^b} \right)^a \tag{8.16}$$

By definition $C(\rho) \leq \dim \rho$ and $S_\rho \geq 1$ so we can bound the last expression by

$$\leq [G^{ab} : A]^a \left(\sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G/\mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \frac{(\dim \rho) C(\rho) [G^{ab} : A]}{S_\rho} \right)^{1-a} \zeta_G^*(b-1)^a \tag{8.17}$$

By plugging $\gamma = 1$ in (8.9-8.12) we see that

$$\left(\sum_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr} G/\mathrm{Irr} G^{ab}} \frac{(\dim \rho) C(\rho) [G^{ab} : A]}{S_\rho} \right) = \chi_{\mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1}(1) = [G : H] \tag{8.18}$$

which ends the proof.

□

We will not be using Lemma 8.2 directly but apply a similar reasoning to bound the right hand side in Lemma 4.27. The upper bounds on the values of irreducible characters will be replaced by upper bounds on orbital integrals from Propositions 6.14 and 6.15.

[9]The only reason why we replace G with a finite quotient is that we need S_ρ to be finite.

[10]Recall that A is the image of H in G^{ab}.

8.2. **Special Zeta function of maximal compact subgroup.** Throughout this section U is a maximal compact subgroup of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$. It decomposes as a product $U=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} U_\mathfrak{p}$ where $U_\mathfrak{p}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $PD^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})$. We have seen in Lemma 4.10 that the structure of $U_\mathfrak{p}$ is known explicitly. Representation zeta functions for compact p-adic groups like $U_\mathfrak{p}$ have been studied in [3, 4, 30, 35] and many others but it seems that the exact type of bound that we need is not present in the literature. The formula for the representation zeta function of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ is known explicitly by the work of Jaikin-Zapirain [30]. Let $q=N(\mathfrak{p})$ be odd, then

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})}(s) =& 1+q^{-s}+\frac{q-3}{2}(q+1)^{-s}+2\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\right)^{-s}+\frac{q-1}{2}(q-1)^{-s}+2\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)^{-s}\\ &+\frac{4q\left(\frac{q^2-1}{2}\right)^{-s}+\frac{q^2-1}{2}(q^2-q)^{-s}+\frac{(q-1)^2}{2}(q^2+q)^{-s}}{1-q^{-s+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

We will use the following lemma

Lemma 8.3. *Let G be a group and H a normal subgroup such that G/H is abelian. Then for every $s>0$ where $\zeta_G^*(s)$ and $\zeta_H(s)$ are well defined we have $\zeta_G^*(s)\leq\zeta_H(s)$.*

Proof. For $\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}H$ let $\mathrm{Irr}(G|\rho)$ denote the set of irreducible representations of G whose restriction to H contains ρ. For any $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}G$ write a_π for $\#\{\pi\otimes\theta|\theta\in\mathrm{Irr}G^{ab}\}$. If $\rho_1,\rho_2\in\mathrm{Irr}H$ we write $\rho_1\sim\rho_2$ if they are conjugate by G. We have

$$\zeta_G^*(s)=\sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}H/\sim}\left(\sum_{\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(G|\rho)}\frac{(\dim\pi)^{-s}}{a_\pi}\right)\leq\sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}H/\sim}\left(\sum_{\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(G|\rho)/\mathrm{Irr}(G/H)}(\dim\pi)^{-s}\right). \tag{8.19}$$

In the last inequality we use the fact that G/H is abelian and $\mathrm{Irr}G/H$ acts on $\mathrm{Irr}(G|\rho)$ by tensor product. Write $K=K_\rho$ for the stabilizer of ρ in G. By Clifford's theorem [29, 6.2, 6.11, 6.17, and 11.22] for any $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(G|\rho)$ there exists e such that $e\rho$ extends to an irreducible representation $\tilde\rho$ of K and $\pi=\mathrm{Ind}_K^G\tilde\rho$. For now let us fix π. Direct computation of characters gives

$$\sum_{\theta\in\mathrm{Irr}K/H}\chi_{\theta\otimes\tilde\rho}=[K:H]\mathbb{1}_H\chi_{\tilde\rho}=e\chi_{\mathrm{Ind}_H^K\rho} \tag{8.20}$$

so every irreducible constituent of $\mathrm{Ind}_H^K\rho$ is of form $\theta\otimes\tilde\rho$ for some character θ of K/H. In particular by Frobenius reciprocity every $\pi'\in\mathrm{Irr}(G|\rho)$ is of form $\pi'=\mathrm{Ind}_K^G\theta\otimes\tilde\rho$ for some $\theta\in\mathrm{Irr}K/H$. Now if $\theta_2\in\mathrm{Irr}G/H$ then $\theta_2\otimes\mathrm{Ind}_K^G\tilde\rho=\mathrm{Ind}_K^G(\theta_2|_K)\otimes\tilde\rho$. This together with the previous remark shows that the action of $\mathrm{Irr}G/H$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(G|\rho)$ is transitive. This means that the right side of (8.19) equals

$$\sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}H/\sim}([G:K_\rho]\dim\rho)^{-s}\leq\zeta_H(s) \tag{8.21}$$

□

A corollary of the proof is

Corollary 8.4. *Let G be a group and H a normal subgroup such that G/H is abelian. Let ρ be an irreducible representation of H. Then all representations π of G such that $\pi|H$ contains ρ are in a single $\mathrm{Irr}G/H$ orbit and $\dim\pi\geq[G:\mathrm{Stab}_G\rho]\dim\rho$. In particular*

$$\zeta_G^*(s)\leq\sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}H/\sim}([G:K_\rho]\dim\rho)^{-s}.$$

Now we can proceed to the estimates on $\zeta^*_{U_\mathfrak{p}}(s)$. We divide the reasoning in three parts according to the isomorphism class of $U_\mathfrak{p}$. In general the argument will be as follows. First we find a normal subgroup H of $U_\mathfrak{p}$ with abelian quotient. For a representation ρ of H of level n we prove a lower bound on $[U_\mathfrak{p} : \mathrm{Stab}_{U_\mathfrak{p}}\rho]\dim\rho$ of order q^n. We use a crude bound on the number of irreducible representations of level n of order $(q-1)q^{3(n-1)}$. Using Corollary 8.4 we will get a satisfactory bound for $s \geq 7$ (see Corollary 8.5).

(1) $U_\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$. Put $H = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$. The quotient G/H is abelian and we even have $H = G^{ab}$ unless $q = 2,3$. Write K_n for the kernel of the reduction map $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}) \to \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^n)$. We call K_n the n-th principal congruence subgroup. Recall that an irreducible representation ρ of H is of level n if $K_n \subset \ker\rho$ and $K_{n-1} \not\subset \ker\rho$. We will write $\mathrm{Stab}\rho$ for the stabilizer of ρ under the action of $U_\mathfrak{p}$ by conjugation.

Step 1. All open normal subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p})$ contained in K_1 are of form K_n. Indeed let $N \subset K_1$ be an open normal subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p})$. Let n be a minimal natural number such that $N \subset K_n$. Put $N' = K_{n+1}N$. By definition it is a normal subgroup contained in K_n. The quotient K_n/K_{n+1} is naturally identified with $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{F}_q)$ and the action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ factors through the adjoint action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_q)$. The quotient N'/K_{n+1} is a non zero subspace of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{F}_q)$ invariant by $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_q)$. But $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{F}_q)$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_q)$ module so $N'/K_{n+1} = K_n/K_{n+1}$. Now the fact that $[K_1,K_l] = K_{l+1}$ and a simple inductive argument show that $N = K_n$.

Step 2. Let ρ be an irreducible representation of H of level n. Then $[U_\mathfrak{p} : \mathrm{Stab}\rho]\dim\rho \geq (q-1)q^{n-1}$. The proof is similar to the original argument of Frobenius. For any natural a let $N_a := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}\mathfrak{p}^a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. By Step 1 the normal closure of N_a in $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ equals K_a. It follows that the restriction $\rho|N_{n-1}$ is nontrivial. In particular there exists an irreducible character θ of $\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}$ contained in $\rho|N_0$ nontrivial on N_{n-1}. The subgroup $B := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}^\times_{k_\mathfrak{p}} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{O}^\times_{k_\mathfrak{p}} \end{pmatrix}$ of $U_\mathfrak{p}$ normalizes N_0 and $[G : \mathrm{Stab}\rho]\dim\rho \geq |B\theta| = |\mathcal{O}^\times_{k_\mathfrak{p}}\theta|$. Since θ is of level n we have $|\mathcal{O}^\times_{k_\mathfrak{p}}\theta| = (q-1)q^{n-1}$.

Step 3. Here we bound the number of $U_\mathfrak{p}$ orbits of irreducible representations of H of level n. It is easy to see that the number of $U_\mathfrak{p}$-orbits of irreducible representations of H/K_n equals the number of $U_\mathfrak{p}$ conjugacy classes in $H/K_n = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^n)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\#\{U_\mathfrak{p} \text{ conjugacy classes in } \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^n)\} && && (8.22)\\
\leq&\#\{U_\mathfrak{p} \text{ conjugacy classes in } \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_q)\}[K_1 : K_n] && && (8.23)\\
\leq&\frac{q+3}{2}q^{3n-3} && \text{for } q \text{ odd}, && (8.24)\\
\leq&(q-1)q^{3n-3} && \text{for } q \text{ even}. && (8.25)\\
& && && (8.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Step 4. If $q \geq 3$ then $\frac{q-1}{(q-1)^5} \leq \frac{1}{q^2}$ and $\frac{q+3}{2(q-1)^5} \leq \frac{1}{q^2}$ so steps 2, 3 and Corollary 8.4 combined give

$$\zeta^\times_{U_\mathfrak{p}}(5) \leq 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{3(n-1)}}{q^2q^{5(n-1)}} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q^{2n}} = (1-q^{-2})^{-1} \tag{8.27}$$

If $q = 2$ the groups H and $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are equal. We have $H/K_1 = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_2) = S_3$ so the contribution of characters of level 1 to $\zeta^*_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(s)$ equals $1+2^{-s}$. Using steps 2,3 we can estimate the contribution of higher level representations by $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(q-1)q^{3n-3}}{(q-1)^s q^{s(n-1)}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-s-3n}$. Hence we have $\zeta^*_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(6) \leq (1-q^{-2})^{-1}$

(2)

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \mathcal{O}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

In this case we will not be using Corollary 8.4. If A is a subgroup of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ we will write A^1 for the intersection $A \cap \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}})$. Put

$$U' = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \mathcal{O}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{8.28}$$

and

$$L_n := \begin{pmatrix} 1+\mathfrak{p}^{n+1} & \mathfrak{p}^n \\ \mathfrak{p}^{n+1} & 1+\mathfrak{p}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}^1. \tag{8.29}$$

Those are normal subgroups of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which will play a role analogous to the principal congruence subgroups K_n from the previous case. We say that a representation ρ of H is of level n if $L_n \in \ker \rho$ and $L_{n-1} \notin \ker \rho$. Note that this definition of level differs from the one used in the previous case.

Step 1. Let $N_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathfrak{p}^a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let M_a be the normal closure of N_a in $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $M_a = L_a$. To see this, first note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \pi x & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8.30}$$

Hence M_a contains the group

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{a+1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{p}^{a+1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle. \tag{8.31}$$

We identify the quotient K_{a+1}/K_{a+2} with $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$. Put $M'_a = M_a \cap K_{a+1}$. The image M'_a/K_{a+2} in K_{a+1}/K_{a+2} is an U'-invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$ containing the vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. A simple calculation shows that the only U' invariant subspace containing them is $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$. It follows that $M'_a K_{a+2} = K_{a+1}$. M'_a is normal in U' and $K_1 \subset U'$. We have $[K_1, K_n] = K_{n+1}$ for any n so a simple inductive argument shows that $M'_a = K_{a+1}$. Finally we conclude that $M_a = N_a K_{a+1} = L_a$.

Step 2. Any irreducible representation of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of level n has dimension at least $(q-1)q^{n-1}$. The proof is identical to the proof of Step 2 from the previous case. Note that we adapted the definition of level so that the argument still works.

Step 3. The group $U_{\mathfrak{p}}/L_0$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{q-1} so it has $\frac{q+5}{2}$ conjugacy classes if q odd and $\frac{q+2}{2}$ if q is even (c.f. [49, 5.3]). Hence we can bound the

number of conjugacy classes in $U_{\mathfrak{p}}/L_n$ by

$$\frac{q+5}{2}[L_0 : L_n] = \frac{q+5}{2}q^{3n} \qquad \text{for } q \text{ odd} \tag{8.32}$$

$$\frac{q+2}{2}[L_0 : L_n] = \frac{q+2}{2}q^{3n} \qquad \text{for } q \text{ even.} \tag{8.33}$$

Step 4. We start with the case $q \geq 3$. We have $U_{\mathfrak{p}}/L_0 \simeq D_{q-1}$. The dihedral group D_{q-1} has 4 representations of dimension 1 and $\frac{q-3}{2}$ representations of dimension 2 if q is odd and 2 representations of dimension 1 and $\frac{q-2}{2}$ representations of dimension 2 if q is even (c.f. [49, 5.3]). The contribution of representations of level 0 to $\zeta^*_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(s)$ is at most $1 + \frac{q-3}{2}2^{-s}$ for q odd and at most $1 + \frac{q-2}{2}$ for q even. Combining this with steps 2,3 we get that for q odd

$$\zeta^*_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(s) \leq 1 + \frac{q-3}{2}2^{-s} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q+3)q^{3n}}{2(q-1)^s q^{s(n-1)}}. \tag{8.34}$$

If follows that $\zeta^*_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(7) \leq (1+q^{-2})^{-1} + \frac{q-3}{2}2^{-7} \leq (1-q^{-2})^{-1}(1+q)$. For q even we get

$$\zeta^*_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(s) \leq 1 + \frac{q-2}{2}2^{-s} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q+2)q^{3n}}{2(q-1)^s q^{s(n-1)}}. \tag{8.35}$$

If $q \geq 4$ then as before $\zeta^*_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(7) \leq (1+q^{-2})^{-1} + \frac{q-2}{2}2^{-7} \leq (1+q^{-2})^{-1}(1+q)$. For $q = 2$ there are additional complications because our method from the second step gives a trivial bound on the representations of level 1. In this case we have to compute the contribution of the representations of levels 0 and 1 by hand. The group $U_{\mathfrak{p}}/L_0$ is isomorphic to $D_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ with generator $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $L_0/L_1 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ with generators $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Group $U_{\mathfrak{p}}/L_0$ acts on $L_0/L_1 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ be swapping coordinates so $U_{\mathfrak{p}}/L_0 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. We conclude that $U_{\mathfrak{p}}/L_1$ has 4 representations of dimension 1 and a single representation of dimension 2. Together with Steps 2 and 3 this yields the estimate

$$\zeta^*_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(s) \leq 1 + 2^{-s} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{3n+2}}{2^{1+(n-1)s}}. \tag{8.36}$$

We get $\zeta^*_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(s) \leq 1 + 2^{-7} + 1 + 2^{-4} + 2^{-8} + \ldots \leq (1-q^{-2})^{-1}(1+q)$.

(3) D is ramified in $\mathfrak{p}$ and $U = PD^{\times}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Write M_i for the subgroup $\{x \in D^{\times}(k_{\mathfrak{p}}) | n(x-1) \in \mathfrak{p}^i\}$ and $M_i^1 = M_i \cap D^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$. We have a surjective map $D(k_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\times} \to \mathrm{PD}^{\times}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ with abelian kernel so $\zeta^*_{\mathrm{PD}^{\times}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})}(s) \leq \zeta_{D^{\times}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})^*}(s)$ for every s where they are well defined. We will use Carayol's formula for the dimensions of irreducible representations in term of levels ([13], see theorem 6.12 for the statement). For every class c in $\mathrm{Irr}D^{\times}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mathrm{Irr}D^{\times}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})^{ab}$ we choose a representative ρ_c of minimal level. Recall that in a finite group G the number of classes in $\mathrm{Irr}G/\mathrm{Irr}G^{ab}$ coincides with the number of conjugacy classes in $[G,G]$. It follows that the number of classes $c \in \mathrm{Irr}D^{\times}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mathrm{Irr}D^{\times}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})^{ab}$ such that ρ_c is of level n is at most the number of conjugacy classes in $D^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}})/M^1_{n-1}$ (WHY $n-1$ NOT n?). As in Theorem 6.12 we put $r = \gcd(n,2)$, then $D^1(k_{\mathfrak{p}})/M^1_n = (q+1)q^{\frac{1}{2}(3n+r-4)}$. Using the dimension formula

we get

$$\zeta^*_{D^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} \leq 1 + 2^{-s}(q+1) + \sum_{n\geq 3} \frac{(q+1)q^{\frac{1}{2}(3n+r-4)}}{\left(r(q^2-1)(q^r-1)^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}(n+r-4)}\right)^s} \tag{8.37}$$

For $s \geq 7$ the left hand side is bounded by $\frac{q+1}{2^7} + (1+q^{-2})^{-1} \leq (1+q^{-2})^{-1}(1+q)$.

We wrap up the estimates on special representation zeta functions for maximal compact subgroups. Rather than aiming at an optimal estimate we will try to give simpler version with application to Strong Limit Multiplicity problem in mind.

Corollary 8.5. *Let D be an admissible quaternion algebra defined over a number field k. Let $U = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} U_\mathfrak{p}$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and let S be the set of places where $U_\mathfrak{p} \not\simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$. Then for $s \geq 7$ we have*

$$\zeta^*_U(s) \leq \zeta_k(2) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S} (N(\mathfrak{p})+1) \tag{8.38}$$

8.3. **Abelianization of maximal compact subgroup.** Let U be a maximal compact subgroup in $\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and V an open subgroup. To prove the Strong Limit Multiplicity for a lattice $\Gamma_V = \mathrm{PD}^\times(k) \cap V$ we will use a variation of the second part of the Lemma 8.2. With this application in mind we need to control the index of the image of V in the abelianization of U. Write $\phi\colon U \to U^{ab}$, we will prove:

Proposition 8.6.

$$[U^{ab} : \phi(V)] \leq 2^{3[k:\mathbb{Q}]+|S|} |\mathrm{cl}\,(k)| \frac{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|}, \tag{8.39}$$

where $\mathrm{cl}\,(V), \mathrm{cl}\,(U)$ are the class groups defined in 4.19 and $\mathrm{cl}\,(k)$ is the usual class group of k.

Proof. We will use a simple fact that whenever $H \subset G$ are groups such that G/H is finite and ι is a homomorphism of G with finite kernel, then $[G:H] \leq |\ker \iota|[\iota G : \iota H]$.

Step 1. The norm induces a map $n : U^{ab} \to n(U)$. Write U^1 for the kernel of $n : U \to n(U)$. We have $U^1 = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f} U^1_\mathfrak{p}$. If $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathrm{Ram}^f D$ and $U_\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ then $[U_\mathfrak{p}, U_\mathfrak{p}] = U^1_\mathfrak{p}$ unless[11] $N(\mathfrak{p}) = 2, 3$. If $N(\mathfrak{p}) = 2, 3$ then $[U_\mathfrak{p}, U_\mathfrak{p}] = U^1_\mathfrak{p}$ is a subgroup of $U^1_\mathfrak{p}$ of index 2. If $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathrm{Ram}^f D$ and $U_\mathfrak{p} \not\simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ then $U_\mathfrak{p}$ is of the second type in 4.10. For such groups we have $[U_\mathfrak{p}, U_\mathfrak{p}] = U^1_\mathfrak{p}$.

Finally if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ram}^f D$ then $[U_\mathfrak{p}, U_\mathfrak{p}] = U^1_\mathfrak{p}$. It follows that $|\ker\left[U^{ab} \to n(U)\right]| \leq 2^{n_2+n_3}$ where n_i is the number of prime ideals in k of norm i. There are at most $[k:\mathbb{Q}]$ prime ideals above every rational prime so we deduce $[U^{ab} : \phi(V)] \leq 2^{2[k:\mathbb{Q}]}[n(U) : n(V)]$.

Step 2. Our task is reduced to finding an upper bound on $[n(U) : n(V)]$. Let S be the set of finite places $\mathfrak{p}$ where $U_\mathfrak{p} \not\simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$. At this point it is good to recall that $U \subset \mathrm{PD}^\times$ so $n(U) \subset (\mathbb{A}_f^S) \times /((\mathbb{A}_f^\times)^S)^2$. Let us consider the quotient map $(\mathbb{A}_f^S)^\times/((\mathbb{A}_f^S)^\times))^2 \to A_f^\times/k^\times \times ((\mathbb{A}_f)\times)^2$ and write W_U, W_V respectively for the image of $n(U), n(V)$. We have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{A}_f^S)^\times/\mathcal{O}^\times_{k,S} \longrightarrow A_f^\times/k^\times \longrightarrow A_f^\times/k^\times(\mathbb{A}_f^S)^\times \longrightarrow 1 \tag{8.40}$$

[11] The groups $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_q)$ are simple if $q \neq 2, 3$.

Let us call the last group by $\mathrm{cl}^S(k)$, it is a quotient of the class group of k. We tensor the exact sequence by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ to get

$$[\mathrm{cl}^S(k)]_2 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{A}_f^S)^\times/\mathcal{O}_{k_D}^\times((\mathbb{A}_f^S)^\times)^2 \longrightarrow A_f^\times/k_D^\times(\mathbb{A}_f^\times)^2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{cl}^S(k)/2\mathrm{cl}^S(k) \longrightarrow 1. \tag{8.41}$$

Then the kernel of the map $n(U) \to (\mathbb{A}_f^S)^\times/\mathcal{O}_k^\times((\mathbb{A}_f^S)^\times)^2$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}^\times/(\mathcal{O}_{k,S}^\times)^2$. By Dirichlet's Unit Theorem the latter is cardinality at most $2^{r_1+r_2+|S|}$ so the kernel of the map $n(U) \to W_U$ is of cardinality at most $2^{r_1+r_2+|S|}|[\mathrm{cl}^S(k)]_2|$. We deduce that $[n(U) : n(V)] \leq 2^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]+|S|}|\mathrm{cl}(k)|[W_U : W_V]$.

Step 3. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & \ker[\mathrm{cl}(V) \to \mathrm{cl}(U)] & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & W_V & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_f^\times/k_D^\times(\mathbb{A}_f^\times)^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{cl}(V) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & W_U & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_f^\times/k_D^\times(\mathbb{A}_f^\times)^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{cl}(U) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & W_U/W_V & & 1 & & 1 & &
\end{array} \tag{8.42}$$

The rows and columns are exact so by snake lemma $W_U/W_V \simeq \ker[\mathrm{cl}(V) \to \mathrm{cl}(U)]$. It follows that

$$[U^{ab} : \phi(V)] \leq 2^{3[k:\mathbb{Q}]+|S|}|\mathrm{cl}(k)|\frac{|\mathrm{cl}(V)|}{|\mathrm{cl}(U)|}. \tag{8.43}$$

□

In the Section 9 we will show that for fixed $f \in C_c(\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))$ if a congruence lattice Γ_V contains non torsion elements with nonzero contribution to $\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V}f$ then $|\mathrm{cl}(k)| \ll_\varepsilon |\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}$.

9. Adelic Volumes

9.1. **Volumes of projective division algebras.** In this section we compute the volume of $PD^\times(k)\backslash PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$ with respect to the standard measure (cf. Section 2.4). The standard measure depends on the choice of maximal compact subgroup U of $PD^\times(\mathbb{A})$. The reasoning follows [44, Chapters 7,10,11]). Let us start by recalling the definition of a Tamagawa measure on an algebraic group.

Let G be an algebraic group defined over a number field k. Fix a left invariant gauge form ω on G defined over k. For any place ν in M_k the form ω induces a left invariant Haar measure on $G(k_\nu)$ denoted $|\omega|_\nu$. For the construction see [52, Section 2.2]. For any $a \in k^\times$ we have $|a\omega|_\nu = |a|_\nu|\omega|_\nu$. A sequence of positive real numbers $(\lambda_\nu)_{\nu\in M_k}$ is called a set of convergence factors if the product $\bigotimes_{\nu\in M_k}(\lambda_\nu^{-1}|\omega|_\nu)$ is a left invariant Haar measure on $G(\mathbb{A})$. If that is the case the measure $|\Delta_k|^{-\dim G/2}\bigotimes_{\nu\in M_k}(\lambda_\nu^{-1}|\omega|_\nu)$ is called the Tamagawa measure for G derived from the convergence factors (λ_ν) and we denote it by $(\omega,(\lambda_\nu)_{\nu\in M_k})$. Tamagawa measures do not depend on the choice of ω. If G is a semisimple algebraic group then $(1)_{\nu\in M_k}$ is a set of convergence factors and the Tamagawa measure derived from $(1)_{\nu\in M_k}$ is called the canonical Tamagawa measure on G. We shall write μ_{Tam} for the Tamagawa measure on a semisimple group G. We have the

following result on the Tamagawa measure of $G(k)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ when G is the projective group of a division algebra:

Theorem 9.1. *[Weil, [52, Theorem 3.2.1]] Let A be a central division algebra of dimension n^2 over a number field k. The canonical Tamagawa measure of the quotient $PA^\times(k)\backslash PA^\times(\mathbb{A})$ equals n.*

Computing Tamagawa measures straight from definition is not very convenient. We shall use an explicit description of Tamagawa measures on $D^\times(\mathbb{A})$ and $\mathbb{A}^\times$ as products of local measures defined without reference to any gauge form. The constructed local measures coincide with those from [44, Chapter 7.5]. For this, we fix a maximal order $\mathcal{O}$ in D and put $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} \mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in M_k^f$ and proceed as in [44, Chapter 7]. Fix a set of convergence factors $(\lambda_\nu)_\nu$ with $\lambda_\nu = 1$ if $\nu \in M_k^\infty$ and $\lambda_\mathfrak{p} = (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1})^{-1}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in M_k^f$. First let us describe additive measures. The Tamagawa measures on $\mathbb{A}$ and $D(\mathbb{A})$ are given by $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D(\mathbb{A})} = \prod_{\nu\in M_k} \mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D(k_\nu)}$ and $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathbb{A}} = \prod_{\nu\in M_k} \mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\nu}$. At a non-archimedean place the local measures $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}, \mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D(k_\mathfrak{p})}$ are defined as the unique Haar measures giving masses $|\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{1/2}, |\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^2 |\Delta_{D/k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{1/2}$ respectively to $\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$. At an archimedean place ν, $d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\nu}$ is defined as Lebesgue measure if $k_\nu \simeq \mathbb{R}$ and if $k_\nu \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $z = x + iy$ then $d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\nu}(z) = 2dxdy$. For the quaternion algebra we put $d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D(k_\nu)}(x) =$

- $4dx_1dx_2dx_3dx_4$ if $D(k_\nu) \simeq \mathbf{H}$ and $x = x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2 + \mathbf{j}x_3 + \mathbf{k}x_4$;
- $dx_1dx_2dx_3dx_4$ if $D(k_\nu) \simeq M(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}$;
- $16dx_1x_2\dots dx_8$ if $D(k_\nu) \simeq M(2,\mathbb{C})$ and $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ix_2 & x_3 + ix_4 \\ x_5 + ix_6 & x_7 + ix_8 \end{pmatrix}$.

The local multiplicative Tamagawa measures on $D^\times(k_\nu)$ and $k_\nu^\times$ derived from the set of convergence factors $(\lambda_\nu)_\nu$ are given by $d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\nu^\times}(a) = |a|_\nu^{-1} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\nu}$ and $d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D^\times(k_\nu)}(x) = |n(x)|_\nu^{-2} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D(k_\nu)}(x)$ respectively. We have to pay particular attention to the case $k_\nu \simeq \mathbb{C}$ where the valuation $|x|_\nu$ is the **square** of complex modulus. The measures $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D^\times(\mathbb{A})} = \prod_{\nu\in M_k} \mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D^\times(k_\nu)}$ and $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = \prod_{\nu\in M_k} \mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\nu^\times}$ are the Tamagawa measures derived from the set of convergence factors $(\lambda_\nu)_\nu$. Let us recall the definitions of matching gauge form and matching Haar measures. Let

$$1 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} B \xrightarrow{\pi} C \longrightarrow 1,$$

be an exact sequence of algebraic or topological locally compacts groups. Let $\omega_A, \omega_B, \omega_C$ be invariant gauge forms on A, B, C. We say that $\omega_A, \omega_B, \omega_C$ *match together algebraically* if $\omega_B = \iota_*(\omega_A) \wedge \pi^*(\omega_C)$. Let da, db, dc be Haar measures on A, B, C respectively. We say that dA, dB, dC *match together topologically* if, for every integrable f

$$\int_B f(b)db = \int_C \left(\int_A f(ac)da \right) dc.$$

To handle the canonical Tamagawa measure on $\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A})$ we use

Proposition 9.2. *[Weil, [52, Theorem 2.4.3]] Let G be a connected algebraic group defined over k and let N be a normal closed subgroup. Put $H = G/N$. Let dg, dn, dh be left invariant gauge forms on G, H, N respectively, defined over k and matching together algebraically (cf. [52, p 24]). Let $(a_\nu)_{M_k}, (b_\nu)_{M_k}, (c_\nu)_{M_k}$ be respective sets of factors such that $a_\nu = b_\nu c_\nu$. Then:*

(1) *If two of three sets* $(a_\nu)_{M_k}, (b_\nu)_{M_k}, (c_\nu)_{M_k}$ *are sets of convergence factors, so is the third one.*
(2) *If (1) holds then the measures* $(dx, (a_\nu)_{M_k}), (dn, (b_\nu)_{M_k}), (dh, (c_\nu)_{M_k})$ *match together topologically.*

For $R = \mathbb{A}$ or $R = k_\nu, \nu \in M_k$ consider the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow R^\times \longrightarrow D^\times(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PD}^\times(R) \longrightarrow 1$$

Define $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\nu)}$ as the unique measure compatible with $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\nu^\times}$ on $k_\nu^\times$ and $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D^\times(k_\nu)}$ on $D^\times(k_\nu)$. Then the product measure $\prod_{\nu\in M_k} \mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\nu)}$ is compatible with with $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathbb{A}^\times}$ and $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D^\times(\mathbb{A})}$. By Proposition 9.2

$$\prod_{\nu\in M_k} \mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\nu)} = \mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A})}$$

where $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A})}$ is the canonical Tamagawa measure. Be definition (see Section 2.4) the standard measure admits a similar decomposition

$$\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{PD^\times(\mathbb{A})} = \prod_{\nu\in M_k} \mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\nu)}.$$

It depends implicitly on the choice of maximal compact subgroup U of $\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

To compute the standard volume of $\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)\backslash\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A})$ we need to find the ratio

$$\frac{\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A})}}{\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A})}} = \prod_{\nu\in M_k} \frac{\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\nu)}}{\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\nu)}}.$$

The remaining part of this section is devoted to computations of local ratios.

Case $D(k_\nu) \simeq M(2,\mathbb{R})$. Put $f(A) = e^{-\pi \mathrm{tr} AA^t} |\det(A)|^2$. Then

$$\int_{GL(2,\mathbb{R})} f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})}(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{-\pi(x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2)} dx_1dx_2dx_3dx_4 \tag{9.1}$$

$$= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi x^2} dx\right)^4 = 1 \tag{9.2}$$

$$\tag{9.3}$$

By compatibility of $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{R^\times}, \mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})}$ and $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{R})}$ the same integral may be rewritten as

$$\int_{GL(2,\mathbb{R})} f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})}(g) = \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} f(gt)\frac{dt}{|t|}\right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})}(g\mathbb{R}^\times) \tag{9.4}$$

$$= \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})} \left(|\det g|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} e^{-\pi t^2(\mathrm{tr} gg^t)} |t|^3 dt\right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})} \tag{9.5}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})} \frac{|\det g|^2}{(\mathrm{tr} gg^t)^2} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})}(g\mathbb{R}^\times) \tag{9.6}$$

Hence $\int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})} \frac{|\det g|^2}{(\mathrm{tr} gg^t)^2} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})}(g\mathbb{R}^\times) = \pi^2$. We integrate the same function against the standard measure. Choose the maximal compact subgroup $K = \mathrm{PO}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and write A for the subgroup of positive diagonal matrices and N for the group upper triangular unipotent matrices. We have

the Iwasawa decomposition $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R}) = NAK$, which induces a diffeomorphism $NA \simeq \mathbb{H}^2$ given explicitly by

$$NA \ni \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto x + iy \in \mathbb{H}^2.$$

The function $\varphi(g) = \frac{|\det g|^2}{(\mathrm{tr} g g^t)^2}$ is right K-invariant so

$$\int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})} \varphi(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})}(g) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^2} \varphi(g) dg K \tag{9.7}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \frac{dydx}{y^2} \tag{9.8}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{(1+y^2+x^2)^2} dydx \tag{9.9}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r}{(1+r^2)^2} dr d\theta \tag{9.10}$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{9.11}$$

It follows that $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})} / \mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})} = 2\pi$

Remark 9.3. *Using the same method one can show that*

$$\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(n,\mathbb{R})} / \mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(n,\mathbb{R})} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n^2+n}{4}}}{2^{n-1}\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(2/2)\dots\Gamma(n/2)}.$$

Case $D(k_\nu) \simeq M(2,\mathbb{C})$. Put $f(A) = e^{-\pi \mathrm{tr} A A^*} |\det g|^4$ where A^* is the Hermitian transpose of A. Note that for $x \in k_\nu$, $|x|_\nu = |x|^2$ i.e. the valuation ν is not the complex modulus but its square. We have

$$\int f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{GL(2,\mathbb{C})} = 16 \int_{\mathbb{R}^8} e^{-\pi(x_1^2+\dots+x_8^2)} dx_1 \dots dx_8 \tag{9.12}$$

$$= 16 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi x^2} dx \right)^8 = 16 \tag{9.13}$$

By compatibility we can rewrite this integral as

$$\int_{GL(2,\mathbb{C})} f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})}(g) = \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^\times} f(gz) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(z) \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})}(g) \tag{9.14}$$

$$= \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^\times} f(g(x+iy)) \frac{2dxdy}{x^2+y^2} \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})}(g) \tag{9.15}$$

$$= \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} r \int_0^{2\pi} f(g r e^{i\theta}) \frac{2d\theta dr}{r^2} \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})}(g) \tag{9.16}$$

$$= \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})} 4\pi |\det g|^4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-\pi r^2 \mathrm{tr} g g^*} r^7 dr \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})}(g) \tag{9.17}$$

$$= 4\pi \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \frac{|\det g|^4 \Gamma(4)}{2\pi^4 (\mathrm{tr} g g^*)^4} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})}(g) \tag{9.18}$$

$$= \frac{12}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \frac{|\det g|^4}{(\mathrm{tr} g g^*)^4} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})}(g) \tag{9.19}$$

Hence $\int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \frac{|\det g|^4}{(\mathrm{tr} g g^*)^4} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})}(g) = \frac{4\pi^3}{3}$. We compute the same integral against $\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})}$. Let $\phi(g) = \frac{|\det g|^4}{(\mathrm{tr} g g^*)^4}$. Let $K = \mathrm{PU}(2)$, A be the group of positive diagonal matrices and let N the group of upper triangular unipotents. We use the upper halfspace model to represent $\mathbb{H}^3$. Using the Iwasawa decomposition we identify NA with $\mathbb{H}^3$ via the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 + ix_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (x_1, x_2, y) \in \mathbb{H}^3.$$

The function ϕ is right K-invariant so

$$\int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{R})} \phi(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})}(g) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^3} \phi(g) dg K \tag{9.20}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & x_1 + ix_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \frac{dy dx_1 dx_2}{y^3} \tag{9.21}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \frac{y}{(1 + y^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2)^4} dy dx_1 dx_2 \tag{9.22}$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2)^2} dx_1 dx_2 \tag{9.23}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r}{(1 + r^2)^2} dr d\theta \tag{9.24}$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{6} \tag{9.25}$$

Hence $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})} / \mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})} = \frac{4\pi^3}{3} \frac{6}{\pi} = 8\pi^2$

Case $D(k_\nu) \simeq \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} + \mathbf{i}\mathbb{R} + \mathbf{j}\mathbb{R} = \mathbf{k}\mathbb{R}$. Put $f(x) = e^{-\pi n(x)} n(x)^2$. Then

$$\int_{H^\times} f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{H^\times}(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{-\pi(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2)} 4 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \tag{9.26}$$

$$= 4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi x^2} dx \right)^4 = 4 \tag{9.27}$$

On the other hand:

$$\int_{H^\times} f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{H^\times}(g) = \int_{\mathrm{PH}^\times} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} f(gt) \frac{dt}{|t|} \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PH}^\times}(g) \tag{9.28}$$

$$= \int_{\mathrm{PH}^\times} \left(n(g)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} e^{-\pi n(g) t^2} |t|^3 dt \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PH}^\times}(g) \tag{9.29}$$

$$= \int_{\mathrm{PH}^\times} \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\pi^2} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PH}^\times}(g) \tag{9.30}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tam}}(\mathrm{PH}^\times), \tag{9.31}$$

so $\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tam}}(\mathrm{PH}^\times) = 4\pi^2$. Since the standard volume of a compact group is 1 we get $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PH}^\times} / \mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PH}^\times} = 4\pi^2$.

We proceed to finite places where there are only 2 cases:

Case $\mathfrak{p} \in M_k^f$ and $D(k_\mathfrak{p}) \simeq M(2, k_\mathfrak{p})$. Let $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$ be the same maximal order of $D(k_\mathfrak{p})$ as the one used to define $\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D(k_\mathfrak{p})}$. Up to conjugation we may assume $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p} = M(2, \mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$. Define $f \colon \mathrm{GL}(2, k_\mathfrak{p}) \to$

$\mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(g) = \begin{cases} |n(g)|^2 & \text{if } g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathrm{GL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})} f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{GL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})}(g) = \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \int_{M(2,k_\nu)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{M(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})} \tag{9.32}$$

$$= \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} |\Delta_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}|^2_{\mathfrak{p}} \tag{9.33}$$

By compatibility of Tamagawa measures we have

$$\int_{\mathrm{GL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})} f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{GL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})}(g) = \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})} \left(\int_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times} f(gt) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times}(t) \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})}(g) \tag{9.34}$$

$$= \int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})} \frac{|\Delta_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}|^{1/2}_{\mathfrak{p}} |n(g)|^2_{\mathfrak{p}} N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \left(\int_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(gt) |t|^3_{\mathfrak{p}} dt \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})}(g). \tag{9.35}$$

Here dt stands for Haar measure on $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ giving mass 1 to $\mathcal{O}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Let us write $\|g\|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for the maximal valuation of coefficients of g. That is $\|g\|_{\mathfrak{p}} := \min_{g \in t\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} |t|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ or $\|g\|_{\mathfrak{p}} = N(\mathfrak{p})^{-k}$ where $k = \min\{i \mid g \in \pi^i \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$. We have

$$\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \int_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(gt) |t|^3_{\mathfrak{p}} dt = \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(g\pi^n) |\pi|^{3n}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{Vol}(\pi^n \mathcal{O}^\times_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \tag{9.36}$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(g\pi^n) N(\mathfrak{p})^{-4n} \tag{9.37}$$

$$= \sum_{n=-k}^{\infty} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-4n} = \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^k}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-4}} = \frac{\|g\|^4_{\mathfrak{p}}}{1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-4}}. \tag{9.38}$$

We deduce that

$$\int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})} \frac{|\det g|^2}{\|g\|^4_{\mathfrak{p}}} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})} = |\Delta_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}|^{3/2}_{\mathfrak{p}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-4})(1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1})^{-1}. \tag{9.39}$$

Let us compute the same integral against the standard measure. We will assume some familiarity with Bruhat-Tits trees. The standard measure depends on the choice of a maximal compact subgroup so let us start with $U_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathcal{O}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}})$. Function $g \mapsto \frac{|\det g|^2_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\|g\|^4_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is bi $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$-invariant so

$$\int_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})} \frac{|\det g|^2}{\|g\|^4_{\mathfrak{p}}} d\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})} = \sum_{g \in \mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})/U_{\mathfrak{p}}} \frac{|\det g|^2_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\|g\|^4_{\mathfrak{p}}} \tag{9.40}$$

The cosets $\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_{\mathfrak{p}})/U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ may be identified with vertices of the Bruhat-Tits tree $X(\mathrm{SL}(2,K_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Let v_0 be the vertex stabilized by $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and put $\phi(gv_0) = \frac{|\det g|^2_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\|g\|^4_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Because of bi-$U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ invariance the value of $\phi(v)$ depends only on the $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ orbit of v, but by Cartan decomposition the latter depends only on the distance to v_0. More precisely the set of vertices v with $d(v, v_0) = n$ is a single $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$

orbit of size $N(\mathfrak{p})^{n-1}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)$ or 1 if $n=0$, with a representative $\begin{pmatrix}\pi^n & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} v_0$. Consequently

$$\sum_{g\in \mathrm{PGL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p})/U_\mathfrak{p}} \frac{|\det g|_\mathfrak{p}^2}{\|g\|_\mathfrak{p}^4} = 1+(N(p)+1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N(\mathfrak{p})^{n-1}N(\mathfrak{p})^{-2n} \tag{9.41}$$

$$= 1+(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-n-2} \tag{9.42}$$

$$= (1+N(\mathfrak{p})^{-2})(1-N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1})^{-1} \tag{9.43}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p})}}{\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p})}} = |\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{3/2}(1-N(\mathfrak{p})^{-2}).$$

If $U_\mathfrak{p} \not\simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$ then $[\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}) : U_\mathfrak{p}] = \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{2}$ so in this case

$$\frac{\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p})}}{\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,k_\mathfrak{p})}} = \frac{2|\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{3/2}}{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}(1-N(\mathfrak{p})^{-2}).$$

Case $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ram}^f D$. Recall that in this case $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p} = \{x \in D(k_\nu) \mid |n(x)|_\mathfrak{p} \le 1\}$. We define $f : D(k_\mathfrak{p}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by the same formula as in the split case. By Lemma 3.8 we have

$$\int_{D^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})}(g) = |\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^2 |\Delta_{D/k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{1/2} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} = \frac{|\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^2}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}. \tag{9.44}$$

By compatibility of Tamagawa measures we have

$$\int_{D^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} = \int_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} \left(\int_{k_\mathfrak{p}^\times} f(gt) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\mathfrak{p}^\times}(t) \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})}(g) \tag{9.45}$$

$$= \int_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} \left(\int_{k_\mathfrak{p}^\times} f(t) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\mathfrak{p}^\times}(t) \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} \tag{9.46}$$

$$\tag{9.47}$$

Let $\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p}) = A \cup B$ where $A = \{xk_\mathfrak{p}^\times \mid |n(x)|_\mathfrak{p} \in N(\mathfrak{p})^{2\mathbb{Z}}\}$ and $B = \{xk_\mathfrak{p}^\times \mid |n(x)|_\mathfrak{p} \in N(\mathfrak{p})^{2\mathbb{Z}+1}\}$. Then $\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tam}}(A) = \mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tam}}(B) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tam}}(\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p}))$. We have

$$\int_A \left(\int_{k_\mathfrak{p}^\times} f(t) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\mathfrak{p}^\times}(t) \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} = \mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tam}}(A) \left(\frac{|\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{1/2} N(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}}} |t|_\mathfrak{p}^3 dt \right), \tag{9.48}$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p}))}{2} \frac{|\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{1/2}}{1-N(\mathfrak{p})^{-4}} \tag{9.49}$$

$$\int_B \left(\int_{k_\mathfrak{p}^\times} f(t) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{k_\mathfrak{p}^\times}(t) \right) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p}))}{2} \frac{|\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{1/2} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-2}}{1-N(\mathfrak{p})^{-4}} \tag{9.50}$$

$$\int_{D^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} f(g) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{D^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})} = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})) \frac{|\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{1/2}}{2(1-N(\mathfrak{p})^{-2})} \tag{9.51}$$

$$\tag{9.52}$$

It follows that $\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tam}}(\mathrm{PD}^\times(k_\mathfrak{p})) = \frac{2|\Delta_{k_\mathfrak{p}}|_\mathfrak{p}^{3/2}}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}(1-N(\mathfrak{p})^{-2})$.

Proposition 9.4. *Let D be an admissible quaternion algebra defined over k i.e. one that can be used to construct arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}), \mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}$. Let U be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and let $\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A})}$ be the standard measure relative to U. Denote by S the set finite places $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $U_\mathfrak{p} \not\simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$. The standard volume of $\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)\backslash\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A})$ equals*

$$\frac{2|\Delta_k|^{3/2}\zeta_k(2)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ram}^f D}(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\backslash \mathrm{Ram}^f D}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)}{2\pi(4\pi^2)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]-1}2^{|S|}}, \tag{9.53}$$

if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ and

$$\frac{2|\Delta_k|^{3/2}\zeta_k(2)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ram}^f D}(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\backslash \mathrm{Ram}^f D}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)}{8\pi^2(4\pi^2)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]-2}2^{|S|}}, \tag{9.54}$$

if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We just need to put together the local ratio computations with Theorem 9.1. □

Using Corollary 4.22 we get:

Corollary 9.5. *With U as before let V be an open subgroup of U. Then*

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash\mathbb{H}^2)=\frac{[U:V]}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\frac{|\Delta_k|^{3/2}\zeta_k(2)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ram}^f D}(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\backslash \mathrm{Ram}^f D}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)}{\pi(4\pi^2)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]-1}2^{|S|}}, \tag{9.55}$$

if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ and

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash\mathbb{H}^3)=\frac{[U:V]}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\frac{|\Delta_k|^{3/2}\zeta_k(2)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ram}^f D}(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\backslash \mathrm{Ram}^f D}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)}{4\pi^2(4\pi^2)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]-2}2^{|S|}}, \tag{9.56}$$

if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$.

9.2. **Volumes of algebraic tori.** Let l be a quadratic extension of a number field k and let $T=\mathrm{Res}^1_{l/k}\mathbb{G}_m$ be the norm torus. In this section we prove an upper bound on the volume of $T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A})$ with respect to the standard measure (see Section 2.4). We will start with an exact formula for the volume which is probably well known to experts.

Proposition 9.6. *Let $\chi_{l/k}$ be the unique nontrivial character of the Galois group l/k. We have*

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{st}(T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A}))=\frac{2\Lambda(1,\chi_{l/k})}{2^a e(l/k)},$$

where $a=r_{1,l}-r_{1,k}+r_{2,l}-r_{2,k}$ and $e(l/k)=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f}e(l_\mathfrak{p}/k_\mathfrak{p})$ is the global ramification index.

The idea of the proof is to see T as a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,k)$ and consider the period integral of an Eisenstein series along $T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A})$. The residue of Eisenstein series at 1 is constant so it is enough to compute the residue of the integral to determine the volume of $T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A})$. Before moving to the proof we will show a simple lemma on compatibility of standard measures on quasi-regular algebraic tori:

Lemma 9.7. *Let k be a number field and l/k a finite extension. Put $T=\mathrm{Res}_{l/k}\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m$ so that $T(k)\simeq l^\times/k^\times$ canonically. Then $T(\mathbb{A})\simeq\mathbb{A}_l^\times/\mathbb{A}^\times$ and for every function $f\in C_c(\mathbb{A}_l^\times)$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_l^\times} f(g)d\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathbb{A}_l^\times}=e(l/k)\int_{T(\mathbb{A})}\left(\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times} f(gt)d\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathbb{A}^\times}(t)\right)d\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}(g),$$

where $e(l/k)=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f}e(l_\mathfrak{p}/k_\mathfrak{p})$ is the product of local ramification indices.

Proof. It is clear that the lemma will follow from the corresponding local statement: For any $\nu \in M_k$ and some $f \in C_c(l_\nu^\times)$ we have

$$\int_{l_\nu^\times} f(g) d\mu_{l_\nu^\times}^{\mathrm{st}} = e(l_\nu/k_\nu) \int_{T(k_\nu)} \left(\int_{k_\nu^\times} f(gt) d\mu_{k_\nu^\times}^{\mathrm{st}}(t) \right) d\mu_{T(k_\nu)}^{\mathrm{st}}(g),$$

with $e(l_\nu/k_\nu)$ defined to be 1 for all archimedean places.

If the extension l_ν/k_ν is split i.e. $l_\nu \simeq k_\nu^2$ then the assertion is clear. If $l_\nu \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $k_\nu \simeq \mathbb{R}$ then the desired inequality may be shown by integrating the characteristic function of an annulus. It remains to treat the case $\mathfrak{p} \in M_k^f$ and $l_\mathfrak{p}$ quadratic extension of $k_\mathfrak{p}$. Put $f = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{l_\mathfrak{p}}^\times}$. The group $\mathcal{O}_{l_\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $l_\mathfrak{p}^\times$ so

$$\int_{l_\mathfrak{p}^\times} f(g) d\mu_{l_\mathfrak{p}^\times}^{\mathrm{st}}(g) = 1.$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{l_\mathfrak{p}^\times/k_\mathfrak{p}^\times} \left(\int_{k_\mathfrak{p}^\times} f(tg) d\mu_{k_\mathfrak{p}^\times}^{\mathrm{st}} \right) d\mu_{l_\mathfrak{p}^\times/k_\mathfrak{p}^\times}^{\mathrm{st}}(g) = \int_{l_\mathfrak{p}^\times/k_\mathfrak{p}^\times} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |g|_\mathfrak{p} \in |k_\mathfrak{p}^\times|_\mathfrak{p} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} d\mu_{l_\mathfrak{p}^\times/k_\mathfrak{p}^\times}^{\mathrm{st}}(g) \tag{9.57}$$

$$= e(l_\mathfrak{p}/k_\mathfrak{p})^{-1} \tag{9.58}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 9.6. Note that $T \simeq \mathrm{Res}_{l/k}\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m$ so $T(k) \simeq l^\times/k^\times$. Fix an isomorphism $l \simeq k^2$. Multiplication by an element of $l^\times$ gives rise to an embedding $l^\times \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$ which induces an embedding $T(k) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2,k)$. From now on think of T as of subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,k)$. To compute the standard volume $\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{st}}(T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A}))$ we consider a period integral

$$P(s) := \int_{T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A})} E(s,g) d\mu_{T(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{st}}(g),$$

where $E(s,g)$ is an explicit Eisenstein series that we are going to define shortly. The residue of $E(s,g)$ in $s=1$ is a constant function on $\mathrm{PGL}(2,k)\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{A})$ so

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{st}}(T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A})) = \frac{\mathrm{res}_{s=1} P(s)}{\mathrm{res}_{s=1} E(s,g)}.$$

We will choose $E(s,g)$ in such a way that $P(s) = C\xi_l(s)$ i.e. a constant times the completed zeta function of l. Let P be the parabolic subgroup consisting of upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,k)$. Put

$$E(s,g) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(k)\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,k)} \tau(\gamma g, s),$$

where

$$\tau(g,s) = \|\det g\|_\mathbb{A}^s \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times} g_0((0,1)tg) \|t\|_\mathbb{A}^{2s} d\mu_{\mathbb{A}^\times}^{\mathrm{Tam}}(t),$$

where $g_0 : \mathbb{A}^2 \simeq \mathbb{A}_l \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined as in [32, p. 298] for the number field l. With this choice of τ the Eisenstein series $E(s,g)$ have simple poles on 0 and 1 with residues respectively $-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tam}}(\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times) g_0(0)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tam}}(\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times)\hat{g}_0(0)$.

It remains to compute the period integral. We follow the notes by Garrett [26] but provide rigorous calculations.

$$P(s) = \int_{T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A})} E(s,g) d\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}(g) \tag{9.59}$$

$$= \int_{T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A})} \sum_{\gamma \in P(k)\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,k)} \tau(\gamma g, s) d\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}(g) \tag{9.60}$$

$$= \int_{T(\mathbb{A})} \tau(\gamma g, s) d\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}(g) \tag{9.61}$$

The last transition holds because $T(k)$ acts freely transitively on $l^\times/k^\times \simeq \mathbf{P}^1(k) = P(k)\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,k)$. We unwind the expression for τ and use compatibility of Tamagawa measures to get:

$$\int_{T(\mathbb{A})} \|detg\|^s_{\mathbb{A}} \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times} g_0((0,1)tg)\|t\|^{2s}_{\mathbb{A}} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathbb{A}^\times}(t) d\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}(g) \tag{9.62}$$

$$= \frac{\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}}{\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}} \int_{T(\mathbb{A})} \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times} g_0((0,1)tg)\|tg\|^s_{\mathbb{A}_l^\times} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathbb{A}^\times}(t) d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{A})}(g) \tag{9.63}$$

$$= \frac{\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}}{\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}} \int_{\mathbb{A}_l^\times} g_0((0,1)a)\|a\|^\times_{\mathbb{A}_l} d\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathbb{A}_l^\times}(a). \tag{9.64}$$

By [32, Corollary 3, p.300] the integral is equal to $\xi_l(s)$ – the completed zeta function of l. Let $r_{1,k}, r_{1,l}$ denote the number of real places of k, l respectively and $r_{2,k}, r_{2,l}$ the number of complex places of k, l respectively. In explicit terms

$$\xi_l(s) = (2^{-2r_{2,l}}\pi^{-[l:\mathbb{Q}]}|\Delta_l|)^{s/2}\Gamma^{r_{1,l}}(s/2)\Gamma^{r_{2,l}}(s)\zeta_l(s).$$

On the other hand by [32, Proposition 11, p. 298] $g_0(0) = \hat{g}_0(0) = |\Delta_l|^{1/2}(2\pi)^{-r_{2,l}}$ and $\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{Tam}}(\mathbb{A}^1/k^\times) = \mathrm{res}_{s=1}\zeta_k(s) = \rho_k$ so

$$\mathrm{res}_{s=1} E(s,g) = \rho_k |\Delta_l|^{1/2}(2\pi)^{-r_{2,l}} 2^{-1}. \tag{9.65}$$

We turn to the residue of the period integral. By Lemma 9.7 we have

$$\frac{\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}}{\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{T(\mathbb{A})}} = \frac{\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathbb{A}_l^\times}}{\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathbb{A}_l^\times}} \frac{\mu^{\mathrm{Tam}}_{\mathbb{A}^\times}}{\mu^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathbb{A}^\times}} e(l/k)^{-1} \tag{9.66}$$

$$= \frac{|\Delta_l|^{1/2}}{2^{r_{1,l}}(4\pi)^{r_{2,l}}} \frac{2^{r_{1,k}}(4\pi)^{r_{2,k}}}{|\Delta_k|^{1/2}} e(l/k)^{-1} \tag{9.67}$$

$$= \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2}|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{l/k})|^{1/2}}{2^{r_{1,l}-r_{1,k}}(4\pi)^{r_{2,l}-r_{2,k}} e(l/k)}. \tag{9.68}$$

We put the formulas together to get

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{st}}(T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A})) = 2\frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2}|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{l/k})|^{1/2}\rho_l\rho_k^{-1}}{2^{r_{1,l}-r_{1,k}+r_{2,l}-r_{2,k}}(2\pi)^{r_{2,l}-r_{2,k}} e(l/k)} \tag{9.69}$$

$$= 2\frac{\Lambda(1,\chi_{l/k})}{2^a e(l/k)}, \tag{9.70}$$

where $a = r_{1,l} - r_{1,k} + r_{2,l} - r_{2,k}$. □

In the following proposition we assume that the lattice is of the form Γ_V and $f_{\mathbb{A}}$ is as in Section 4.5 with $\mathrm{supp} f \in B(1,R)$.

Proposition 9.8. *Let γ be a non-torsion element in an arithmetic lattice Γ in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$, such that $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}}) \neq 0$. Let D be the quaternion algebra used to define Γ and let k be its field of definition. Let T be the centralizer of γ in $\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathrm{st}}(T(k)\backslash T(\mathbb{A})) \ll_{\varepsilon,R} \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}.$$

Proof. By virtue of Proposition 9.6 and the fact that for admissible quaternion algebras $a = 1$ it is enough to show that

$$|\Lambda(1,\chi_{l/k})| \ll_\varepsilon \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}} \tag{9.71}$$

The function $\Lambda(s,\chi_{l/k})$ is symmetric with respect to transformation $s \mapsto 1-s$ and bounded in vertical strips ([12, Theorem 3.1.2]). Hence, by the maximum principle, we have

$$|\Lambda(1,\chi_{l/k})| \leq \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\Lambda(1+\delta+it,\chi_{l/k})|, \tag{9.72}$$

for any $\delta > 0$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $2^\delta\Gamma(1+\delta/2) \leq 60^{\varepsilon/3}$. For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ we have $r_{1,k} = [k:\mathbb{Q}], r_{2,k} = 0$ and $r_{1,l} = 2, r_{2,l} = [k:\mathbb{Q}] - 1$. For simplicity we put $d = [k:\mathbb{Q}]$. We have

$$|\Lambda(1+\delta+it,\chi_{l/k})| = \left(2^{-2(d-1)}\pi^{-d}\Delta_k N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{l/k})\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \frac{|\Gamma(1+\delta+it)|^{d-1}}{|\Gamma(\frac{1+\delta+it}{2})|^{d-2}} |L(1+\delta+it,\chi_{l/k})|. \tag{9.73}$$

Using Legendre's duplication formula $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z+\frac{1}{2}) = 2^{1-2z}\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2z)$ we get

$$\left|\frac{\Gamma(1+\delta+it)}{\Gamma(\frac{1+\delta+it}{2})}\right| = \left|2^\delta\Gamma(1+\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{it}{2})\right|\pi^{-1/2} \tag{9.74}$$

$$\leq 2^\delta\Gamma(1+\frac{\delta}{2})\pi^{-1/2} \tag{9.75}$$

$$\leq 60^{\varepsilon/3}\pi^{-1/2} \tag{9.76}$$

Odlyzko's lower bound [38] on the discriminant Δ_k yields

$$\frac{|\Gamma(1+\delta+it)|^{d-1}}{|\Gamma(\frac{1+\delta+it}{2})|^{d-2}} \ll |\Delta_k|^{\varepsilon/3}\pi^{-d/2}. \tag{9.77}$$

Now we estimate the absolute value of $N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{l/k})$. The relative discriminant $\Delta_{l/k}$ is defined as the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_k$ generated by the set

$$\left\{ \det\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ a^\sigma & b^\sigma \end{pmatrix}^2 \mid a,b \in \mathcal{O}_l \right\} \tag{9.78}$$

Let λ be one of non zero eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Ad}\gamma$. By choosing $a = 1, b = \lambda$ we get

$$N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{l/k}) \leq |N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}((\lambda-\lambda^\sigma)^2)| = N_{l/\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda-\lambda^{-1}) \tag{9.79}$$

$$= N_{l/\mathbb{Q}}(1-\lambda^2). \tag{9.80}$$

We have used the fact that $\lambda^\sigma = \lambda^{-1}$ and that λ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_l$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.6). By Lemma 6.9 non vanishing of $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f)$ implies that $m(\lambda) \leq R$ so $m(\lambda^2) \leq 2R$. By Corollary 5.2 we have $N_{l/k}(1-\lambda^2) \ll_R \exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))$. Consequently

$$|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{l/k})| \ll \exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}])) \ll |\Delta_k|^{\varepsilon/3}. \tag{9.81}$$

By Corollary 5.5 we also have

$$|L(1+\delta+it,\chi_{l/k})| = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in M_k^f}\left|1-\frac{\chi_{l/k}(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})^{1+\delta+it}}\right|^{-1} \tag{9.82}$$

$$\leq |\zeta_k(1+\delta)| = \exp(o_\sigma(o[k:\mathbb{Q}])) \ll |\Delta_k|^{\varepsilon/3}. \tag{9.83}$$

Note that at this point we crucially use the fact that γ is not torsion as otherwise we can not say that the Weil height goes to 0 as the degree $[k:\mathbb{Q}]$ grows. Putting everything together we get

$$|\Lambda(1,\chi_{l/k})| \ll_\varepsilon \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}. \tag{9.84}$$

□

10. Proof of Strong Limit Multiplicity

In this section we prove that for a uniform torsion free arithmetic congruence lattice Γ in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}), K=\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}$ and any $f\in C_c(\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))$ supported in the ball $B(1,R)$ we have

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f - f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))| \ll_R \|f\|_\infty \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1-a}, \tag{10.1}$$

for some absolute constant $a>0$. We start with a lower bound on the covolume of a maximal arithmetic lattice.

Proposition 10.1. *Let $R>0$. Let $\Gamma=\Gamma_U$ be a maximal arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ with the trace field k and associated quaternion algebra D defined over k. Write S for the set of finite places $\mathfrak{p}$ of k where $U_\mathfrak{p}\not\simeq\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$. Then either Γ does not contain any non torsion elements γ with $[\gamma]_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})}\cap B(1,R)\neq\emptyset$ or*

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})) \gg |\Delta_k|^{0.044}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Ram}^f D}\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{2}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\backslash\mathrm{Ram}^f D}\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{2}. \tag{10.2}$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists $\gamma\in\Gamma$ of infinite order, such that $[\gamma]_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})}\cap B(1,R)\neq\emptyset$. By Corollary 9.5 (10.2) reduces to the estimate

$$|\Delta|_k^{0.044} \ll \frac{|\Delta_k|^{3/2}\zeta_k(2)}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|(2\pi)^{2[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}.$$

Let us start by bounding $|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|$. By Lemma 4.20 we have $|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)| = |\mathbb{A}_f^\times/(\mathbb{A}_f^\times)^2 k_D^\times n(U)$. From Equation (4.2) we deduce that $n(U)$ contains the group $\mathbb{A}_f^{\infty\,\times}/(\mathbb{A}_f^{\infty\,\times})^2$. In particular $\mathrm{cl}\,(U)$ is a quotient of the narrow class group $\mathrm{cl}^+(k)$. It is well known that $|\mathrm{cl}^+(k)|\leq 2^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}|\mathrm{cl}\,(k)|$ so we can deduce that $|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|\leq 2^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}|\mathrm{cl}\,(k)|$. It remains to find a good upper bound on the class number $|\mathrm{cl}\,(k)|$. Recall that we write R_k for the regulator of k. The analytic proof of the Brauer-Siegel theorem (see [32] or Section 9.2) yields the estimate

$$|\mathrm{cl}\,(k)|R_k \ll_\varepsilon |\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon/2}|\zeta_k(1+\varepsilon/2)|. \tag{10.3}$$

Let λ,λ^{-1} be the non-trivial eigenvalues of γ. By Lemma 6.9 we know that $k\subset\mathbb{Q}(\lambda), [\mathcal{O}(\lambda):k]\leq 2$ and the logarithmic Mahler measure of λ satisfies $m(\lambda)\leq R$. We apply Corollary 5.5 to get $|\zeta_k(1+\varepsilon/2)| = \exp(o_\varepsilon([k:\mathbb{Q}]))$. We combine it with the inequality 10.3 to get

$$|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)| \leq |\mathrm{cl}\,(k)|2^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \ll_\varepsilon 2^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}|\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}R_k^{-1}. \tag{10.4}$$

We invoke a lower bound on regulator due to Zimmert [54]. It states that $R_k \gg \exp(0.46r_{1,k} + 0.1r_{2,k})$ where $r_{1,k}$ and $r_{2,k}$ are the numbers of respectively real and complex places of k. In our case we get $R_k \gg \exp(0.46[k:\mathbb{Q}]) > 1.58^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}$ because all places of k except possibly 1 are real. We get

$$\frac{|\Delta_k|^{3/2}\zeta_k(2)}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|(2\pi)^{2[k:\mathbb{Q}]}} \gg_\varepsilon \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1-\varepsilon}}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|(2\pi)^{2[k:\mathbb{Q}]}} \tag{10.5}$$

$$\gg \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1-\varepsilon}R_k}{2^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}(2\pi)^{2[k:\mathbb{Q}]}} \tag{10.6}$$

$$\gg \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1-\varepsilon}}{50^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}. \tag{10.7}$$

Using Odlyzko's lower bound $|\Delta_k| \gg 60^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}$ (see [38]) we can estimate the last expression by $|\Delta_k|^{1-\log 50/\log 60-\varepsilon} \geq |\Delta_k|^{0.0445-\varepsilon}$. To end the proof we note that we can take $\varepsilon \leq 0.0005$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$. Write $\Gamma = \Gamma_V$ for an open compact subgroup V of $\mathrm{PD}^\times(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and let Γ_U, $V \subset U$ be a maximal lattice containing Γ. By Theorem 4.21 and Corollary 4.22 we have

$$\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f - f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})) = \sum_{1\neq[\gamma]\in\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)} \mathrm{Vol}(PD^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash PD^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A}))\Xi^V_\gamma(f_\mathbb{A}). \tag{10.8}$$

Since Γ is torsion free we can sum only over the non-torsion conjugacy classes. Using Lemma 4.27 we get a bound

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f - f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))| \leq \tag{10.9}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{[\gamma]\in\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)\\ \gamma \text{ torsion free}}} \mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash\mathrm{PD}^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A}))\frac{2|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(U)}\dim W_\rho^V|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|. \tag{10.10}$$

By virtue of Proposition 9.8 the adelic volume $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{PD}^\times_\gamma(k)\backslash\mathrm{PD}^\times_\gamma(\mathbb{A}))$ is uniformly bounded for conjugacy classes $[\gamma]$ for which the orbital integral does not vanish. We get

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f - f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))| \ll_{R,\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{[\gamma]\in\mathrm{PD}^\times(k)\\ \gamma \text{ torsion free}}} \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}\frac{|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(U)}\dim W_\rho^V|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|. \tag{10.11}$$

If the orbital integral $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_\infty)$ does not vanish then the conjugacy class of γ intersects the ball $B(1,R)$. Hence $m(\gamma) \leq R$. By Theorem 7.2 the number of such classes is of order $\exp(O(\log^2[k:\mathbb{Q}])) = \exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]) \ll |\Delta_k|^\varepsilon$. We get

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f - f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))| \ll_{R,\varepsilon} \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+2\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}\frac{|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\sum_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(U)}\dim W_\rho^V|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi_\rho)|. \tag{10.12}$$

From Corollary 6.10 we deduce the bound $|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_\infty)| = \exp(o_f([k:\mathbb{Q}]))$ and from Proposition 6.15 for any $\delta > 0$ we have

$$|\mathcal{O}_\gamma(\chi)| \leq \chi(1)^{1-\delta}\exp(o_{f,\delta}([k:\mathbb{Q}])). \tag{10.13}$$

Note that by Minkowski's bound $\exp(o_{R,\delta}([k:\mathbb{Q}])) \ll_{R,\delta,\varepsilon} |\Delta_k|^{\varepsilon}$. We apply those inequalities to get

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f - f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))| \ll_{R,\delta,\varepsilon} \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+3\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\sum_{\rho\in \mathrm{Irr}(U)} \dim W_\rho^V \chi(1)^{1-\delta} \tag{10.14}$$

Pick $b\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $\zeta_U^*(b-1)$ converges and let $a=\delta/(b+1)$. Write $\rho\colon U\to U^{ab}$ for the abelianization map. Using the exact same argument as one in the proof of Lemma 8.2 (2) we get

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f - f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))| \ll_{R,\delta,\varepsilon} \frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+3\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}[U:V]^{1-a}\zeta_U^*(b-1)^a[U^{ab}:\rho(V)]^a. \tag{10.15}$$

Lemma 10.2. *Assume the lattice Γ_U contains a non-torsion element γ such that $\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f_{\mathbb{A}})\neq 0$. Then for $c=46>2/0.044$ we have $\zeta_U^*(7)[U^{ab}:\rho(V)]\ll \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_U\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^c\frac{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|}$.*

Proof. Let us write S for the set of finite places $\mathfrak{p}$ where $U_\mathfrak{p}\not\simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathcal{O}_{k_\mathfrak{p}})$. By Corollary 8.5 and Proposition 8.6 we have

$$\zeta_U^*(7)[U^{ab}:\rho(V)]\frac{|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\ll \zeta_k(2)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)2^{3[k:\mathbb{Q}]+|S|}|\mathrm{cl}\,(k)|. \tag{10.16}$$

We have shown in the proof of Proposition 10.1 that $|\mathrm{cl}\,(k)|\ll[\Delta_k|^{1/2+\varepsilon}$. By Proposition 10.1 we get

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))\gg |\Delta_k|^{0.044}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ram}^f D}\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{2}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\backslash \mathrm{Ram}^f D}\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{2}. \tag{10.17}$$

$c>2/0.044$ so $0.044c\geq max\{\frac{1}{2}+2\varepsilon+3\frac{\log 2}{\log 60},2\}$ for ε small enough. Then Odlyzko's lower bound yields

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^c \gg |\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{2}+2\varepsilon+3\frac{\log 2}{\log 60}}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ram}^f D}\left(\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{2}\right)^2\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S\backslash \mathrm{Ram}^f D}\left(\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{2}\right)^2 \tag{10.18}$$

$$\geq |\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}2^{3[k:\mathbb{Q}]}|\Delta_k|^{\varepsilon}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}\left(\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{2}\right)^2. \tag{10.19}$$

By Theorem 5.4 we get that $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)\geq \exp(o_R([k:\mathbb{Q}]))32^{|S|}$ and we always have $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)\geq\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{2}$. It follows that

$$|\Delta_k|^{\varepsilon}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}\left(\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{2}\right)^2 \geq |\Delta_k|^{\varepsilon}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}\frac{N(\mathfrak{p})+1}{16} \tag{10.20}$$

$$\geq |\Delta_k|^{\varepsilon}\exp(o_R([k:\mathbb{Q}]))\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)\,2^{|S|} \tag{10.21}$$

$$\gg_R \zeta_k(2)2^{|S|}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)\,. \tag{10.22}$$

In the last passage we have used the Corollary 5.5 together with Minkowski's lower bound on the discriminant. Finally we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^c\gg_R \zeta_k(2)|\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}2^{3[k:\mathbb{Q}]+|S|}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}(N(\mathfrak{p})+1)\gg_R \zeta_U^*(7)[U^{ab}:\rho(V)]\frac{|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}. \tag{10.23}$$

□

We use Lemma 10.2:

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V}f-f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))|\ll_{R,\delta,\varepsilon}\frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+3\varepsilon}[U:V]^{1-a}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_U\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{ac}\left(\frac{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|}\right)^a \tag{10.24}$$

$$\ll_{R,\delta,\varepsilon}\frac{|\Delta|^{1/2+3\varepsilon}[U:V]^{1-a}|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|^{1-a}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)||\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|^{1-a}}\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_U\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{ca} \tag{10.25}$$

By Corollary 4.22 we have

$$\frac{[U:V]|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|}{|\mathrm{cl}\,(V)|}=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_U\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))}. \tag{10.26}$$

Hence

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V}f-f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))|\ll_{R,\delta,\varepsilon}\frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+3\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|}\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1-a}}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_U\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1-a-ca}} \tag{10.27}$$

By Corollary 9.5 we have $\frac{|\Delta_k|^{1/2+3\varepsilon}}{(2\pi)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]}|\mathrm{cl}\,(U)|}\ll_R \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_U\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1/3+2\varepsilon}$. Therefore

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V}f-f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))|\ll_{R,\delta,\varepsilon}\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1-a}}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_U\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{2/3-2\varepsilon-a-ca}} \tag{10.28}$$

For δ and ε small we will have $2/3-2\varepsilon-a-ca\geq 0$ so finally

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma_V}f-f(1)\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))|\ll_{R,\delta,\varepsilon}\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_V\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1-a}, \tag{10.29}$$

this proves the Strong Limit Multiplicity property for torsion free, cocompact arithmetic congruence lattices. Recall that in Lemma 10.2 for ε small enough we can take $c=46$ so the inequality works with $a=0.014<\frac{2}{3\cdot 47}-\frac{2\varepsilon}{47}$. □

11. Proof of Strong Benjamini-Schramm Convergence

Let $\Gamma=\Gamma_V$ be a congruence arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ as defined in Section 4.5. The case of non-uniform arithmetic lattices[12] was treated in [43, Theorem A] so we may assume that Γ is a uniform lattice. Throughout this section the Haar measure on $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ is the standard measure (see Section 2.4). Fix an identification $X\simeq \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})/K$ where K is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$. Choose a bi K-invariant metric on $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ such that the quotient metric on X coincides with the Riemannian metric.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. To prove the Strong Benjamini-Schramm convergence we need to show that for every $R>0$ we have

$$\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma\backslash X)_{<R})\ll_R \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)^{1-a} \tag{11.1}$$

for some absolute positive constant $a\geq 0.014$. To this end we apply Theorem 1.8 to the lattice Γ and the bi-K-invariant function $f=\mathbb{1}_{B(1,R)}$. This function is not continuous but it can be approximated from above by continuous compactly supported functions so the estimate from Theorem 1.8 is still valid. We have

$$|\mathrm{tr}R_{\Gamma}f-\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))|\ll_R \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1-a}. \tag{11.2}$$

[12]If $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ they are defiend over $\mathbb{Q}$ and if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$ the are defined over a quadratic imaginary number field.

Since we are working with standard measure we have $\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})) = \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash X))$. On the other hand unfolding the proof of Selberg Trace formula for compact quotients (see e.g. [2, p. 9, second equality]) gives

$$\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f = \int_{\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx \tag{11.3}$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma\backslash X} |\{B(1,R)\cap x^{-1}\Gamma x\}|dx. \tag{11.4}$$

$$= \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash X) + \int_{\Gamma\backslash X} \left[|\{B(1,R)\cap x^{-1}\Gamma x\}| - 1\right] dx \tag{11.5}$$

The last two integrals are well defined because of the bi-K invariance of the metric on $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$ and last one is non-negative. The set of points $x \in X$ whose injectivity radius is smaller than R can be described as $\{xK \in X \mid |B(1,R)\cap x^{-1}\Gamma x| \geq 2\}$. Hence

$$\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f - \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})) \geq \mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma\backslash X)_{<R}). \tag{11.6}$$

By Strong Limit Multiplicity $\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma\backslash X)_{<R}) \ll_R \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)^{1-a}$ for some absolute positive constant $a \geq 0.014$. This ends the proof of Strong Benjamini-Schramm convergence for cocompact, torsion free, congruence arithmetic lattices. □

Remark 11.1. *This argument is very general and can be used to show that the Limit Multiplicity property implies property B–S for arbitrary sequences of cocompact lattices in any semisimple Lie group G.*

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Let Γ be a torsion free arithmetic lattice with the trace field k. Like in the in the argument above it is enough to show that for some positive $c >$ constant $f = \mathbb{1}_{B(1,R)}$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f - \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})) \ll_R \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))|\Delta_k|^{-c} \tag{11.7}$$

We cannot apply Theorem 1.8 directly because we do not assume that Γ is a congruence lattice. Let Γ' be a maximal lattice containing Γ. Maximal lattices are all congruence lattices of form $\Gamma' = \Gamma_U$ so by the proof of Theorem 1.8 we have

$$\sum_{\substack{[\gamma]_{\Gamma'} \\ \text{not torsion}}} \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma'_\gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})_\gamma)\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) \ll_R \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma'\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1-a}. \tag{11.8}$$

The function f is non-negative and every conjugacy class in Γ' splits into at most $[\Gamma' : \Gamma]/[\Gamma'_\gamma : \Gamma_\gamma]$ conjugacy classes in Γ. It follows that

$$\mathrm{tr}R_\Gamma f - \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})) = \sum_{[\gamma]_\Gamma} \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_\gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})_\gamma)\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) \tag{11.9}$$

$$\leq [\Gamma' : \Gamma] \sum_{\substack{[\gamma]_{\Gamma'} \\ \text{not torsion}}} \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma'_\gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})_\gamma)\mathcal{O}_\gamma(f) \tag{11.10}$$

$$\ll_R [\Gamma' : \Gamma]\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma'\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{1-a} \tag{11.11}$$

$$= \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma'\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))^{-a}. \tag{11.12}$$

Now we consider two cases. Either Γ does not contain any non-torsion conjugacy classes $[\gamma]$ such that $[\gamma]_{\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})}$ intersects a ball of radius R or by Proposition 10.1 we have

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma'\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})) \gg_R |\Delta_k|^{0.044} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ram}^f D} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{2}. \tag{11.13}$$

By Theorem 5.4 the product $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ram}^f D} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}{2}$ is bounded from below by $\exp(o([k:\mathbb{Q}]))$ so by Odlyzko's bound we get

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma'\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})) \gg_R |\Delta_k|^{0.043}. \tag{11.14}$$

This proves the theorem with $c = 0.043a \geq 0.0006$. □

Now we can prove Corollary 1.12.

Proof. Put $f = \mathbb{1}_{B(1,R)}$. Let $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of cocompact arithmetic (not necessarily congruence) lattices in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})$. By Theorem 1.11 either $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ has property B–S or infinitely many lattices share the same trace field, say k. For the sake of the proof we may assume that the trace field of all Γ_i's is equal to k and the lattices are pairwise non commensurable. Let D_i be the quaternion algebra defined over the field k determining the commensurability class of Γ_i (see Section 4.1). From the inequalities (11.9)–(11.13) we get

$$\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma_i\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})_{<R}) \leq trR_{\Gamma_i} f - \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})) \ll_{k,R} \mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_i\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})) \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ram}^f D_i} \frac{2}{N(\mathfrak{p})-1}\right)^a. \tag{11.15}$$

We know that the isomorphism class of D_i is uniquely determined by the set $\mathrm{Ram}D_i$. Hence $\lim_{i\to\infty} |\mathrm{Ram}D_i| = \infty$ and

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}((\Gamma_i\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K})_{<R})}{\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_i\backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{K}))} = 0. \tag{11.16}$$

□

References

[1] Miklos Abert, Nicolas Bergeron, Ian Biringer, Tsachik Gelander, Nikolay Nikolov, Jean Raimbault, and Iddo Samet, *On the growth of betti numbers of locally symmetric spaces*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **349** (2011), no. 15-16, 831–835 (English, with English and French summaries).

[2] James Arthur (ed.) *Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and shimura varieties*, Clay Mathematics Proceedings, vol. 4, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA. MR2192984

[3] Nir Avni, *Arithmetic groups have rational representation growth*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 2, 1009–1056. MR2831112

[4] Nir Avni, Benjamin Klopsch, Uri Onn, and Christopher Voll, *Representation zeta functions of compact p-adic analytic groups and arithmetic groups*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 1, 111–197. MR3011874

[5] Matthew Baker and Clayton Petsche, *Global discrepancy and small points on elliptic curves*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **61** (2005), 3791–3834. MR2205235

[6] Dan Barbasch and Henri Moscovici, *L^2-index and the Selberg trace formula*, J. Funct. Anal. **53** (1983), no. 2, 151–201. MR722507

[7] Itai Benjamini and Oded Schramm, *Recurrence of distributional limits of finite planar graphs*, Electron. J. Probab. **6** (2001), no. 23, 13 pp. (electronic).

[8] Yuri Bilu, *Limit distribution of small points on algebraic tori*, Duke Math. J. **89** (1997), no. 3, 465–476.

[9] A. Borel, *Commensurability classes and volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **8** (1981), no. 1, 1–33.
[10] Armand Borel and Gopal Prasad, *Finiteness theorems for discrete subgroups of bounded covolume in semi-simple groups*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **69** (1989), 119–171.
[11] Franois Bruhat and Jacques Tits, *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local : Ii. schémas en groupes. existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **60** (1984) (French).
[12] D. Bump, *Automorphic forms and representations*, Cambridge University Press.
[13] Henri Carayol, *Représentations cuspidales du groupe linéaire* **17** (1984), no. 2, 191–225.
[14] Laurent Clozel, *On limit multiplicities of discrete series representations in spaces of automorphic forms*, Invent. Math. **83** (1986), no. 2, 265–284.
[15] David L. de George and Nolan R. Wallach, *Limit formulas for multiplicities in $l^2(\gamma\backslash g)$*, Ann. of Math. (2) **107** (1978), no. 1, 133–150.
[16] David L. DeGeorge and Nolan R. Wallach, *Limit formulas for multiplicities in $l^2(\gamma\backslash g)$. ii. the tempered spectrum*, Ann. of Math. (2) **109** (1979), no. 3, 477–495.
[17] David Lee DeGeorge, *On a theorem of osborne and warner. multiplicities in the cuspidal spectrum*, J. Funct. Anal. **48** (1982), no. 1, 81–94.
[18] Patrick Delorme, *Formules limites et formules asymptotiques pour les multiplicités dans $l^2(g/\gamma)$*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), no. 3, 691–731 (French).
[19] Jacques Dixmier, *c^*-algebras*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford. Translated from the French by Francis Jellett; North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 15.
[20] E. Dobrowolski, *On a question of lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial*, Acta Arith. **34** (1979), no. 4, 391–401.
[21] A. Dubickas and S. V. Konyagin, *On the number of polynomials of bounded measure*, Acta Arith. **86** (1998), no. 4, 325–342.
[22] Charles Favre and Juan Rivera-Letelier, *Équidistribution quantitative des points de petite hauteur sur la droite projective*, Math. Ann. **335** (2006), no. 2, 311–361. MR2221116
[23] Tobias Finis and Lapid Erez, *An approximation principle for congruence subgroups ii: application to the limit multiplicity problem*, arxiv.org (2015).
[24] Tobias Finis, Erez Lapid, and Werner Müller, *Limit multiplicities for principal congruence subgroups of* $\mathrm{gl}(n)$ *and* $\mathrm{sl}(n)$, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **14** (2015), no. 3, 589–638.
[25] Gerald B Folland, *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. 29, CRC press, 2016.
[26] P. Garrett, *Standard periods of eisenstein series.*
[27] Sigurdur Helgason, *Differential geometry, lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Academic press, 1979.
[28] ———, *Geometric analysis on symmetric spaces*, Vol. 39, American Mathematical Society, 2008.
[29] I Martin Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*, Courier Corporation, 2013.
[30] A. Jaikin-Zapirain, *Zeta function of representations of compact p-adic analytic groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), no. 1, 91–118 (electronic). MR2169043
[31] Jay Jorgenson and Serge Lang, *The heat kernel and theta inversion on* $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbf{c})$, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York.
[32] Serge Lang, *Algebraic number theory*, 2nd ed. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 110, Springer-Verlag, New York.
[33] ———, $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbf{r})$, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 105, Springer-Verlag, New York. Reprint of the 1975 edition.
[34] Michael Larsen, note available at mlarsen.math.indiana.edu (2008).
[35] Michael Larsen and Alexander Lubotzky, *Representation growth of linear groups*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **10** (2008), no. 2, 351–390. MR2390327
[36] Gregori A Margulis, *Discrete subgroups of semisimple lie groups*, Vol. 17, Springer Science & Business Media, 1991.
[37] James S Milne, *Class field theory*, lecture notes available at www. math. lsa. umich. edu (1997).
[38] A. M. Odlyzko, *Lower bounds for discriminants of number fields. ii*, Tôhoku Math. J. **29** (1977), no. 2, 209–216.
[39] M. Scott Osborne and Garth Warner, *The theory of eisenstein systems*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 99, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London.

[40] R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, *The spectrum of fermat curves*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **1** (1991), no. 1, 80–146.
[41] Vladimir Platonov and Andrei Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 139, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA. Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen.
[42] Gopal Prasad, *Galois-fixed points in the bruhat-tits building of a reductive group*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **129** (2001), no. 2, 169–174 (English, with English and French summaries).
[43] Jean Raimbault, *On the convergence of arithmetic orbifolds*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1311.5375 (2013).
[44] Alan Reid and Colin MacLachlan, *The arithmetic of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 219, Springer-Verlag, New York.
[45] Jürgen Rohlfs and Birgit Speh, *On limit multiplicities of representations with cohomology in the cuspidal spectrum*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), no. 1, 199–211. MR883670
[46] Peter Clive Sarnak, *Prime geodesics theorems*, Ph.D. Thesis. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Stanford University.
[47] François Sauvageot, *Principe de densité pour les groupes réductifs*, Compositio Math. **108** (1997), no. 2, 151–184 (French, with English and French summaries).
[48] Gordan Savin, *Limit multiplicities of cusp forms*, Invent. Math. **95** (1989), no. 1, 149–159.
[49] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
[50] ———, *Une "formule de masse" pour les extensions totalement ramifiées de degré donné d'un corps local*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **286** (1978), no. 22, A1031–A1036. MR500361
[51] Terrence Tao, *A cheap version of the kabatjanskii-levenstein bound for almost orthogonal vectors*. blog terrytao.wordpress.com.
[52] André Weil, *Adeles and algberaic groups*, Birkhäuser, 1982.
[53] R.J. Zimmer, *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, Springer.
[54] Rainer Zimmert, *Ideale kleiner Norm in Idealklassen und eine Regulatorabschätzung*, Invent. Math. **62** (1981), no. 3, 367–380. MR604833